



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

| खण्ड : 50 | शिमला, रनिवार, 26 अक्टूबर, 2002/4 कार्तिक, 1924  | संख्या : 30  |
|-----------|--|--------------|
|           | <b>विषय सूची</b>   |              |
| भाग-1     | वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि ..   | 1230—1283    |
| भाग-2     | वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि ..   | 1283         |
| भाग-3     | अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनेन्शियल कमिश्नर तथा कमिश्नर आफ इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि .. | 1284 —1288   |
| भाग-4     | स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन, म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड ग्राम टाऊन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग ..   | —            |
| भाग-5     | वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन ..   | 1288 -- 1300 |
| भाग-6     | भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन ..  | —            |
| भाग-7     | भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं ..   | —            |
| —         | अनपूरक ..  | —            |

26 अक्टूबर, 2002/4 कार्तिक, 1924 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह में निम्नलिखित विज्ञप्तियां 'असाधारण राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुईं:-

| विज्ञप्ति की संख्या  | विभाग का नाम                    | विषय   |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| No. HHC/I.L.R. Rules, 1974, dated the 8/9th October, 2002. | Himachal Pradesh High Court.    | Amendments in the Rules in regard to publication of H. P. Series of the Indian Law Reports.  |
| No. EXN-F(3)-1/2001, dated the 30th September, 2002.       | Excise and Taxation Department. | Grant of exemption in Excise duty any Assessed fee amounting to Rs. 22567/- on liquor to be used for celebrating Raising Day on 1-10-2002            |
| सं० एल० एस० जी० डी० (1) 3/96, दिनांक 8 अक्टूबर, 2002.      | शहरी विकास विभाग                | नगरपालिका परिषदों तथा नगर पंचायतों के अध्यक्षों, उपाध्यक्षों तथा सदस्यों के मानदेय एवं भत्ते दिनांक 1-10-2002 से बढ़ाने बारे इसके अंग्रेजी पाठ सहित। |

**भाग-1 — वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि**

**हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट -**

## NOTIFICATIONS

*Shimla, the 4/5th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-132/82-II-21524.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant *ex-post-facto* sanction of 13 days Commuted leave *w.e.f.* 9-9-2002 to 23-9-2002 with permission to prefix and suffix Sundays falling on 8th and 22nd September, 2002 in favour of Sh. R. K. Mittal, Senior Sub Judge-cum-CJM, Kullu.

Certified that Sh. R. K. Mittal has joined the same post and at the same station from where he had proceeded on leave after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Sh. Mittal would have continued to hold the post of Senior Sub Judge-cum-CJM, Kullu but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla, the 4/5th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-133/82-III-21533.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant 5 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 7-10-2002 to 11-10-2002 with permission to prefix Sunday falling on 6-10-2002 and to suffix Second Saturday, Sunday and Dussehra holidays falling on and *w.e.f.* 12-10-2002 to 16-10-2002 in favour of Shri D. K. Sharma, Senior Sub Judge-cum-CJM Una.

Certified that Sh. Sharma is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Sharma would have continued to hold the post of Senior Sub Judge-cum-CJM, Una, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla, the 4/5th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-154/83-I-21542.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant 5 days earned leave with effect from 7-10-2002 to 11-10-2002 with permission to prefix Sunday falling on 6-10-2002 and to suffix Dussehra holidays *w.e.f.* 12-10-2002 to 20-10-2002 (including Second Saturday and Sunday) in favour of Shri L. R. Sharma, Sub Judge-cum-ACJM (I), Rohru.

Certified that Shri Sharma is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Sharma would have continued to hold the post of Sub Judge-cum-ACJM (I), Rohru, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla, the 4/5th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-221/96-21550.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant *ex-post-facto* sanction of 8 days commuted leave with effect from 3-9-2002 to 10-9-2002, in favour of Shri Padam Singh, Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Rampur Bushahr.

Certified that Shri Singh has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Singh would have continued to hold the post of Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Rampur Bushahr, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla-1, the 7/8th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-243/99-21704.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant 10 days earned leave *w.e.f.*

21-10-2002 to 30-10-2002 with permission to prefix Second Saturday, Sunday and Dussehra holidays falling on and *w.e.f.* 12-10-2002 to 20-10-2002 in favour of Shri Barinder Thakur, Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Gohar.

Certified that Shri Thakur is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Thakur would have continued to officiate the post of Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Gohar, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla, the 7/8th October, 2002*

No. HHC/GAZ/14-2274-III-21695.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to grant *ex-post-facto* sanction of 3 days commuted leave *w.e.f.* 26-9-2002 to 28-9-2002 with permission to suffix Sunday falling on 29-9-2002 in favour of Shri O. P. Sharma, District and Sessions Judge, Shimla.

Certified that Shri Sharma has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Sharma would have continued to hold the post of District and Sessions Judge, Shimla, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

*Shimla, the 7/8th October, 2002*

No. HHC/Admn.-6(23)/74-XII-21715.—Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of the powers vested in him under rule 1.26 of H. P. Financial Rules, 1971, Volume-I, is pleased to declare the Addl. CJM-cum-SJIC, Sundernagar, as Drawing and Disbursing Officer in respect of the Court of Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Gohar and also the Controlling Officer for the purpose of T.A. etc. in respect of Class III and IV establishment attached to the aforesaid court under head "2014—Administration of Justice" during the leave period of Shri Barinder Thakur, Sub Judge-cum-SDJM, Gohar *w.e.f.* 21-10-2002 to 30-10-2002 with permission to prefix Second Saturday, Sunday and Dussehra holidays falling on and *w.e.f.* 12-10-2002 to 20-10-2002, or until he returns from leave.

*Shimla, the 9th October, 2002*

No. HHC/Admn./6 (22) 74-VIII-21929.—The High Court of Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers vested u/s 9 (5) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is pleased to authorise the following Additional Chief Judicial Magistrates in Solan Sessions Division to entertain and dispose of any urgent work, which is or may be made or pending before the Court of Sessions Judge and Additional Sessions Judge, Solan during the ensuing Dussehra holiday *w.e.f.* dates shown against their names :—

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Shri C. L. Kochhar<br>Addl. C. J. M., Arki.                | <i>w.e.f.</i> 12-10-2002 to<br>15-10-2002 both inclusive days. |
| 2. Shri T. S. Kaisth,<br>Addl. C. J. M., Kasauli<br>at Solan. | <i>w.e.f.</i> 16-10-2002 to<br>20-10-2002 both inclusive days. |

*Shimla, the 9th October, 2002*

No. HHC/Admn. 6 (22) 74-VIII-21939.—The High Court of Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers vested u/s 9 (5) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is pleased to authorise the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hamirpur to entertain and dispose of any urgent work, which is or may be made or pending before the Court of District & Sessions Judge,

Hamirpur, during the leave period of Shri D. C. Chaudhary, District & Sessions Judge, Hamirpur *w.e.f.* 1-10-2002 to 9-10-2002, or until Shri D. C. Chaudhary returns from leave.

*Shimla, the 9th October, 2002*

No. HHC/Admn./Estt./3 (119)/79-I-21958.—The Hon'ble Chief Justice is pleased to sanction 13 days earned leave on *w. e. f.* 21-10-2002 to 2-11-2002 with permission to prefix Dussehra holidays falling on 12-10-2002 to 20-10-2002 and suffix holidays falling on 3-11-2002 to 6-11-2002 in favour of Shri O. P. Garg, Assistant Registrar of this High Court.

Certified that Shri O. P. Garg, Assistant Registrar is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave after expiry of the above leave period.

Certified that Shri O. P. Garg, Assistant Registrar would continue to officiate the same post from where he proceeds on leave.

By order,

Sd/-

Registrar General.

Office of the Advocate General, Himachal Pradesh

# NOTIFICATIONS

*Shimla-1, the 1st October, 2002*

No. 3-2/75-III-12878.—*Ex-post-facto* sanction is hereby recorded to the grant of 5(10) days Medical leave on commuted basis with effect from 9-9-2002 to 13-9-2002 in favour of Shri Mahi Dev Sharma, Superintendent Class-I (Gazetted) of this office, with permission to avail Sundays and Second Saturday fall on 8th and 14th and 15th September, 2002.

Certified that Shri Mahi Dev Sharma, would have continued to officiate, but for his proceeding on 5 (10)

days Medical Leave on commuted basis and that this period of leave will count for earning annual increment.

Certified also that Shri Mahi Dev Sharma, was likely on the expiry of leave to return for duty to the station from where he proceeded on leave,

*Shimla-171001, the 1st October, 2002*

No. 3-6/88-12884.—Sanction is hereby accorded to the grant of nine days earned leave with effect from 23-9-2002 to 1-10-2002 in favour of Shri Ram Murti Bisht, Deputy Advocate General of this office, with permission to avail Sunday & Gazetted Holiday falling on 22-9-2002 & 2-10-2002.

Certified that Shri R. M. Bisht would have continued to officiate, but for his proceeding on nine days earned leave and that this period of leave will count for earning annual increment.

Certified also that said Shri R. M. Bisht is likely, on the expiry of leave to return for duty to the station, from where he proceeds on leave.

*Shimla-1, the 1st October, 2002*

No. 1-13/98-12880.—Sanction is hereby accorded to grant of 19 days earned leave with effect from 23rd September, 2002 to 11th October, 2002 in favour of Shri Sandeep Kaushik, Addl. Advocate General of this office, with permission to avail Sundays, 2nd Saturdays & Dussehra holidays falling on 22nd September, 2002 and 12th October, 2002 to 20th October, 2002.

Certified that Shri Sandeep Kaushik would have continued to officiate, as such.

Certified also that said Shri Kaushik is likely, on the expiry of leave to return for duty to the station, from where he proceeds on leave.

Sd/-

Advocate General,  
Himachal Pradesh.

## हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

## BOARD OF DEPARTMENTAL EXAMINATION HIMACHAL PRADESH

### NOTIFICATION

*Fairlawn, Shimla-171 012, the 17th August, 2002*

No. HIPA (Exam.) 5/74-XXV.—The result of the Departmental Examination of the Officers belonging to other Gazetted Officers (Tech.), H. P. held in April, 2002 is hereby notified as follows :—

### NOMENCLATURE OF PAPERS

Paper-1—Financial Administration

Paper-2—Hindi

Paper-3—Rules & Acts for Tech./Non-Tech. Deptt.

Abbreviations used : ABS—Absent, EXM—Exempted, DEB—Debarred from Departmental Exam.

| Name/Father name | Roll No. | Paper-1 | Paper-2 | Paper-3 |
|------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1                | 2        | 3       | 4       | 5       |

### DEPARTMENT : AGRICULTURE

S/Shri/Smt. :

|                  |       |      |   |      |
|------------------|-------|------|---|------|
| Atul Dogra       | 17054 | Fail | — | —    |
| Moti Ram Dogra   |       | 37   |   |      |
| Purshottam Chand | 17058 | Fail | — | Pass |
| Rumi Ram         |       | 23   |   | 50   |
| Jagdish Chand    | 17060 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sant Ram         |       | 31   |   |      |

| 1                                       | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5          |
|---|-------|------------|---|------------|
| S/Shri/Smt. :                           |       |            |   |            |
| Mohan Lal Bharmoria<br>B. R. Bharmoria  | 17062 | Fail<br>10 | — | —          |
| Tara Chand<br>Mian Singh                | 17064 | Fail<br>22 | — | —          |
| Yesh Pal Singh<br>Harnam Singh          | 17066 | Fail<br>25 | — | —          |
| Ravi Kant Chaha<br>Rasil Singh          | 17067 | Fail<br>30 | — | —          |
| Prakash Chand Thakur<br>D. R. Thakur    | 17068 | Fail<br>27 | — | Pass<br>71 |
| Prem Chand Sharma<br>Damodar Ram        | 17069 | Pass<br>55 | — | Pass<br>67 |
| Surender Kumar<br>Lt. Netar Singh       | 17070 | Fail<br>18 | — | —          |
| Harish Kumar Sharma<br>Inder Pal Sharma | 17071 | Fail<br>17 | — | —          |
| Chaman Lal Saklani<br>Parshotam Ram     | 17072 | Fail<br>22 | — | —          |
| Kulbhushan<br>S. R. Dhiman              | 17073 | Fail<br>37 | — | Pass<br>76 |
| Ajab Kumar Negi<br>Manku Ram Negi       | 17074 | Fail<br>34 | — | —          |
| Sunil Chauhan<br>A. C. Chauhan          | 17075 | Pass<br>61 | — | Pass<br>70 |
| Shashi Paul Attri<br>Dev Raj            | 17076 | Pass<br>50 | — | Pass<br>72 |
| Youdhveer Singh<br>Bhag Singh           | 17077 | Fail<br>24 | — | —          |
| Harish Chander Kalja<br>Shiv Ram        | 17078 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Jagdish Chand Sharma<br>Narain Dass     | 17079 | Fail<br>14 | — | —          |
| Rahul Katoch<br>S. C. Katoch            | 17081 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |
| C. S. Sharma<br>Nand Lal Sharma         | 17082 | Fail<br>20 | — | —          |
| Madan Singh Parmar<br>L. C. Parmar      | 17083 | Fail<br>25 | — | —          |
| Chander Sakher<br>L. T. T. C. Sharma    | 17084 | Fail<br>30 | — | —          |
| Dharam Pal<br>Kanshi Ram                | 17085 | Fail<br>27 | — | —          |
| Kashmir Chand Sharma<br>B. R. Sharma    | 17086 | Fail<br>15 | — | Pass<br>60 |
| Hari Ram Rabi<br>Lt Minku Ram           | 17089 | Fail<br>14 | — | Pass<br>68 |
| Hari Chand Sharma<br>Lt. R. R. Sharma   | 17090 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |
| Harish K. Thakur<br>Durga Dutt Thakur   | 17091 | Fail<br>18 | — | —          |
| DEPARTMENT : ANIMAL HUS.                |       |            |   |            |
| Madho Ram Masand<br>Faqir Chand         | 71124 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |

| 1                                    | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5          |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------------|---|------------|
| <b>S/Shri/Smt :</b>                  |       |            |   |            |
| Baldev Chauhan<br>Lt. D. R. Chauhan  | 17125 | Fail<br>38 | — | —          |
| Harnam Singh<br>Jaishi Ram           | 17127 | Fail<br>33 | — | —          |
| Anish Kumar<br>Lt. Pritam Chand      | 17128 | Fail<br>32 | — | —          |
| Mohit Mahajan<br>R.K. Mahajan        | 17129 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Dalip Singh Mehta<br>Hukam Singh     | 17131 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Joginder Verma<br>P. N. Verma        | 17132 | —          | — | Pass<br>69 |
| Shakuntla<br>Sonam Angrup            | 17134 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Manchali Verma<br>B. R. Verma        | 17135 | Pass<br>51 | — | —          |
| Rajeev Mehta<br>A. S. Mehta          | 17136 | —          | — | Pass<br>70 |
| Joginder Singh<br>Amar Singh         | 17137 | Pass<br>53 | — | —          |
| Amit Attri<br>G. P. Attri            | 17138 | —          | — | Pass<br>72 |
| Ram Lal Shandal<br>Saran Dass        | 17139 | Fail<br>33 | — | —          |
| Hitender K. Verma<br>C. D. Verma     | 17140 | Pass<br>50 | — | ABS<br>ABS |
| Tara Chand Chauhan<br>Lt. Daulat Ram | 17141 | Fail<br>26 | — | —          |
| Deepak Kumar<br>Lt. Brij Lal         | 17142 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Satish Kumar Kapoor<br>Lt. Bhima Ram | 17144 | Pass<br>60 | — | —          |
| Nagendra Antal<br>B. Singh           | 17146 | Fail<br>24 | — | —          |
| Maneesh Sharma<br>Satish Chander     | 17147 | Pass<br>52 | — | —          |
| Vijay Kumar<br>Prem Chand Pathak     | 17148 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Ganesh<br>Dharam Singh               | 17149 | Fail<br>34 | — | —          |
| Upender Bhardwaj<br>M. L. Bhardwaj   | 17150 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Sandeep Sharma<br>Ishwar Sharma      | 17152 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Arun Kumar Sharma<br>S. D. Sharma    | 17154 | Fail<br>38 | — | —          |
| Hatinder Singh<br>Mehar Singh        | 17155 | ABS<br>ABS | — | Pass<br>67 |
| M. L. Kaushal<br>Chuni Lal           | 17156 | Pass<br>51 | — | —          |
| <b>DEPARTMENT : AYURVEDA</b>         |       |            |   |            |
| Anil Kumar<br>Ranveer Singh          | 17012 | Fail<br>25 | — | —          |
| Kultar S. Dhiman<br>K. R. Dhiman     | 17013 | Fail<br>29 | — | —          |

| 1  | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5          |
|--|-------|------------|---|------------|
| S/Shri/Smt.<br>Opender Kumar Sharma<br>C. Sharma | 18810 | Fail<br>14 | — | —          |
| DEPARTMENT : ECO. & STAT.                        |       |            |   |            |
| Naresh K. Sharma<br>L. N. Sharma                 | 17003 | Fail<br>28 | — | Pass<br>52 |
| Jai Pal Singh Negi<br>Lt. S. S. Negi             | 17004 | —          | — | ABS<br>ABS |
| Guru Dutt<br>Beli Ram                            | 17007 | —          | — | Pass<br>67 |
| B. D. Sharma<br>H. D. Sharma                     | 17008 | —          | — | ABS<br>ABS |
| DEPARTMENT : EDUCATION                           |       |            |   |            |
| Anju Sehgal<br>J. N. Batta                       | 17256 | Fail<br>36 | — | —          |
| Meera Sharma<br>Satya Dev Sharma                 | 17257 | Fail<br>20 | — | —          |
| Anil Kumar Azad<br>Roshan Lal Azad               | 17258 | Pass<br>52 | — | —          |
| Anjali Bhardwaj<br>T. S. Farshad                 | 17259 | Fail<br>27 | — | —          |
| Anita Kumari<br>Bishan Dass                      | 17260 | Fail<br>26 | — | —          |
| Tara Chand Sharma<br>Kishan Dyal                 | 17261 | Pass<br>51 | — | —          |
| Raj Kumar Prashar<br>Bhagat Ram                  | 17262 | Fail<br>36 | — | —          |
| Sanjav Kumar Gupta<br>V. K. Gupta                | 17263 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Hit Dev<br>Khushal Chand                         | 17264 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Heminder K. Bakshi<br>B. N. Bakshi               | 17267 | Fail<br>38 | — | —          |
| Kanshi Ram<br>Kankoo Ram                         | 17268 | Fail<br>31 | — | —          |
| Mohd Islam Ansari<br>H. M. A. Ansari             | 17269 | Fail<br>30 | — | —          |
| Santosh Rana<br>Roop Lal Rana                    | 17270 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Kamlesh Sharma<br>Dina Nath Sharma               | 17271 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |
| Dhani Ram Thakur<br>B. R. Thakur                 | 17272 | Fail<br>39 | — | —          |
| Chaman Singh<br>Prithi Singh                     | 17274 | Fail<br>29 | — | —          |
| Dharam Chand Indoria<br>Prabha Ram               | 17275 | Fail<br>26 | — | —          |
| Dharam Paul Nadda<br>T. R. Nadda                 | 17280 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Narinder Singh<br>Meher Chand                    | 17281 | Pass<br>50 | — | —          |
| Hardeep Thakur<br>Pirithi Chand                  | 17282 | Pass<br>51 | — | —          |
| Satish Kumar Sharma<br>Kishan Dev Sharma         | 17283 | Fail<br>41 | — | —          |

| 1                                       | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5 |
|---|-------|------------|---|---|
| S/Shri/Smt. :                           |       |            |   |   |
| Rajesh Kumar<br>Ram Rakha               | 17285 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |
| Ravinder Kumar<br>Beli Ram              | 17286 | Fail<br>34 | — | — |
| Subhash Kumar<br>Sada Ram               | 17288 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
| Pratibha Thakur<br>Surat Singh          | 17289 | Fail<br>33 | — | — |
| Jyoti Guleria<br>K. Guleria             | 17291 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |
| Remesh Kumar<br>Ram Chander             | 17292 | Fail<br>41 | — | — |
| Anju Gupta<br>S. K. Gupta               | 17293 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
| Pravesh Kapoor<br>Lt. Y. S. Vaidya      | 17294 | Fail<br>36 | — | — |
| Pawan Sharma<br>Muni Lal Sharma         | 17295 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Nirmala Sen<br>Rajinder Singh           | 17297 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Sukh Dev Rattan<br>Bhagu Ram            | 17298 | Pass<br>52 | — | — |
| Surjan Ram<br>Prabhu Ram                | 17299 | Pass<br>52 | — | — |
| Bipan Kumar Sharma<br>Baldev Raj Sharma | 17300 | Fail<br>37 | — | — |
| Rajender Singh<br>Gulab Singh           | 17301 | Fail<br>36 | — | — |
| Manorma Panwar<br>S. R. Chandel         | 17302 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Joginder Singh<br>Lt. Milkhi Ram        | 17304 | Fail<br>19 | — | — |
| Ashok Kumar<br>Ram Chand                | 17305 | Pass<br>52 | — | — |
| Mamta<br>Balwant Kumar                  | 17306 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Jeet Ram Bansal<br>D. R. Bansal         | 17308 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |
| Chaman Lal Angirass<br>Kali Charan      | 17309 | Pass<br>53 | — | — |
| Ram Singh Dhiman<br>L. R. Dhiman        | 17310 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
| Rajinder Kumar<br>Prabhu Dyal           | 17313 | Fail<br>26 | — | — |
| Balwant Kumar Nadda<br>R. D. Nadda      | 17314 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Satya Pal Sharma<br>M. R. Sharma        | 17315 | Fail<br>32 | — | — |
| Rajesh Kumar Chandel<br>Malkiat Singh   | 17316 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Daroga Ram<br>Kirpu Ram                 | 17317 | Fail<br>37 | — | — |
| Rakesh Sharma<br>Kanshi Ram Sharma      | 17319 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |

| 1  | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5 |
|--|-------|------------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri/Smt. :</i>                     |       |            |   |   |
| Kuldeep Chand Sharma<br>Rup Lal Sharma   | 17320 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Ambika Gupta<br>Sohan Lal Gupta          | 17324 | Fail<br>34 | — | — |
| Kusum Lata<br>Surat Ram                  | 17325 | Fail<br>34 | — | — |
| Sarita Kumari<br>Sant Ram                | 17326 | Fail<br>22 | — | — |
| Anjali Sharma<br>J. R. Sharma            | 17327 | Fail<br>35 | — | — |
| Dalip Singh Thakur<br>Lal Singh          | 17330 | Fail<br>24 | — | — |
| Saroj Bhardwaj<br>C. R. Bhardwaj         | 17331 | Fail<br>24 | — | — |
| Bhag Singh<br>Ram Lal                    | 17332 | Fail<br>24 | — | — |
| Bhupinder Kumar<br>Nokh Ram              | 17333 | Fail<br>26 | — | — |
| Rafeek Alam<br>Babu Ram                  | 17336 | Fail<br>33 | — | — |
| Pawan Kumar<br>Jagdish Chand             | 17337 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Pawan Kumar<br>Puran Chand               | 17338 | Fail<br>16 | — | — |
| Chaman Singh<br>Prithi Singh             | 17339 | Fail<br>22 | — | — |
| Manoj Kumar Sharma<br>M. N. Sharma       | 17340 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Deep Chand Kaushal<br>Prem Chand         | 17341 | Fail<br>32 | — | — |
| Ravinder Kumar<br>Amar Nath Thakur       | 17350 | Fail<br>19 | — | — |
| Ogar Singh Chauhan<br>Sukh Ram Chauhan   | 17351 | Fail<br>10 | — | — |
| Amar Singh Prashar<br>Rijha Ram          | 17353 | Fail<br>22 | — | — |
| Shankar Bhagat Negi<br>Lt. Kanai Singh   | 17355 | Fail<br>20 | — | — |
| Janak Raj Aerry<br>Jagan Nath Aerry      | 17359 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
| Ashwani Kumar<br>Ajudhia Dass            | 17360 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Tek Chand Thakur<br>Hari Ram             | 17361 | Fail<br>37 | — | — |
| Manil Kumar<br>Om Prakash                | 17362 | Fail<br>12 | — | — |
| Ashok Kumar Awasthi<br>Bipat Rai Awasthi | 17365 | Pass<br>57 | — | — |
| Poonam Sharma<br>R. L. Sharma            | 17368 | Fail<br>34 | — | — |
| Dig Vijay<br>Jyoti Lal                   | 17369 | Pass<br>60 | — | — |
| Anshu Anand<br>Sant Kumar                | 17370 | Fail<br>36 | — | — |



| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri/Smt.</i>   |       |      |   |   |
| Neelam Malhotra      | 17372 | Fail | — | — |
| Shiv Lal Malhotra    |       | 36   |   |   |
| Surinder K. Sharma   | 17373 | Pass | — | — |
| Bam Dev              |       | 51   |   |   |
| Krishan Lal Gupta    | 17374 | Pass | — | — |
| Brij Lal Gupta       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Sunita Verma         | 17375 | Fail | — | — |
| Man Chand            |       | 36   |   |   |
| Haresh Rana          | 17376 | Fail | — | — |
| M. S. Rana           |       | 41   |   |   |
| Lal Singh            | 17377 | Fail | — | — |
| Lt. Sohan Singh      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Girdhari Lal         | 17378 | Fail | — | — |
| Parma Ram            |       | 37   |   |   |
| Karam Chand Saini    | 17379 | Fail | — | — |
| P. N. Saini          |       | 35   |   |   |
| Prakash Chand        | 17380 | Fail | — | — |
| Krishan Lal          |       | 41   |   |   |
| Saroj Thakur         | 17381 | Fail | — | — |
| J. R. Thakur         |       | 37   |   |   |
| Jagdish Chund Gupta  | 17382 | Fail | — | — |
| Dila Ram Gupta       |       | 37   |   |   |
| Chander Singh Thakur | 17383 | Fail | — | — |
| H. S. Thakur         |       | 34   |   |   |
| Shashi Kanta         | 17384 | Fail | — | — |
| Nand Lal             |       | 32   |   |   |
| Satish Kumar         | 17386 | Fail | — | — |
| Sada Ram             |       | 30   |   |   |
| Shalini Tomar        | 17387 | Pass | — | — |
| B. S Tomar           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Dharminder Singh     | 17388 | Fail | — | — |
| Partap Singh         |       | 34   |   |   |
| Sanjay Deshta        | 17389 | Fail | — | — |
| B. R. Deshta         |       | 29   |   |   |
| Vijay Kumar          | 17390 | Fail | — | — |
| Vas Dev              |       | 42   |   |   |
| Onkar Chand          | 17391 | Pass | — | — |
| Jaswant Singh        |       | 55   |   |   |
| Madan Lal            | 17393 | Fail | — | — |
| Tihru Ram            |       | 21   |   |   |
| Ratti Ram            | 17395 | Fail | — | — |
| Nanku Ram            |       | 31   |   |   |
| Prit Lal Sharma      | 17396 | Fail | — | — |
| Krishan Dyal         |       | 25   |   |   |
| Sartaj Singh Rathour | 17398 | Fail | — | — |
| J. S. Rathour        |       | 35   |   |   |
| Susheel Kumar Sharma | 17399 | Fail | — | — |
| Shankar Lal          |       | 27   |   |   |
| Dev Manjari          | 17400 | Fail | — | — |
| Ravi Datta           |       | 29   |   |   |
| Ramji Dass           | 17401 | Pass | — | — |
| Amin Chand           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Garib Dass           | 17402 | Fail | — | — |
| Bangali Ram          |       | 41   |   |   |
| Babu Ram             | 17403 | Fail | — | — |
| Prem Chand           |       | 36   |   |   |
| Rajesh Kumar         | 17404 | Fail | — | — |
| Babu Ram             |       | 37   |   |   |
| Sudersan Kumar       | 17405 | Fail | — | — |
| Jamna Dass           |       | 37   |   |   |
| Hari Ram             | 17406 | Fail | — | — |
| Medu Ram             |       | 34   |   |   |
| Hads Raj Acharya     | 17407 | Pass | — | — |
| Tek Ram Acharya      |       | 59   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri/Smt.</i>   |       |      |   |   |
| Sanjav Kumar Kango   | 17408 | Pass | — | — |
| Hem Raj Kango        |       | 60   |   |   |
| Shanti Lal Sharma    | 17410 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Lal Sharma       |       | 38   |   |   |
| Nand Lal Bhardwaj    | 17414 | Pass | — | — |
| Daya Ram Bhardwaj    |       | 50   |   |   |
| Surjeet Singh Thakur | 17416 | Fail | — | — |
| K. P. Thakur         |       | 38   |   |   |
| Prakash Chand        | 17418 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Dhan             |       | 24   |   |   |
| Praveen Kumar Verma  | 17420 | Fail | — | — |
| K. C. Verma          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar         | 17421 | Fail | — | — |
| Sudan Singh          |       | 40   |   |   |
| Krishan Chand        | 17422 | Fail | — | — |
| Pausu Ram            |       | 37   |   |   |
| Shobha Garg          | 17426 | Fail | — | — |
| Bhagat Ram           |       | 25   |   |   |
| Kiran Chandel        | 17427 | Fail | — | — |
| B. R. Bhardwaj       |       | 36   |   |   |
| Ram Saroop           | 17429 | Pass | — | — |
| Jit Ram              |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rashdev Singh        | 17430 | Fail | — | — |
| Karam Chand          |       | 28   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar Jishtu     | 17432 | Fail | — | — |
| Jwala Dass           |       | 20   |   |   |
| Brij Lal             | 17435 | Fail | — | — |
| Mithnu               |       | 27   |   |   |
| Hem Raj Bhupal       | 17436 | Fail | — | — |
| Lekh Ram             |       | 30   |   |   |
| Dikka Singh Chauhan  | 17437 | Fail | — | — |
| K. D. Chauhan        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Archana Kapoor       | 17439 | Pass | — | — |
| Shiv Lal Kapoor      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rajeev Kumar Saini   | 17442 | Fail | — | — |
| S. S. Saini          |       | 39   |   |   |
| Sunder Lal           | 17443 | Pass | — | — |
| Anokhi Ram           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Mahesh Nag           | 17444 | Pass | — | — |
| Thakur Dass Nag      |       | 51   |   |   |
| Yogendra Mohan       | 17447 | Fail | — | — |
| A. N. Agnihotri      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chander       | 17448 | Fail | — | — |
| Jagdish Chander      |       | 40   |   |   |
| Harish Kumar Chauhan | 17449 | Fail | — | — |
| Paras Ram            |       | 32   |   |   |
| Monika Gupta         | 17451 | Pass | — | — |
| Narain Dass          |       | 50   |   |   |
| Om Prabha Monga      | 17452 | Pass | — | — |
| S. P. Mohindra       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rita Prabhakar       | 17453 | Fail | — | — |
| Lt. Harbans Lal      |       | 26   |   |   |
| Savita Gupta         | 17454 | Fail | — | — |
| Amar Nath Gupta      |       | 35   |   |   |
| Sunita Verma         | 17455 | Pass | — | — |
| Rattan Chand         |       | 53   |   |   |
| Sulochana Bhardwaj   | 17456 | Pass | — | — |
| Sohan Singh          |       | 50   |   |   |
| Saroj Sachdeva       | 17457 | Pass | — | — |
| K. L. Gakhar         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Kamlesh Kumari       | 17458 | Fail | — | — |
| Rasila Ram           |       | 42   |   |   |
| Parveen Lata         | 17459 | Fail | — | — |
| Mangat Ram           |       | 40   |   |   |
| Madhu Kumari         | 17460 | Pass | — | — |
| Ram Parkash          |       | 51   |   |   |
| Naresh Kumar         | 17462 | Fail | — | — |
| Budhi Chand          |       | 23   |   |   |
| Kamesh Kumari        | 17464 | Fail | — | — |
| Behari Lal           |       | 35   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri/Smt. :</i> |       |      |   |   |
| Aruna Rana           | 17465 | Fail | — | — |
| Himal Chand          |       | 34   |   |   |
| Ashwani Singh        | 17466 | Pass | — | — |
| Piar Singh           |       | 52   |   |   |
| Narinder Kumar       | 17467 | Pass | — | — |
| Thakur Dass          |       | 55   |   |   |
| Brinda Sharma        | 17468 | Fail | — | — |
| Braham Dass          |       | 29   |   |   |
| Rameshwar Singh      | 17469 | Fail | — | — |
| Durga Singh          |       | 31   |   |   |
| Parma Ram Kashyap    | 17470 | Fail | — | — |
| Sutal Ram            |       | 36   |   |   |
| Bhag Singh           | 17472 | Fail | — | — |
| Mangat Ram           |       | 16   |   |   |
| Kamlender Valdya     | 17473 | Fail | — | — |
| Li. T. R. Vaidya     |       | 34   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumar         | 17474 | Fail | — | — |
| Luder Singh          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Kuldeep Singh        | 17475 | Fail | — | — |
| Karam Chand          |       | 37   |   |   |
| Kamlesh K. Patyal    | 17476 | Fail | — | — |
| D. S. Patyal         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Desh Raj Sharma      | 17478 | Fail | — | — |
| Bhagwan Dass         |       | 38   |   |   |
| Suresh Chand         | 17479 | Pass | — | — |
| Bakshi Chand         |       | 69   |   |   |
| Bheem Kumar          | 17482 | Fail | — | — |
| Shiv Charan          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Savita Sharma        | 17485 | Fail | — | — |
| Rameshwar Lal        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Om Prakash Lakhanwal | 17486 | Fail | — | — |
| Puran Chand          |       | 33   |   |   |
| Kahan Chand Randhawa | 17488 | Fail | — | — |
| G. R. Randhawa       |       | 19   |   |   |
| Ashok Kumar          | 17489 | Fail | — | — |
| Amin Chand           |       | 37   |   |   |
| Narinder Singh       | 17490 | Fail | — | — |
| Shive Lal Jhouta     |       | 27   |   |   |
| Des Raj              | 17491 | Fail | — | — |
| Lachhman Singh       |       | 32   |   |   |
| Yogita Bal           | 17492 | Fail | — | — |
| Hardeep Singh        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Meenakshi Sapru      | 17493 | Fail | — | — |
| Jawahar Lal Kaul     |       | 39   |   |   |
| L. R. Sharma         | 17496 | Fail | — | — |
| Mehar Chand          |       | 35   |   |   |
| Brij Lal Bhardwaj    | 17497 | Fail | — | — |
| Som Krishan          |       | 29   |   |   |
| Hoshiar Singh Thakur | 17499 | Fail | — | — |
| K. R. Thakur         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Nanak Chand          | 17502 | Fail | — | — |
| Khalalu Ram          |       | 42   |   |   |
| Virender Chadha      | 17503 | Fail | — | — |
| Chaman Lal           |       | 16   |   |   |
| Uma Kumari           | 17508 | Fail | — | — |
| Hira Singh           |       | 28   |   |   |
| Nitika Brara Sharma  | 17509 | Fail | — | — |
| S. C. Brara          |       | 43   |   |   |
| Gopal Dass Chowdhary | 17511 | Fail | — | — |
| Bishan Dass          |       | 17   |   |   |
| Onkar Singh          | 17513 | Fail | — | — |
| Balwant Singh        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Shyam Lal Dhiman     | 17516 | Fail | — | — |
| Sita Ram             |       | 27   |   |   |
| Prem Singh Gazta     | 17517 | Pass | — | — |
| Mehar Singh Gazta    |       | 52   |   |   |
| Dev Raj Mehta        | 17518 | Pass | — | — |
| Durga Ram Mehta      |       | 51   |   |   |
| Chandan Kumar        | 17520 | Pass | — | — |
| Madan Singh          |       | 50   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4    | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|------|---|
| S/Shri/Smt. :         |       |      |      |   |
| Laxman Singh          | 17521 | Fail | —    | — |
| Sannu Ram             |       | 28   |      |   |
| Shachi                | 17522 | Fail | —    | — |
| Keshav Narayan        |       | 18   |      |   |
| Surinder Singh Heer   | 17523 | Fail | —    | — |
| Puran Singh Heer      |       | 37   |      |   |
| Ashok Kumar           | 17524 | Pass | —    | — |
| Roshan Lal            |       | 62   |      |   |
| Bir Singh             | 17525 | Fail | —    | — |
| Gopi Ram              |       | 19   |      |   |
| Hari Ram Sharma       | 17528 | Fail | —    | — |
| Sukh Ram Sharma       |       | 36   |      |   |
| Indra Gupta           | 17529 | Pass | Pass | — |
| R. L. Mahajan         |       | 51   | 56   |   |
| Subhash Chander       | 17530 | Fail | —    | — |
| Gauri Chand           |       | 36   |      |   |
| Ranjeet Kumar         | 17531 | Fail | —    | — |
| Khazana Ram           |       | 34   |      |   |
| Dev Raj Dhiman        | 17532 | Fail | —    | — |
| Chint Ram Dhiman      |       | 32   |      |   |
| Haru D. Vijay Awasthi | 17533 | Pass | —    | — |
| K. C. Awasthi         |       | 53   |      |   |
| Ram Krishan           | 17536 | Fail | —    | — |
| Rama Nand             |       | 39   |      |   |
| Urgian Dolma          | 17537 | Fail | —    | — |
| Panchhi Ram           |       | 27   |      |   |
| Rattan Chand          | 17539 | Fail | —    | — |
| Dhanpat Raj           |       | 36   |      |   |
| Vikas Singh           | 17540 | Pass | —    | — |
| Issu Ram              |       | 50   |      |   |
| Virender K. Talwar    | 17541 | Fail | —    | — |
| Muni Lal Talwar       |       | 26   |      |   |
| Jagdish Singh Rana    | 17542 | Fail | —    | — |
| Bhagat Ram            |       | 33   |      |   |
| Rajinder Kumar        | 17543 | Fail | —    | — |
| Badri Parshad         |       | 30   |      |   |
| Brij Mohan            | 17544 | Fail | —    | — |
| Ram Saroop Sharma     |       | 24   |      |   |
| Shashi Bala           | 17545 | Fail | —    | — |
| Harimitter            |       | 22   |      |   |
| Neelam Verma          | 17551 | Fail | —    | — |
| Sher Singh            |       | 22   |      |   |
| Kamlesh Kumari        | 17552 | Fail | —    | — |
| Ganko Ram             |       | 21   |      |   |
| Devinder Parkash      | 17553 | Fail | —    | — |
| Datt Sharma           |       | 25   |      |   |
| Parkash Sharma        | 17554 | Fail | —    | — |
| Surat Ram             |       | 36   |      |   |
| Manjula Sharma        | 17555 | Fail | —    | — |
| G. S. Sharma          |       | 38   |      |   |
| Veena Gupta           | 17557 | Fail | —    | — |
| Bhoop Ram Gupta       |       | 34   |      |   |
| Harbans Lal Sharma    | 17558 | Fail | —    | — |
| Jagan Nath            |       | 34   |      |   |
| Guninder Sharma       | 17559 | Fail | —    | — |
| Kanshi Ram            |       | 39   |      |   |
| Kaushal Kumar Sharma  | 17560 | Fail | —    | — |
| P. P. Sharma          |       | 19   |      |   |
| Santosh Sharma        | 17561 | Fail | —    | — |
| Shriniwas Sharma      |       | 17   |      |   |
| Vijaya Chauhan        | 17562 | Fail | —    | — |
| M. R. Shankta         |       | 38   |      |   |
| Rama Kanwar           | 17563 | Fail | —    | — |
| Jit Singh Kanwar      |       | 31   |      |   |
| Ashok Kumar Sharma    | 17564 | Fail | —    | — |
| B. Prashad            |       | 28   |      |   |
| Balkrishan Jaswal     | 17565 | Fail | —    | — |
| Mathru Ram            |       | 21   |      |   |
| Rajesh Kumar          | 17566 | Pass | —    | — |
| Govordhan Lal         |       | 51   |      |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri/Smt. :</i> |       |      |   |   |
| Gjan Chand Sharma    | 17567 | Pass | — | — |
| Dila Ram             |       | 50   |   |   |
| Neena Kumari         | 17568 | Fail | — | — |
| Rattan Chand         |       | 37   |   |   |
| Kuldeep Singh Thakur | 17569 | Fail | — | — |
| Sher Singh Thakur    |       | 30   |   |   |
| Prakash Sankhyan     | 17570 | Fail | — | — |
| S. S. Sankhyan       |       | 28   |   |   |
| Binder Singh Sambra  | 17572 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Rattan Sambra    |       | 35   |   |   |
| Shakuntla Sharma     | 17577 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Kishan Sharma    |       | 19   |   |   |
| Ruma Handa           | 17579 | Fail | — | — |
| Sidhu Ram            |       | 18   |   |   |
| Kuldeep Chand        | 17580 | Fail | — | — |
| Sant Ram             |       | 14   |   |   |
| Nikka Ram            | 17581 | Fail | — | — |
| Hari Ram             |       | 25   |   |   |
| Vijay Keprate        | 17582 | Fail | — | — |
| G. S. Chauhan        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Rama Nand Sharma     | 17583 | Fail | — | — |
| Shiv Ram Sharma      |       | 21   |   |   |
| Kiran Kanta          | 17585 | Fail | — | — |
| Amar Nath Sharma     |       | 32   |   |   |
| Hans Raj Thakur      | 17586 | Fail | — | — |
| Gawanu Ram           |       | 28   |   |   |
| Mohan Lal Chandel    | 17587 | Fail | — | — |
| K. R. Chandel        |       | 35   |   |   |
| Ajay Vashishat       | 17588 | Fail | — | — |
| Omkar Nath           |       | 22   |   |   |
| Desh Raj             | 17592 | Fail | — | — |
| Lashkari Ram         |       | 25   |   |   |
| Raj Kumari           | 17594 | Fail | — | — |
| Bali Bhadar Goel     |       | 20   |   |   |
| Mangat Ram           | 17595 | Fail | — | — |
| Phagnu Ram           |       | 13   |   |   |
| Vash Pal Singh       | 17597 | Fail | — | — |
| Jagdish Singh        |       | 25   |   |   |
| Bakul                | 17598 | Pass | — | — |
| D. S. Thakur         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Neeru Madaan         | 17599 | Pass | — | — |
| Baldev Raj           |       | 52   |   |   |
| Baldev Krishan Soni  | 17602 | Pass | — | — |
| S. K. Soni           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Karam Singh          | 17603 | Fail | — | — |
| Narain Singh         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Chander Prabha       | 17604 | Fail | — | — |
| P. S. Jishtu         |       | 35   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumari        | 17605 | Fail | — | — |
| Bidhi Chand          |       | 22   |   |   |
| Shanti Parkash       | 17607 | Fail | — | — |
| Brahma Nand          |       | 10   |   |   |
| Bhag Singh Bandan    | 17608 | Fail | — | — |
| Sant Ram             |       | 31   |   |   |
| Surinder Prasad      | 17609 | Pass | — | — |
| Mangat Ram           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Pawan Kumar          | 17610 | Fail | — | — |
| Makholi Ram          |       | 30   |   |   |
| Vijay Nath Lath      | 17613 | Pass | — | — |
| Hem Raj Lath         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Muneesh Gupta        | 17614 | Fail | — | — |
| Satya Pal Gupta      |       | 26   |   |   |
| Meena Ram Rithwan    | 17615 | Fail | — | — |
| C. D. Rithwan        |       | 22   |   |   |
| Sudhir Sharma        | 17616 | Fail | — | — |
| D. C. Sharma         |       | 34   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand         | 17617 | Fail | — | — |
| Ranjit Singh         |       | 28   |   |   |
| Seema Marwah         | 17619 | Fail | — | — |
| P. L. Soni           |       | 30   |   |   |

| 1                   | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|---------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| S/Shri/Smt. :       |       |      |   |   |
| Bindra Devi         | 17620 | Fail | — | — |
| Chuhru Ram          |       | 31   |   |   |
| Manohar Dhadwal     | 17622 | Fail | — | — |
| M. C. Dhadwal       |       | 29   |   |   |
| Duni Chand          | 17625 | Fail | — | — |
| Halman Sharma       |       | 17   |   |   |
| Prem Kumar          | 17626 | Fail | — | — |
| Sukh Sain           |       | 35   |   |   |
| Roop Chand Malhotra | 17628 | Fail | — | — |
| Sher Singh          |       | 11   |   |   |
| Sushil Gautam       | 17629 | Fail | — | — |
| Hem Chand Sharma    |       | 33   |   |   |
| Kuldeep K. Sharma   | 17631 | Pass | — | — |
| Thakur Dass         |       | 52   |   |   |
| Sunil K. Kohli      | 17632 | Fail | — | — |
| K. K. Kohli         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Santosh Kumar       | 17633 | Pass | — | — |
| Amar Singh          |       | 55   |   |   |
| Kewal K. Kaushal    | 17634 | Fail | — | — |
| Mangat Ram          |       | 37   |   |   |
| Uma Soni            | 17636 | Fail | — | — |
| Krishan Lal         |       | 36   |   |   |
| Jagat Ram Kaushal   | 17640 | Fail | — | — |
| Partap Chand        |       | 26   |   |   |
| Meena Kumari Verma  | 17641 | Fail | — | — |
| Sudhesh Pal         |       | 27   |   |   |
| Saneh Lata          | 17642 | Fail | — | — |
| Rup Singh           |       | 32   |   |   |
| Raksha Sharma       | 17643 | Fail | — | — |
| M. L. Sharma        |       | 23   |   |   |
| Raj Kumari          | 17644 | Fail | — | — |
| Jindu Ram Thakur    |       | 23   |   |   |
| Kusum Lata          | 17645 | Fail | — | — |
| Yog Raj Malhotra    |       | 38   |   |   |
| Suman Bakshi        | 17646 | Fail | — | — |
| S. D. S. Jaswal     |       | 37   |   |   |
| Mohinder Singh      | 17647 | Fail | — | — |
| Kishan Singh        |       | 27   |   |   |
| Hem Raj Chaudhary   | 17649 | Pass | — | — |
| Uttam Singh         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Roop Kumar          | 17650 | Fail | — | — |
| Hans Raj            |       | 40   |   |   |
| Prem Lata Goel      | 17651 | Fail | — | — |
| Kharate Ram         |       | 28   |   |   |
| Kanwar Singh Negi   | 17653 | Fail | — | — |
| Chet Ram Negi       |       | 34   |   |   |
| Alok Chand Katoch   | 17654 | Pass | — | — |
| K. S. Katoch        |       | 57   |   |   |
| Om Parkash Bhardwaj | 17656 | Fail | — | — |
| Shiv Kumar          |       | 32   |   |   |
| Yoginder Singh      | 17657 | Fail | — | — |
| Devinder Singh      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Kusum Lata          | 17658 | Fail | — | — |
| Roshan Lal          |       | 35   |   |   |
| Kumari Ravindra     | 17659 | Fail | — | — |
| Mango Ram           |       | 22   |   |   |
| Ravi Kant Sharma    | 17660 | Fail | — | — |
| J. D. Sharma        |       | 23   |   |   |
| Joginder Rao        | 17661 | Fail | — | — |
| Roshan Lal          |       | 27   |   |   |
| Shashi Praba        | 17662 | Pass | — | — |
| Manohar Lal         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rakesh Pathak       | 17663 | Phil | — | — |
| Jagat Ram           |       | 22   |   |   |
| Hiteshi             | 17664 | Fail | — | — |
| R. K. Chauhan       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Vipender Lal Kalta  | 17665 | Fail | — | — |
| P. L. Kalta         |       | 29   |   |   |
| Dinesh Kumar        | 17666 | Pass | — | — |
| T. S. Chauhan       |       | 56   |   |   |
| Sushil Kumar        | 17667 | Fail | — | — |
| Jagdish Chand       |       | 58   |   |   |
| Surinder Kaushal    | 17668 | Pass | — | — |
| R. S. Kaundal       |       | 50   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri; Smt. :</i> |       |      |   |   |
| Bikram Singh Jaswal   | 17669 | Fail | — | — |
| Nanak Singh           |       | 39   |   |   |
| Mauji Ram Heera       | 17670 | Fail | — | — |
| Marchu Ram Heera      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Amarjit Singh Sandhu  | 17671 | Pass | — | — |
| G. S. Sandhu          |       | 55   |   |   |
| Rajdev Rana           | 17672 | Pass | — | — |
| Hukam Singh           |       | 60   |   |   |
| Anita Dhiman          | 17673 | Pass | — | — |
| Avtar Singh           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Prem Dass Walia       | 17674 | Fail | — | — |
| Salig Ram Walia       |       | 25   |   |   |
| Gopal Dass            | 17676 | Fail | — | — |
| Gulzari Lal           |       | 31   |   |   |
| Bhag Singh            | 17677 | Fail | — | — |
| Sunder Singh          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Rattan Lal            | 17678 | Pass | — | — |
| Sant Ram              |       | 51   |   |   |
| Prabha Devi           | 17679 | Fail | — | — |
| Shiv Ram              |       | 35   |   |   |
| Devinder Datt         | 17680 | Fail | — | — |
| Shonkia Ram           |       | 20   |   |   |
| Amardeep Singh        | 17681 | Fail | — | — |
| Baldev Singh          |       | 26   |   |   |
| Tarsem Singh          | 17682 | Fail | — | — |
| Dharam Singh          |       | 23   |   |   |
| Devi Datt Joshi       | 17683 | Fail | — | — |
| C. M. Joshi           |       | 30   |   |   |
| Milap Chand Lugal     | 17684 | Fail | — | — |
| Kanshi Ram            |       | 33   |   |   |
| Rakesh Kumar          | 17685 | Fail | — | — |
| Shankar Dass          |       | 37   |   |   |
| Janak Raj             | 17686 | Fail | — | — |
| Rameshwar Dass        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Uttam Singh           | 17691 | Fail | — | — |
| Mehar Singh           |       | 34   |   |   |
| Sanjeev Kumar         | 17692 | Fail | — | — |
| Rattan Chand          |       | 41   |   |   |
| Varinder Singh        | 17693 | Fail | — | — |
| Nasib Singh           |       | 38   |   |   |
| Kamlesh Kumari        | 17695 | Fail | — | — |
| Himmant Singh         |       | 22   |   |   |
| Amreek Singh          | 17696 | Fail | — | — |
| Nagar Dev             |       | 35   |   |   |
| Manoj Kumar           | 17697 | Fail | — | — |
| Narain Dass           |       | 30   |   |   |
| Amit Kumar            | 17698 | Fail | — | — |
| Shayam Lal Gupta      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Khajan Chand          | 17699 | Fail | — | — |
| Parma Ram             |       | 27   |   |   |
| Anil Thakur           | 17700 | Fail | — | — |
| Durga Singh           |       | 27   |   |   |
| Chander Kant          | 17703 | Fail | — | — |
| Gain Chand            |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ramdhan Sankhyan      | 17705 | Fail | — | — |
| Hariman               |       | 31   |   |   |
| Kanshi Ram Garg       | 17706 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Chand             |       | 38   |   |   |
| Harnam Singh          | 17707 | Fail | — | — |
| Tabu Ram              |       | 25   |   |   |
| Krishan Chand         | 17708 | Fail | — | — |
| Mohan Ram             |       | 38   |   |   |
| Salochna Sharma       | 17710 | Fail | — | — |
| Raj Ram Sharma        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Shanti Sarup Sharma   | 17711 | Fail | — | — |
| P. D. Sharma          |       | 36   |   |   |
| Baldev Dhiman         | 17712 | Fail | — | — |
| Munshi Ram            |       | 38   |   |   |
| Milkh Raj Bains       | 17713 | Fail | — | — |
| Bhagat Ram            |       | 28   |   |   |
| Romesh Chand          | 17714 | Fail | — | — |
| Sewa Ram              |       | 26   |   |   |
| Ram Chand Tabyal      | 17715 | Fail | — | — |
| Dass Ram              |       | 32   |   |   |
| Satish Kumar Khanna   | 17716 | Pass | — | — |
| I. P. Khanna          |       | 50   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| S/Shri/Smt. :        |       |      |   |   |
| Chander Pal          | 17717 | Pass | — | — |
| Bahadur Singh        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Kamal Parkash Sehgal | 17718 | Fail | — | — |
| Bansi Ram Sehgal     |       | 37   |   |   |
| Seema Rana           | 17720 | Pass | — | — |
| Harnarayan Singh     |       | 50   |   |   |
| Bhavna Tanwar        | 17724 | Fail | — | — |
| K. Upendra Singh     |       | 29   |   |   |
| Shanta Verma         | 17725 | Fail | — | — |
| Rati Ram Verma       |       | 42   |   |   |
| Saroj Bala Rathore   | 17726 | Pass | — | — |
| Sohan Singh Rawat    |       | 50   |   |   |
| Manorma Negi         | 17727 | Fail | — | — |
| Kehar Singh Negi     |       | 38   |   |   |
| Bharat Singh Kashyap | 17728 | Fail | — | — |
| B. R. Kashyap        |       | 30   |   |   |
| Shashj Bala Sharma   | 17729 | Fail | — | — |
| Duni Chand Sharma    |       | 22   |   |   |
| Mridula Kharwara     | 17730 | Fail | — | — |
| Ranjit Singh         |       | 34   |   |   |
| Inder Singh          | 17731 | Fail | — | — |
| Kaila Ram            |       | 26   |   |   |
| Sunita Sanjeev Sunta | 17736 | Fail | — | — |
| Chitranshan Dass     |       | 24   |   |   |
| Narinder Singh Rana  | 17737 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Singh Rana       |       | 5    |   |   |
| Samrita Dogra        | 17738 | Fail | — | — |
| G. S. Rana           |       | 37   |   |   |
| Jagan Nath Thakur    | 17740 | Fail | — | — |
| Sant Ram             |       | 15   |   |   |
| Kundan Lal           | 17741 | Pass | — | — |
| Brahm Dass           |       | 55   |   |   |
| Tejinder Lal         | 17742 | Fail | — | — |
| Bhuru Ram            |       | 32   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar         | 17746 | Fail | — | — |
| Brahm Dutt           |       | 29   |   |   |
| Ashwani Kumar        | 17749 | Fail | — | — |
| Parma Nand           |       | 37   |   |   |
| Mithlesh Kumari      | 17751 | Pass | — | — |
| Puran Chand          |       | 50   |   |   |
| Sardari Lal Changra  | 17752 | Fail | — | — |
| Tulsi Ram            |       | 38   |   |   |
| Karam Chand          | 17753 | Fail | — | — |
| Lasho Ram            |       | 26   |   |   |
| Sunita Singh         | 17754 | Fail | — | — |
| Prithvi Chand        |       | 40   |   |   |
| Nishi Kant Sharma    | 17756 | Fail | — | — |
| S. D. Sharma         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Suresh Thakur        | 17757 | Fail | — | — |
| Prem Singh Thakur    |       | 32   |   |   |
| Bansi Ram Verma      | 17758 | Fail | — | — |
| Dandu Ram            |       | 36   |   |   |
| Madhu Verma          | 17759 | Fail | — | — |
| Prem Singh Verma     |       | 35   |   |   |
| Balbir Singh Verma   | 17761 | Fail | — | — |
| Achar Singh          |       | 30   |   |   |
| Savitri Sharma       | 17762 | Fail | — | — |
| Runka Ram            |       | 23   |   |   |
| Swaroop K. Bhardwaj  | 17764 | Pass | — | — |
| Daulat Ram           |       | 52   |   |   |
| Anil Kumar           | 17766 | Fail | — | — |
| Prem Chand           |       | 24   |   |   |
| Bhag Chand Thakur    | 17767 | Fail | — | — |
| P. R. Thakur         |       | 25   |   |   |
| Rama Chauhan         | 17768 | Fail | — | — |
| B. S. Chauhan        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Yudhishther Kumar    | 17770 | Fail | — | — |
| Mitter Dev           |       | 9    |   |   |
| Manju Jaryal         | 17771 | Fail | — | — |
| Anam Singh Naryal    |       | 29   |   |   |
| Shreekala Vij        | 17774 | Fail | — | — |
| Giridhar Mimani      |       | 36   |   |   |
| Kamlesh Pathak       | 17775 | Fail | — | — |
| Hakim Raj Sharma     |       | 26   |   |   |
| Tulsi Ram Thakur     | 17778 | Fail | — | — |
| Hazara Ram           |       | 11   |   |   |



| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| S/Sir/Smt. :         |       |      |   |   |
| Mukhtijar Chand      | 17779 | Fail | — | — |
| Sih Ram              |       | 24   |   |   |
| Rup Chand            | 17780 | Fail | — | — |
| Rangilu Ram          |       | 33   |   |   |
| Narinder Nath Dhiman | 17781 | Fail | — | — |
| Lal Dass Dhiman      |       | 20   |   |   |
| Vidya Sagar Thakur   | 17783 | Fail | — | — |
| Mahant Ram           |       | 8    |   |   |
| Bharti Kapoor        | 17784 | Fail | — | — |
| Mahender Kapoor      |       | 28   |   |   |
| Rachna Gupta         | 17785 | Fail | — | — |
| Om Prakash           |       | 36   |   |   |
| Dava Ram             | 17786 | Fail | — | — |
| Lachhamu Ram         |       | 23   |   |   |
| Devendra Kumar       | 17787 | Fail | — | — |
| Kirpa Ram            |       | 23   |   |   |
| Rajender Kumar       | 17788 | Fail | — | — |
| Dharmvir Kashyap     |       | 27   |   |   |
| Joginder Kumar       | 17789 | Fail | — | — |
| Sarwan Kumar         |       | 37   |   |   |
| Pawan Kumar          | 17791 | Fail | — | — |
| L. R. Sharma         |       | 33   |   |   |
| Arjun Singh          | 17794 | Fail | — | — |
| Julfi Ram            |       | 17   |   |   |
| Prem Pal Thakur      | 17795 | Fail | — | — |
| Pratap Singh         |       | 24   |   |   |
| Parnita Thakur       | 17796 | Fail | — | — |
| G. C. Thakur         |       | 33   |   |   |
| Nisha Gupta          | 17797 | Pass | — | — |
| Lal Chand Gupta      |       | 56   |   |   |
| Harnam Singh Rana    | 17798 | Fail | — | — |
| Munshi Ram Rana      |       | 20   |   |   |
| Ganga Negi           | 17800 | Fail | — | — |
| K. R. Negi           |       | 17   |   |   |
| Poonam Sharma        | 17801 | Fail | — | — |
| B. D. Sharma         |       | 23   |   |   |
| Krishna Sharma       | 17802 | Fail | — | — |
| Jagdish Chand        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Chander Kanta        | 17803 | Fail | — | — |
| Ranbir Singh         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Randhir S. Rathour   | 17804 | Fail | — | — |
| Mansukh Rathour      |       | 28   |   |   |
| Rajinder Singh       | 17805 | Fail | — | — |
| Lachman Singh        |       | 25   |   |   |
| Anita Gupta          | 17807 | Fail | — | — |
| Mansa Ram Gupta      |       | 31   |   |   |
| Tanzin Angmo         | 17808 | Fail | — | — |
| Paljor Wapa          |       | 26   |   |   |
| Tashi Angmo          | 17809 | Fail | — | — |
| Paljore              |       | 20   |   |   |
| Fateh Singh Thakur   | 17810 | Fail | — | — |
| Pari Dutt            |       | 34   |   |   |
| Almi Thakur          | 17811 | Fail | — | — |
| Atma Ram             |       | 27   |   |   |
| Tajender Singh       | 17812 | Pass | — | — |
| M. S. Kutleria       |       | 53   |   |   |
| Balbir Singh         | 17814 | Pass | — | — |
| Sher Singh           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Balbir Singh         | 17815 | Fail | — | — |
| Kishor Chand         |       | 24   |   |   |
| Pardeep Kumar        | 17816 | Pass | — | — |
| Lobhi Ram            |       | 50   |   |   |
| Jagdev Singh         | 17817 | Pass | — | — |
| Piar Singh           |       | 52   |   |   |
| Chander Kala         | 17820 | Fail | — | — |
| S. R. Sahni          |       | 20   |   |   |
| Monika Gupta         | 17821 | Fail | — | — |
| G. P. Gupta          |       | 28   |   |   |
| Sanjay Kumar         | 17824 | Fail | — | — |
| Het Ram              |       | 37   |   |   |
| Shashi Pal           | 17825 | Fail | — | — |
| Kanshi Ram           |       | 35   |   |   |
| Jagdish Chand        | 17826 | Fail | — | — |
| Bhuri Singh          |       | 33   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| <i>S/Shri. Smt. :</i> |       |      |   |   |
| Neelam Sharma         | 17828 | Fail | — | — |
| Babu Ram Sharma       |       | 23   |   |   |
| Nirmal Devi Thakur    | 17829 | Pass | — | — |
| Hari Chand            |       | 50   |   |   |
| Sushil Kumar Sharma   | 17830 | Fail | — | — |
| Praja Ram             |       | 38   |   |   |
| Jagdish Singh         | 17831 | Fail | — | — |
| Dhurgo Ram            |       | 35   |   |   |
| Krishan Lal           | 17832 | Fail | — | — |
| Nagin Chand           |       | 26   |   |   |
| Manorma Gautam        | 17834 | Fail | — | — |
| Krishan Dass          |       | 13   |   |   |
| Sukh Dev              | 17836 | Fail | — | — |
| Lekh Ram              |       | 36   |   |   |
| Meena Kumari          | 17837 | Fail | — | — |
| Chaudhari Ram         |       | 24   |   |   |
| Kiran Kumari          | 17838 | Fail | — | — |
| Chaman Lal            |       | 25   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand          | 17839 | Fail | — | — |
| Thakur Dass           |       | 38   |   |   |
| Vipin Kumar           | 17840 | Pass | — | — |
| Bishan Dass           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Aruna Khanna          | 17841 | Pass | — | — |
| Surinder Nath         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Punam Chaudhary       | 17842 | Pass | — | — |
| Kuldip Chand          |       | 51   |   |   |
| Yadvender Kumar       | 17843 | Pass | — | — |
| Narain Dass           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Ravi Kapoor           | 17844 | Fail | — | — |
| Gulab Singh           |       | 23   |   |   |
| Tilak Raj             | 17845 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Pal               |       | 26   |   |   |
| Brahama Nand          | 17846 | Fail | — | — |
| S. Ghasitu Ram        |       | 22   |   |   |
| Mohan Singh           | 17847 | Fail | — | — |
| Khembu Ram            |       | 30   |   |   |
| Lokesh Parikh         | 17848 | Fail | — | — |
| Prabhu Dayal          |       | 25   |   |   |
| Vidya Sagar Dhigta    | 17849 | Fail | — | — |
| Mohan Lal             |       | 32   |   |   |
| Tarvinder Kaur        | 17850 | Pass | — | — |
| Gurdev Singh          |       | 62   |   |   |
| Malkeet Singh         | 17851 | Fail | — | — |
| Paras Ram             |       | 38   |   |   |
| Harish Kumar          | 17852 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Kishore           |       | 20   |   |   |
| Pritam Chand Sandhu   | 17853 | Fail | — | — |
| Nikku Ram             |       | 29   |   |   |
| Vinod Dogra           | 17855 | Fail | — | — |
| Baldev Singh          |       | 36   |   |   |
| Kailash Chand         | 17856 | Fail | — | — |
| Rama Nand             |       | 20   |   |   |
| Neena Kumari          | 17857 | Fail | — | — |
| Bahadur Singh         |       | 22   |   |   |
| Dev Raj               | 17861 | Pass | — | — |
| Nanak Chand           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Anil Kumar            | 12862 | Fail | — | — |
| Lekh Ram              |       | 39   |   |   |
| Devi Chand            | 17863 | Fail | — | — |
| Mehar Chand           |       | 29   |   |   |
| Rajesh Patial         | 17864 | Fail | — | — |
| Jagdish Ram           |       | 34   |   |   |
| Punam Rana            | 17865 | Fail | — | — |
| K. K. Kapahi          |       | 34   |   |   |
| Raghuvir Singh        | 17866 | Fail | — | — |
| Rasil Chand           |       | 36   |   |   |
| Ajay Kumar Sharma     | 17867 | Fail | — | — |
| Sadhu Ram             |       | 25   |   |   |
| Pushpinder Malik      | 17870 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Singh             |       | 29   |   |   |
| Govind Ram Thakur     | 17871 | Fail | — | — |
| Franti Ram Thakur     |       | 27   |   |   |
| Amar Dev Angiras      | 17873 | Fail | — | — |
| Anant Ram             |       | 23   |   |   |
| Purshottam Chand      | 17875 | Fail | — | — |
| Pala Ram              |       | 39   |   |   |
| Asha Rani             | 17876 | Fail | — | — |
| Kishan Chand          |       | 24   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| S/Shri Smt. :        |       |      |   |   |
| Joginder Singh       | 17880 | Fail | — | — |
| Om Prakash           |       | 34   |   |   |
| Surender Kumar       | 17882 | Fail | — | — |
| Bali Ram             |       | 20   |   |   |
| Amar Jit             | 17885 | Fail | — | — |
| Dhani Ram            |       | 21   |   |   |
| Rajendra Singh       | 17887 | Fail | — | — |
| Jiwan Singh          |       | 27   |   |   |
| Bhal Singh Chandel   | 17888 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Krishan Negi     |       | 25   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chaudhary     | 17889 | Fail | — | — |
| G. L. Chaudhary      |       | 22   |   |   |
| Punam Pandey         | 17890 | Fail | — | — |
| R. C. Tiwari         |       | 29   |   |   |
| Paras Ram Chauhan    | 17891 | Fail | — | — |
| Mangat Ram           |       | 17   |   |   |
| Deepa Kalra          | 17892 | Fail | — | — |
| Sohan Lal            |       | 23   |   |   |
| Kamlesh Kumari       | 17893 | Fail | — | — |
| Rup Singh            |       | 15   |   |   |
| Sunil Kumar Samma    | 17894 | Fail | — | — |
| Roshan Lal Samma     |       | 33   |   |   |
| Vijay Kumar          | 17895 | Fail | — | — |
| Hazari Lal           |       | 19   |   |   |
| Lalita Rawat         | 17896 | Fail | — | — |
| Mehar Chand          |       | 35   |   |   |
| Sansar Chand         | 17899 | Fail | — | — |
| Dalipa Ram           |       | 32   |   |   |
| Bhim Singh Rana      | 17901 | Fail | — | — |
| Sudama Ram           |       | 31   |   |   |
| Satish Kumar         | 17905 | Fail | — | — |
| Sher Singh           |       | 32   |   |   |
| Daya Ram             | 17910 | Fail | — | — |
| Tulsi Ram            |       | 26   |   |   |
| Sarita Sharma        | 17911 | Fail | — | — |
| Nagender Lal         |       | 34   |   |   |
| Surinder Singh       | 17913 | Pass | — | — |
| Z. R. Mankotia       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Trilochan Singh      | 17915 | Fail | — | — |
| Amar Singh           |       | 38   |   |   |
| Neelam Verma         | 17916 | Pass | — | — |
| Baldev Raj Suri      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Usha Rani            | 17918 | Pass | — | — |
| B. R. Sharma         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Jasomati Sharma      | 17924 | Fail | — | — |
| Chet Ram             |       | 34   |   |   |
| Praven Kumar Jhamb   | 17928 | Fail | — | — |
| Krishan Lal Jhamb    |       | 34   |   |   |
| Krishan Paul         | 17922 | Fail | — | — |
| Mahant Ram           |       | 21   |   |   |
| Munish Kamal         | 17930 | Fail | — | — |
| T. R. Kamal          |       | 21   |   |   |
| Chander Shekhar      | 17931 | Fail | — | — |
| Om Prakash           |       | 34   |   |   |
| Gopal Singh Jaswal   | 17933 | Fail | — | — |
| Dhara Singh          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Rattan Chand Thakur  | 17934 | Fail | — | — |
| Tek Chand            |       | 22   |   |   |
| Prem Chand Chaudhary | 17939 | Fail | — | — |
| Kartar Chand         |       | 26   |   |   |
| Uma Dutt Vashist     | 17940 | Pass | — | — |
| Paras Ram Vashist    |       | 64   |   |   |
| Anokhi Ram Kashyap   | 17941 | Fail | — | — |
| Budhi Ram            |       | 38   |   |   |
| Parkash Chand        | 17943 | Fail | — | — |
| Kahan Singh          |       | 10   |   |   |
| Satish K. Chaudhary  | 17944 | Fail | — | — |
| P. R. Chaudhary      |       | 38   |   |   |
| Som Lal              | 17945 | Pass | — | — |
| Lekh Raj             |       | 51   |   |   |
| Dharam Singh Minhas  | 17946 | Fail | — | — |
| Jalu Ram             |       | 27   |   |   |
| Ruchi Ramesh         | 17948 | Fail | — | — |
| P. R. Ramesh         |       | 21   |   |   |
| Ashok Kumar          | 17950 | Pass | — | — |
| Ishwar Dass          |       | 53   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Sh. Rajinder Kumar    | 17951 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Chand     |       | 51   |   |   |
| Sh. Poonam Kumari     | 17952 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kashmir Singh     |       | 52   |   |   |
| Sh. Kusum Sharma      | 17954 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Raj Sharma   |       | 7    |   |   |
| Tara Chauhan          | 17956 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Singh        |       | 34   |   |   |
| Anita Rana            | 17957 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. J. C. Rana        |       | 54   |   |   |
| Ravi Pararkash Sharma | 17958 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bashmber Datt     |       | 29   |   |   |
| Suman Singh Katwal    | 17959 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kehar Singh       |       | 25   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumar Gautam   | 17960 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Beli Ram Gautam   |       | 26   |   |   |
| Satya Prakash Sharma  | 17961 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tankeshwar Ram    |       | 42   |   |   |
| Nirmala Sharma        | 17963 | Fail | — | — |
| SS. Braham Datt       |       | 26   |   |   |
| Om Prakash Sharma     | 17964 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Durga Dass        |       | 36   |   |   |
| Ram Bhaj              | 17965 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hari Singh        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ajit Kumar Sharma     | 17966 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Thenu Ram Sharma  |       | 34   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumar          | 17967 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shyam Lal         |       | 30   |   |   |
| Reena Saini           | 17968 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kali Dass Saini   |       | 21   |   |   |
| Neelam Kumari         | 17969 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jasu Ram          |       | 34   |   |   |
| Depak Nagari          | 17970 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. D. N. Nagari      |       | 38   |   |   |
| Mamta Kumari          | 17971 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Narender Mohan    |       | 26   |   |   |
| Kamal Singh           | 17972 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Loungu Ram        |       | 36   |   |   |
| Kusum Kapoor          | 17974 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Tek Chand Bisth   |       | 51   |   |   |
| Surinder Singh        | 17975 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Chand         |       | 29   |   |   |
| Devender Kumar        | 17976 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Harnam Singh      |       | 37   |   |   |
| Parkash Chand         | 17977 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lachhman Dass     |       | 39   |   |   |
| Manoj Kumar Sharma    | 17978 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nek Ram Sharma    |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pritam Singh Thakur   | 17979 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Saran Thakur  |       | 50   |   |   |
| Suneel Kumar          | 17980 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Param Dev Saini   |       | 53   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar          | 17981 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ramu              |       | 31   |   |   |
| Punjab Singh Rana     | 17983 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mangat Ram Rana   |       | 58   |   |   |
| Tulsi Ram Shandil     | 17984 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. M. R. Shandil     |       | 17   |   |   |
| Om Parkash Sharma     | 17985 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Fitu Ram Sharma   |       | 23   |   |   |
| Puran Chand           | 17989 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tulsi Ram         |       | 19   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar             | 17990 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chaman Prakash    |       | 38   |   |   |
| Ram Paul              | 17991 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Diwan Chand       |       | 30   |   |   |
| Satya Devi            | 17992 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shankar Dutt      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Parbodh Thakur        | 17993 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kulwant Singh     |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chander        | 17997 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lachhman Dass     |       | 17   |   |   |
| Mastan Singh          | 17998 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sa'ig Ram         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Gita Ram Kashyap      | 17999 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. N. R. Kashyap     |       | 25   |   |   |
| Madan Gopal Patyal    | 18000 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shankar Lal       |       | 33   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Binti Manuja         | 18005 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. D. N. Mehra      |       | 38   | — | — |
| Naresh Kumar Sharma  | 18006 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Govind Ram       |       | 19   | — | — |
| Raj Nath Sharma      | 18008 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Brahma Nand      |       | 37   | — | — |
| Mohan Lal Dhiman     | 18009 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Panju Ram        |       | 30   | — | — |
| Jagat Mohan Singh    | 18010 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Babu Ram         |       | 32   | — | — |
| Abhay Singh Negi     | 18011 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dorjai Negi      |       | 38   | — | — |
| P. L. Sharma         | 18014 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Dass Sharma  |       | 50   | — | — |
| Roshan Lal           | 18015 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ghirthoo Ram     |       | 29   | — | — |
| Bishan Swaroop       | 18019 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nek Ram          |       | 33   | — | — |
| Bhagi Rath Dogra     | 18020 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram       |       | 23   | — | — |
| Brikam Singh         | 18021 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mangat Ram       |       | 31   | — | — |
| Nand Lal             | 18022 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sunder Ram       |       | 29   | — | — |
| Suneel Dutt Sharma   | 18023 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Luxman Dutt      |       | 27   | — | — |
| Hem Dutt Sharma      | 18024 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dandu Ram        |       | 13   | — | — |
| Saroj Kumari Sharma  | 18025 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mathura Dass     |       | 5    | — | — |
| Gian Chand           | 18026 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Phinu Ram        |       | 37   | — | — |
| Kamal Kishore        | 18027 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shanker Dass     |       | 35   | — | — |
| Ram Krishan          | 18029 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prabhu Ram       |       | 31   | — | — |
| Vijay Kumar          | 18031 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jagan Nath       |       | 50   | — | — |
| Sukh Lal             | 18032 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jodha Ram        |       | 25   | — | — |
| Surinder Paul        | 18035 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Roop Chand       |       | 27   | — | — |
| Rakesh Kumar Chauhan | 18040 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gulab Singh      |       | 13   | — | — |
| Nirmal Kumar         | 18042 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Bhawani Shankar  |       | 50   | — | — |
| Rama Sharma          | 18043 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. H. D. Mehta      |       | 27   | — | — |
| Lal Singh Patial     | 18044 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mahant Ram       |       | 16   | — | — |
| Pratap Chand         | 18046 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Braham Dass      |       | 19   | — | — |
| Chaman Lal Kalja     | 18047 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Damodar Dass     |       | 24   | — | — |
| Ramesh Chand         | 18048 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Nath        |       | 38   | — | — |
| Rajinder Singh       | 18049 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dila Ram         |       | 60   | — | — |
| Raj Kumar            | 18050 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram       |       | 50   | — | — |
| Ram Sharma           | 18053 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rikhi Ram Sharma |       | 18   | — | — |
| Parkasho Devi        | 18054 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhandari Ram     |       | 32   | — | — |
| Vinod Kumar Sharma   | 18055 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Niranjan Lal     |       | 31   | — | — |
| Harbans Lal          | 18056 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Relu Ram         |       | 36   | — | — |
| Jaswant Singh        | 18058 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Shyam Lal        |       | 56   | — | — |
| Kailash Katoch       | 18059 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Chand       |       | 51   | — | — |
| Gopal Singh Saini    | 18060 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Bardu Ram Saini  |       | 50   | — | — |
| Durga Parasad Sharma | 18063 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhagat Ram       |       | 33   | — | — |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Sonia Kaul           | 18066 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. J. L. Sharma     |       | 57   |   |   |
| Tanzin Chhota Negi   | 18067 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. C. G. Chen Negi  |       | 51   |   |   |
| Vijay Nath Lath      | 18068 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Hem Raj Lath     |       | 51   |   |   |
| Rakesh Sharma        | 18069 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gian Chand       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Nasib Singh          | 18070 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prem Singh       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Nirmal Chauhan       | 18072 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Ram Chauhan |       | 13   |   |   |
| Manoj Mahajan        | 18073 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Anant Ram        |       | 60   |   |   |
| Ranvir Singh Rathor  | 18074 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Gokal Chand      |       | 51   |   |   |
| Rajesh Kapatia       | 18075 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prakash Chand    |       | 24   |   |   |
| Ran Singh            | 18078 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gopal Dass       |       | 22   |   |   |
| Dharam Paul          | 18079 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Inder Singh      |       | 38   |   |   |
| Joginder Paul        | 18080 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Ram         |       | 26   |   |   |
| Ram Chand            | 18082 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kashmir Singh    |       | 30   |   |   |
| Dinesh Kumar Misra   | 18083 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. N. R. Misra      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar            | 18084 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ramji Dass       |       | 59   |   |   |
| Vikram Singh Kapil   | 18085 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Manohar Lal      |       | 54   |   |   |
| Mangat Ram Dogra     | 18086 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. B. R. Dogra      |       | 57   |   |   |
| Sudarashan Kumar     | 18087 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Bakhshi Ram      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar         | 18088 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhuri Singh      |       | 33   |   |   |
| Suman Kumar          | 18089 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prabh Dyal       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Sanjay Kumar Sharma  | 18092 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rup Lal Sharma   |       | 39   |   |   |
| Mast Ram             | 18093 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Padam Singh      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Yadvinder Singh      | 18094 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hukam Singh      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Naresh Kumar Sharma  | 18095 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Saran Dass       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Lekh Raj             | 18096 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hira Singh       |       | 23   |   |   |
| Yadvinder Kumar      | 18098 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chet Ram         |       | 36   |   |   |
| Mahipal Sharma       | 18099 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lekh Raj Sharma  |       | 37   |   |   |
| Harbans Lal Kapoor   | 18100 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhup Singh       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Mohinder Kumar       | 18101 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Banku Ram        |       | 35   |   |   |
| Ashok Kumar          | 18103 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Jit         |       | 53   |   |   |
| Kavita Kapoor        | 18105 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lekh Ram Vaidya  |       | 29   |   |   |
| Veena Vaidya         | 18106 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. D. R. Sharma     |       | 22   |   |   |
| Satya Pal Gautam     | 18111 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rikhi Ram        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Rakesh Bhardwaj      | 18112 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Salig Ram        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Dharam Singh Thakur  | 18113 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Singh Thakur |       | 34   |   |   |
| Hem Raj Verma        | 18114 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Balak Ram Verma  |       | 17   |   |   |
| Vijay Kumar          | 18115 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Devi Rup         |       | 12   |   |   |
| Surander Kumari Negi | 18116 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram Negi   |       | 39   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar Sharma     | 18117 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bakshi Ram       |       | 31   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Jaishi Ram            | 18118 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nanak Chand       |       | 19   |   |   |
| Rikhi Chand Bandhan   | 18120 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pala Ram          |       | 26   |   |   |
| Kiran Bala            | 18124 | Fail | — | — |
| Ram Paul              |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pratima Kapoor        | 18128 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prithvi Lal       |       | 41   |   |   |
| Balwant Singh Jinta   | 18131 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gulab Singh       |       | 34   |   |   |
| Chhajju Ram           | 18133 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kishan Chand      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Rajeev Sharma         | 18138 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. M. R. Sharma      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Kam Raj               | 18139 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Krishan Lal       |       | 44   |   |   |
| Vias Binder           | 18140 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Chandu Ram        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Manju Lata            | 18141 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Niranjan Singh    |       | 53   |   |   |
| Pradeep Sharma        | 18142 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ved Prakash       |       | 55   |   |   |
| Sneh Lata             | 18143 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dina Nath         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Prem Chand            | 18148 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Devi Chand        |       | 27   |   |   |
| Chand Kishore         | 18149 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Krishan Lal       |       | 26   |   |   |
| Kamlesh Kumar Gupta   | 18150 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Parma Nand        |       | 56   |   |   |
| Khem Chand Chauhan    | 18151 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ishwar Dass       |       | 34   |   |   |
| B. R. Rana            | 18152 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. S. R. Rana        |       | 51   |   |   |
| Gian Chand Sharma     | 18153 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sita Ram Sharma   |       | 25   |   |   |
| Anita Singh           | 18154 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bihari Lal        |       | 38   |   |   |
| Madhu Sharma          | 18155 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagjit Lal Sharma |       | 33   |   |   |
| Amrita                | 18159 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Krishan Gupta |       | 53   |   |   |
| Upinder Singh         | 18163 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Raghu Nath Singh  |       | 51   |   |   |
| Prakash Chand         | 18167 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhagwan Dass      |       | 16   |   |   |
| Nareish Kumar         | 18168 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Thakur Dass       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Shashi Kumar          | 18169 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hoshier Singh     |       | 41   |   |   |
| Sudarshan Singh       | 18170 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dishan Singh      |       | 51   |   |   |
| Om Parkash Sharma     | 18171 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Fithu Ram         |       | 31   |   |   |
| Narayan Singh         | 18173 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Raphal Singh      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Tara Chand            | 18174 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram        |       | 31   |   |   |
| Inderjeet Singh       | 18176 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dile Ram          |       | 68   |   |   |
| Parkash Saini         | 18177 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Gujjar Mal        |       | 63   |   |   |
| Harish Chandra Joshi  | 18178 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Daya Ram          |       | 37   |   |   |
| Neelam Kaushal        | 18179 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. M. K. Marria      |       | 59   |   |   |
| Yash Pal Singh        | 18180 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amrit Lal         |       | 41   |   |   |
| Rajinder Kumar        | 18181 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Nathu Ram         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Surinder Singh        | 18185 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gulab Singh       |       | 27   |   |   |
| Anil Chandel          | 18186 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Wattan Singh      |       | 36   |   |   |
| Kamal Kishore         | 18187 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rajinder Suman    |       | 29   |   |   |
| Rattan Chand          | 18188 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Beli Ram Chandra  |       | 50   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Pradeep Kumar         | 18191 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Prakash Chand     |       | 59   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar             | 18194 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Nath         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Dharam Paul           | 18195 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram         |       | 54   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar Singh       | 18196 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Nasib Singh       |       | 56   |   |   |
| Nagender Singh        | 18198 | Pass | — | — |
| Tek Chand             |       | 50   |   |   |
| Krishan Kumar         | 18200 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Parma Ram         |       | 50   |   |   |
| B. R. Rana            | 18201 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Givaru Ram Rana   |       | 27   |   |   |
| Hari Ram Sharma       | 18204 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Shiv Ram          |       | 51   |   |   |
| Rajender Chauhan      | 18205 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ujager Singh      |       | 57   |   |   |
| Sanjeev Modgil        | 18207 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Rattan Lal        |       | 55   |   |   |
| Paras Ram Chauhan     | 18208 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kehar Singh       |       | 55   |   |   |
| Ravindra Kumar        | 18210 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Atma Ram          |       | 50   |   |   |
| Tejinder Singh        | 18211 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Roop Singh        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Nek Ram Sharma        | 18212 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Purvia Ram        |       | 42   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar          | 18213 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Daya Ram          |       | 39   |   |   |
| Nanak Chand           | 18216 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Prabha Ram Sharma |       | 50   |   |   |
| Kuldeep Singh         | 18217 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Chand         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Purshotam Dass        | 18218 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jai Ram           |       | 54   |   |   |
| Chander Shekhar       | 18219 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Nath         |       | 43   |   |   |
| Nand Lal              | 18223 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram         |       | 55   |   |   |
| Sanjay Kumar          | 18225 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mahender Paul     |       | 39   |   |   |
| Bhim Singh Thakur     | 18226 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. C. R. Thakur      |       | 55   |   |   |
| Pravin Kumar Gupta    | 18227 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Bansi Lal         |       | 60   |   |   |
| Kundan Singh Rana     | 18231 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mohar Singh       |       | 59   |   |   |
| Surender Kumar        | 18232 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Murat Singh       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar Sharma   | 18233 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram Sharma |       | 50   |   |   |
| Lalit Kumar           | 18234 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Shankar Lal       |       | 57   |   |   |
| Inder Singh           | 18235 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Nokhu Ram         |       | 58   |   |   |
| Giri Raj Sharma       | 18236 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Yad Ram Sharma    |       | 33   |   |   |
| Anusuya Prasad        | 18237 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Vachaspati Kul    |       | 29   |   |   |
| Vidya Sagar Patial    | 18238 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bageshi Ram       |       | 43   |   |   |
| Vidya Sagar Sharma    | 18239 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nathu Ram         |       | 31   |   |   |
| Amar Nath             | 18242 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jiwanu Ram        |       | 15   |   |   |
| Surinder Kaur         | 18245 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sewa Singh        |       | 29   |   |   |
| Sohan Lal Gupta       | 18246 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kheem Chand       |       | 52   |   |   |
| Prem Chand            | 18247 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ratti Ram         |       | 53   |   |   |
| Manoj Kumar Sharma    | 18249 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Narsi Ram         |       | 61   |   |   |
| Ram Swaroop Verma     | 18251 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mast Ram          |       | 52   |   |   |



| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Param Dev Badola     | 18255 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Prasad       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Narinder Sharma      | 18256 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. H. C. Sharma     |       | 90   |   |   |
| Alpa Malik           | 18258 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Inderjeet Malik  |       | 51   |   |   |
| Shashi Bharti        | 18260 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. B. C. Bharti     |       | 19   |   |   |
| Om Prakash Sharma    | 18263 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Pali Ram Sharma  |       | 50   |   |   |
| Bhag Singh           | 18265 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Roop Singh       |       | 32   |   |   |
| Des Raj Pathania     | 18266 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kehar Singh      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Ashok Kumar Sharma   | 18267 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shri Ram Sharma  |       | 35   |   |   |
| Kartar Singh         | 18268 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jai Singh        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Parshottam Dass      | 18269 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nathu Ram        |       | 21   |   |   |
| Kailash Chand Pal    | 18270 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Chand    |       | 38   |   |   |
| Dharam Vir           | 18271 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Punnu Ram        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Bhupender Gupta      | 18272 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kamleshwar Gupta |       | 38   |   |   |
| Vijay Chander Sharma | 18273 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Pal Sharma   |       | 36   |   |   |
| Sunil Kumar Pal      | 18274 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Chand    |       | 43   |   |   |
| Sohan Lal            | 18275 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Daya Ram         |       | 52   |   |   |
| Madan Lal Sharma     | 18277 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Prabhu Dass      |       | 52   |   |   |
| Kanta Kondal         | 18278 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Singh       |       | 51   |   |   |
| Pushpa Sharma        | 18279 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Chet Ram Sharma  |       | 50   |   |   |
| Prem Lal Sharma      | 18280 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhagwan Ram      |       | 24   |   |   |
| Jagjeet Singh Bansal | 18281 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bangali Ram      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Lal Singh Chauhan    | 18282 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Durga Singh      |       | 35   |   |   |
| Sarojini Bansal      | 18283 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Sukh         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Sangita Gupta        | 18284 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. K. K. Gupta      |       | 54   |   |   |
| Prem Lal Sharma      | 18286 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mohla Ram        |       | 58   |   |   |
| Rani Nath Kalia      | 18287 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chhaju Ram       |       | 30   |   |   |
| Meena Kumari         | 18288 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Sali Ram Verma   |       | 50   |   |   |
| Yashant Singh        | 18289 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ran Singh        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Baldev Dass Rattan   | 18290 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bansi Ram Rattan |       | 32   |   |   |
| Kusum Dewan          | 18296 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Harbhagwan Dass  |       | 50   |   |   |
| Harbans Kaur         | 18298 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagat Singh      |       | 51   |   |   |
| Indu Bala            | 18299 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Nath Sharma |       | 29   |   |   |
| Neelam               | 18300 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Paul Singh   |       | 33   |   |   |
| Gurvinder Pal Singh  | 18301 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kishan Chand     |       | 52   |   |   |
| Ajay Sharma          | 18303 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Nath        |       | 55   |   |   |
| Prem Singh           | 18304 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. P. Ram           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Ravi Kumar Vaid      | 18305 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shyam Lal Vaid   |       | 39   |   |   |
| Ashwani Kumar        | 18306 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dina Nath        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Amar Chand Jaggi     | 18307 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chaudhari Ram    |       | 39   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Ramesh Kumar          | 18308 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rikhi Ram         |       | 14   |   |   |
| Sharej Kumar Sharma   | 18309 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Narth         |       | 33   |   |   |
| Renu Suman            | 18310 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. S. P. Bhardwaj    |       | 50   |   |   |
| Roop Singh Thakur     | 18311 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Angrup Dorje      |       | 37   |   |   |
| Sudesh Sharma         | 18313 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Parmeshwari Dass  |       | 42   |   |   |
| Necra Mahajan         | 18314 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Roshan Lal Gupta  |       | 59   |   |   |
| Sulakshna Atttri      | 18315 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagir Singh       |       | 42   |   |   |
| Sikander Singh        | 18317 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Harbhajan Singh   |       | 58   |   |   |
| Om Parkash Vates      | 18318 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Rattan Chand      |       | 53   |   |   |
| Charanjit Singh       | 18319 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shesh Ram         |       | 16   |   |   |
| Lekh Raj              | 18320 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Biri Singh        |       | 38   |   |   |
| Tara Chand Sharma     | 18321 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram Sharma  |       | 39   |   |   |
| Kashmiri Lal          | 18322 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagat Ram         |       | 29   |   |   |
| Abha Peter            | 18323 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. A. K. Narru       |       | 30   |   |   |
| Meenu Sood            | 18324 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Puran Chand Sood  |       | 36   |   |   |
| Ajay Singh            | 18325 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rajinder Singh    |       | 30   |   |   |
| Kalyan Singh          | 18331 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Lakhu Ram         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Seema Kaul            | 18332 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. J. N. Kaul        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Vasu Dev Sharma       | 18334 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Krishan Dutt      |       | 3    |   |   |
| Hardev Singh Kanwar   | 18335 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Shakti Chand      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumar          | 18336 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Gian Chand        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Shakuntla Kanwar      | 18337 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gian Chand Rawat  |       | 33   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumari Sharma  | 18338 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bali Ram Sharma   |       | 29   |   |   |
| Durga Singh Thakur    | 18340 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dile Ram Thakur   |       | 53   |   |   |
| Govind Ram Thakur     | 18341 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lakhu Ram         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Girdhari Lal Sharma   | 18342 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dharam Dass       |       | 58   |   |   |
| Maya Kumari           | 18343 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram Chauhan |       | 52   |   |   |
| Vibhadra Singh Tegta  | 18344 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. B. D. Tegta       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Anjali Kapoor         | 18345 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Laxaman Dass      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Vijay Kumar           | 18346 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sita Ram          |       | 22   |   |   |
| Jayant Kumar Sharma   | 18351 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amba Dutt         |       | 40   |   |   |
| Lokinder Singh        | 18352 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. S. N. Chauhan     |       | 42   |   |   |
| Chand Thakur          | 18353 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Shanker Singh     |       | 39   |   |   |
| Bharti Chauhan        | 18354 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Tara Chand Verma  |       | 52   |   |   |
| Kiran Kaushal         | 18355 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jagir Singh       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Savita Gupta          | 18356 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bir Singh Gupta   |       | 39   |   |   |
| Rita Kashyap          | 18357 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. S. R. Kashyap     |       | 50   |   |   |
| Padam Singh           | 18359 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hari Singh        |       | 14   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar          | 18360 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gandhi Ram Sharma |       | 26   |   |   |

| 1                   | 2     | 3     | 4 | 5 |
|---------------------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Suresh Kumar        | 18361 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Prem Dass       |       | 20    |   |   |
| Sushma Kashyap      | 18364 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. J.R. Kashyap    |       | 29    |   |   |
| Rattan Chand Gupta  | 18365 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Huma Nand       |       | 35    |   |   |
| Mehar Chand Thakur  | 18366 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Meena Ram       |       | 17    |   |   |
| Dinesh Kumar Negi   | 18367 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. H. Darje        |       | 29    |   |   |
| Mohinder Verma      | 18370 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Tulsi Ram       |       | 31    |   |   |
| Jagdish Chand       | 18371 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Shiv Ram        |       | 32    |   |   |
| Mohan-Lal           | 18372 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Jhanju Ram      |       | 2     |   |   |
| Mohinder Singh      | 18373 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. C. R. Patial    |       | 57    |   |   |
| Tilak Raj Sharma    | 18374 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram       |       | 30    |   |   |
| Meenakshi Sharda    | 18375 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. B. D. Sharda    |       | 41    |   |   |
| Shakuntla Pathak    | 18376 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. N. R. Pathak    |       | 33    |   |   |
| Suman Sood          | 18377 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. R. K. Sood      |       | 39    |   |   |
| Sudesh Rani         | 18378 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. Kishan Singh    |       | 50    |   |   |
| Rajeev Bhardwaj     | 18379 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Parmanand       |       | 43    |   |   |
| Amar Nath Dhiman    | 18380 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Karam Singh     |       | 19    |   |   |
| Anita               | 18386 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Ajit Singh      |       | 37    |   |   |
| Bhag Chand Chauhan  | 18387 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Balak Ram       |       | 38    |   |   |
| Rajender Singh      | 18388 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. J. R. Chauhan   |       | 43    |   |   |
| Prem Singh Thakur   | 18390 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Daulat Ram      |       | 30    |   |   |
| Yashvir Kumar       | 18392 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Dhani Ram       |       | 31    |   |   |
| Gian Chand          | 18396 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Ashok Kumar     |       | 35    |   |   |
| Narender Kumar      | 18397 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Chuni Lal       |       | 19    |   |   |
| Madho Ram           | 18398 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Kehar Singh     |       | 39    |   |   |
| Ajmer Singh         | 18399 | Fail  | — | — |
| Ajit Singh          |       | 38    |   |   |
| Sarla Larzoo        | 18400 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Pratap Singh    |       | 37    |   |   |
| Upma Dhiman         | 18401 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. G. C. Dhiman    |       | 34    |   |   |
| Guru Dutt Sharma    | 18403 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. G. R. Sharma    |       | 22    |   |   |
| Noel Kamal          | 18404 | [Fail | — | — |
| Sh. D. Singh        |       | 38    |   |   |
| Usha Rani           | 18405 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Sant Ram Sharma |       | 27    |   |   |
| Vijay Lakshmi       | 18406 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. M. C. Sharma    |       | 60    |   |   |
| Baibta Chauhan      | 18407 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Krishan Kumar   |       | 35    |   |   |
| Vibhuti Dogar       | 18408 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. Jog Raj Dogar   |       | 50    |   |   |
| Bhuvnesh Kumar      | 18414 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. Hem Raj Sharma  |       | 71    |   |   |
| Shashi Bhushan      | 18415 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Nek Chand       |       | 29    |   |   |
| Sher Singh          | 18418 | Pass  | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Dev Singh  |       | 56    |   |   |
| Ashok Kumar         | 18421 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Bhagat Ram      |       | 37    |   |   |
| Mridula Vaidya      | 18422 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. C. M. Vaidya    |       | 29    |   |   |
| Lalit Kumar         | 18423 | Fail  | — | — |
| Sh. Nek Ram         |       | 33    |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Hans Raj              | 18424 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. KhemChand         |       | 24   |   |   |
| Dev Dutt,             | 18425 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hukam Chand       |       | 7    |   |   |
| Bipal Chander         | 18426 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Roop Lal Sharma   |       | 16   |   |   |
| Neena                 | 18429 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mangat Ram        |       | 29   |   |   |
| Om Prakash Sharma     | 18431 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram Sharma |       | 20   |   |   |
| Rajender Kumar        | 18432 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jana Ram          |       | 29   |   |   |
| Nain Singh            | 18433 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sansaru Ram       |       | 38   |   |   |
| Jagjeet Singh Rana    | 18434 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Singh Rana    |       | 39   |   |   |
| Bhim Singh Jamwal     | 18435 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Inder Singh       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Vishwanath Sharma     | 18437 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Padam Nath        |       | 23   |   |   |
| Dharmender Kumar      | 18438 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Prem Kumar Sharma |       | 50   |   |   |
| Yovraj                | 18441 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Roop Singh Vaidya |       | 31   |   |   |
| Puran Chand           | 18442 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ved Prakash       |       | 38   |   |   |
| Saroj Bala Kanwar     | 18443 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Rasil Singh       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Shavinder Singh       | 18444 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Balwant Singh     |       | 33   |   |   |
| Meena Kumari          | 18445 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. N. Chaturvedi     |       | 38   |   |   |
| Mulkh Raj             | 18447 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Badri Ram         |       | 11   |   |   |
| Piar Singh            | 18449 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ronki Ram         |       | 27   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand          | 18450 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dilmir Ram        |       | 38   |   |   |
| Navindra Singh        | 18452 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagindra Singh    |       | 38   |   |   |
| Rajesh Kumar          | 18453 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Khazana Ram       |       | 34   |   |   |
| Nek Ram Thakur        | 18454 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hari Singh Thakur |       | 28   |   |   |
| Swadesh Kumar         | 18455 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Loharu Ram        |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pavan Kumari Soni     | 18456 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pershotam Dass    |       | 13   |   |   |
| Suman Kumar Chaddha   | 18457 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nand Lal Chaddha  |       | 32   |   |   |
| Madan Mohan Gupta     | 18459 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hira Lal          |       | 39   |   |   |
| Asha Devi             | 18460 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Anant Ram         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Reeta Bhardwaj        | 18461 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. N. R. Bhardwaj    |       | 33   |   |   |
| Chinnamma, C. J.      | 18462 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Joseph            |       | 54   |   |   |
| Tarsem Lal            | 18466 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Nathu Ram         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Roma Sharma           | 18467 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. J. D. Sharma      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Narinder Kumar        | 18468 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rai Singh         |       | 30   |   |   |
| Anil Kumar            | 18469 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Beli Ram          |       | 26   |   |   |
| Bindu Platia          | 18471 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Anant Ram Mehta   |       | 31   |   |   |
| Brij Bala             | 18472 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. R. N. Farmahan    |       | 33   |   |   |
| Naresh Inder Singh    | 18474 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Daya Ram Chauhan  |       | 19   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Balbir Singh Sharma   | 18476 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. B. R. Sharma      |       | 55   |   |   |
| Om Parkash            | 18478 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bakshi Ram        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Sandesh Kumar         | 18479 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Panju Ram         |       | 31   |   |   |
| Ajay Kumar Puri       | 18480 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Santosh Chand     |       | 37   |   |   |
| Balbir Singh Thakur   | 18481 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bansi Ram         |       | 33   |   |   |
| Satish Kumar          | 18482 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Niku Ram          |       | 23   |   |   |
| Suresh Kumar          | 18483 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mangat Ram        |       | 29   |   |   |
| Vinod Kumar           | 18484 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Harbans Lal       |       | 35   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar Sharma      | 18486 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Ram Sharma   |       | 51   |   |   |
| Aditya Rattan         | 18487 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jagan Nath        |       | 67   |   |   |
| Sohan Lal             | 18488 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bansi Ram         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Gopal                 | 18489 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bharat Ram        |       | 31   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar Himral   | 18490 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tulsi Ram         |       | 27   |   |   |
| Manorma Gautam        | 18491 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. K. D. Khajuria    |       | 10   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand          | 18494 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ratti Ram         |       | 52   |   |   |
| Sukhdyaal Sharma      | 18496 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Devi Chand        |       | 30   |   |   |
| Ghanshyam Kapoor      | 18497 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Chand         |       | 67   |   |   |
| Datendar Kapoor       | 18498 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. M. N. Kapoor      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Ravinder Singh        | 18500 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Norboozangpo      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Pius Bhanu Kapoor     | 18501 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rajinder Paul     |       | 25   |   |   |
| Nupe Ram Kaushal      | 18502 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chet Ram          |       | 33   |   |   |
| Daroga Ram Sharma     | 18503 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jai Gopal         |       | 38   |   |   |
| Ravinder Kumar        | 18504 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amir Chand        |       | 27   |   |   |
| Naresh Kumar          | 18505 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tilak Chand       |       | 28   |   |   |
| Gurcharan Singh       | 18506 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Piar Lal          |       | 37   |   |   |
| Mohan Singh Bragta    | 18507 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Durga Nand        |       | 5    |   |   |
| Suneel Dutt           | 18508 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Achhar Singh      |       | 37   |   |   |
| Mohinder Singh        | 18509 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Satyagar Singh    |       | 26   |   |   |
| Arun Gautam           | 18512 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Krishnu Ram       |       | 43   |   |   |
| Mohi Ram Chauhan      | 18513 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ghasi Ram Chauhan |       | 24   |   |   |
| Ganesh Kumar Jaitak   | 18514 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tek Chand         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Anil Kumar            | 18515 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rattan Singh      |       | 30   |   |   |
| Kishori Lal           | 18516 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Prem Chand        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Chaman Lal Thakur     | 18517 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dola Ram Thakur   |       | 37   |   |   |
| Parveen Kumar         | 18518 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Tek Chand         |       | 53   |   |   |
| Desli Raj Parmar      | 18519 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gorkh Singh       |       | 37   |   |   |
| Santosh Kumar Parmar  | 18520 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagat Ram         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Ram Sawroop Vij       | 18523 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Brij Lal          |       | 65   |   |   |
| Parmodh Singh         | 18524 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Chand Singh       |       | 53   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Menakshi              | 18526 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. J. Dean           |       | 30   |   |   |
| Champa Sharma         | 18527 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. R. K. Sharma      |       | 27   |   |   |
| Hem Raj Kaushik       | 18528 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jaya Nand Kaushik |       | 52   |   |   |
| Meena Thakur          | 18531 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Man Singh         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Khem Raj Thakur       | 18532 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dharm Dutt        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ajit Singh            | 18533 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tulsi Ram         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Banshi Lal Sharma     | 18534 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Devi Ram Sharma   |       | 35   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand          | 18535 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dharam Singh      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Rajesh Kumar          | 18536 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Chander       |       | 27   |   |   |
| Ashok Pal Attri       | 18537 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sunder Singh      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Jagdish Chand Negi    | 18538 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Dayal         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Dinesh Kumar          | 18539 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Beli Ram          |       | 22   |   |   |
| Parkash Chand         | 18541 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gulaba Ram        |       | 25   |   |   |
| Rita Gupta            | 18542 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. V. P. Gupta       |       | 54   |   |   |
| Kusum Lata            | 18543 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mahender Kumar    |       | 34   |   |   |
| Neena Sharma          | 18544 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Ram       |       | 29   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar Verma    | 18545 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hari Chand        |       | 31   |   |   |
| Kiran Sharma          | 18552 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. L. R. Sharma      |       | 62   |   |   |
| Raj Pal               | 18554 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Lal           |       | 55   |   |   |
| Kartar Chand Patial   | 18555 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tihru Ram         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Mridula Sharma        | 18556 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Om Parkash Sharma |       | 50   |   |   |
| Meera Tandon          | 18557 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dhan Dev Kapoor   |       | 44   |   |   |
| Pratibha Kapoor       | 18558 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Brijbihari Lal    |       | 44   |   |   |
| Brestu Ram            | 18559 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chuharu Ram       |       | 30   |   |   |
| Mangal Chand Negi     | 18561 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pemba Ram Negi    |       | 15   |   |   |
| Fateh Chand           | 18562 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Chhering Palzor   |       | 23   |   |   |
| Prem Chand            | 18563 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Sonam Tandup      |       | 52   |   |   |
| Yashwant Rai          | 18564 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Munshi Ram        |       | 36   |   |   |
| Jagjeet Singh Rana    | 18565 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rattan Singh Rana |       | 42   |   |   |
| Hira Lal Bhaik        | 18569 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Rama Nand         |       | 28   |   |   |
| Shashi Kumari         | 18570 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Parkash       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Shashi Sharma         | 18571 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. J. C. Sharma      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Shyama Verma          | 18572 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bansi Lal         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Sanjeev Kumar         | 18573 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Chuni Lal         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Hosiar Singh Rana     | 18577 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. B. S. Rana        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Girdhari Singh        | 18579 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Padam Singh       |       | 23   |   |   |
| Sushma Chauhan        | 18580 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. R. N. Chauhan     |       | 39   |   |   |
| Prem Lata Kohli       | 18581 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kishan Chand      |       | 28   |   |   |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Umesh Bahuguna        | 18583 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. G. P. Bahuguna    |       | 22   |   |   |
| Surinder Kumar        | 18586 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gorakh Ram        |       | 10   |   |   |
| Kishori Lal           | 18587 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh Babu Ram           |       | 17   |   |   |
| Mast Ram Chauhan      | 18588 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Diyal Chauhan |       | 3    |   |   |
| Lal Chand Katoch      | 18589 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagat Ram         |       | 32   |   |   |
| Sudarshan Kumar       | 18590 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Chand     |       | 18   |   |   |
| Kishori Lal Thakur    | 18594 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Achhar Singh      |       | 26   |   |   |
| Champa Kapoor         | 18595 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ganesh Dass       |       | 29   |   |   |
| Virender Kumar        | 18596 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sukh Dev          |       | 38   |   |   |
| Sushil Kumar          | 18597 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Anant Ram         |       | 20   |   |   |
| Surat Kamal           | 18598 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kamal Chand       |       | 39   |   |   |
| Karam Singh           | 18599 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Govind Ram        |       | 36   |   |   |
| Bhola Dutt Kashyap    | 18600 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sunder Singh      |       | 39   |   |   |
| Keshav Ram Thakur     | 18601 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Nokhu Ram         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Lal Singh Parmar      | 18602 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram         |       | 17   |   |   |
| Surinder Kumar        | 18604 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Singh         |       | 12   |   |   |
| Satyapa Sharma        | 18614 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Banarsi Dass      |       | 62   |   |   |
| Sanjeev Kumar         | 18615 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Swaroop Chand     |       | 33   |   |   |
| Subhash Chand         | 18616 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sita Ram          |       | 25   |   |   |
| Jagdish               | 18619 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Moti Ram          |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pyare Lal Kashya      | 18620 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jagi Ram          |       | 36   |   |   |
| Sh. Ram Dutt          | 18625 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bam Dutt          |       | 18   |   |   |
| Niranjan Sharma       | 18627 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhuvneshwar Dutt  |       | 26   |   |   |
| Onkar Singh           | 18630 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Romel Singh       |       | 34   |   |   |
| Hans Raj              | 18631 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jaimal Singh      |       | 9    |   |   |
| Bal Krishan Sharma    | 18633 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bishan Dass       |       | 26   |   |   |
| Sanjeev Kumar         | 18634 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ved Parkash       |       | 54   |   |   |
| Rikki Sharma          | 18635 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Rajender Paul     |       | 53   |   |   |
| Savita Thakur         | 18636 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Virendra Kumar    |       | 24   |   |   |
| Anil Sharma           | 18637 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. H. K. Sharma      |       | 36   |   |   |
| Anant Ram Sharma      | 18638 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Paras Ram         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Chhotu Ram Negi       | 18640 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dhaulat Ram       |       | 28   |   |   |
| Neera Negi            | 18641 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. B. D. Janartha    |       | 50   |   |   |
| Krishan Negi          | 18642 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Chander Negi  |       | 62   |   |   |
| Atma Singh            | 18643 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Durga Dass        |       | 28   |   |   |
| Suneeta Sharma        | 18644 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. M. R. Sharma      |       | 39   |   |   |

| 1                    | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Subhash Chand Sareen | 18645 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Partap Chand     |       | 51   |   |   |
| Saroj Changra        | 18646 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. B.R. Changra     |       | 32   |   |   |
| Sukh Ram Sharma      | 18647 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sohan Lal        |       | 26   |   |   |
| Sukh Dev             | 18649 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Lekh Ram         |       | 56   |   |   |
| Renu Vohra           | 18650 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. K. K. Vohra      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Kishan Chand         | 18651 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dagu Ram         |       | 51   |   |   |
| Amar Nath            | 18652 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Prithi Chand     |       | 50   |   |   |
| Jyoti Rana           | 18653 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. H. S. Pathania   |       | 52   |   |   |
| Poonam Sood          | 18654 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Phool Kumar      |       | 62   |   |   |
| Ravinder Singh       | 18655 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Changhar Singh   |       | 39   |   |   |
| Jagroop Singh        | 18656 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bansj Ram        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ramesh Chand Sharma  | 18658 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Dass         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Arif Qureshi         | 18659 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Nazir Qureshi    |       | 51   |   |   |
| Bhopal Singh         | 18660 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Chhota Ram       |       | 60   |   |   |
| Ranjeet Singh        | 18661 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Satyager Singh   |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pardeep Kumar        | 18662 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Udho Ram         |       | 50   |   |   |
| Naveen Kumar         | 18663 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Raj Kumar        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Vishwanath           | 18664 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. D.C. Malkotia    |       | 28   |   |   |
| Anil Nag             | 18665 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. S. P. Nag        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Sanjay Kumar         | 18666 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Kashmir Singh    |       | 52   |   |   |
| Sarita Jaggi         | 18667 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ram Chand        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Krishanu Ram         | 18668 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Hari Ram         |       | 8    |   |   |
| Punam Sharma         | 18669 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Madan Sarup      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Samriti Thakur       | 18670 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. K.D. Verma       |       | 37   |   |   |
| Ranjeet Singh        | 18672 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Mohan Lal        |       | 17   |   |   |
| Bhagwan Dass         | 18673 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jogi Ram         |       | 39   |   |   |
| Ajeet Singh          | 18674 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Dev Raj          |       | 62   |   |   |
| Ramesh Kumar         | 18676 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mansha Ram       |       | 56   |   |   |
| Neelam Sharma        | 18677 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. J. C. Sharma     |       | 38   |   |   |
| Bhed Prakash Sharma  | 18678 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Neel Kanth       |       | 55   |   |   |
| Devinder Prabha      | 18679 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Narotam Chand    |       | 18   |   |   |
| Chhaya               | 18680 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Satish Chand     |       | 29   |   |   |
| Anila Sharma         | 18681 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lal Chand        |       | 33   |   |   |
| Meena Kumari Gupta   | 18682 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. N. L. Gupta      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Urmila Devi          | 18683 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. PermaNand        |       | 15   |   |   |
| Prashant Kumar       | 18684 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Babu Rain        |       | 22   |   |   |
| Mahesh Sharma        | 18685 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Jee Ram Sharma   |       | 21   |   |   |



| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Ram Kumar Bhardwaj    | 18693 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kishori Lal       |       | 24   |   |   |
| Shalini Sharma        | 18694 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Om Parkash Sharma |       | 20   |   |   |
| Kripa Devi            | 18696 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gian Chand        |       | 19   |   |   |
| Ajit Singh            | 18698 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Khilru Ram        |       | 37   |   |   |
| Premi Devi Sehgal     | 18701 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sunder Ram        |       | 16   |   |   |
| Rajeev Sharma         | 18703 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. M. K. Sharma      |       | 25   |   |   |
| Dinesh Sood           | 18705 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pritam Chand      |       | 12   |   |   |
| Kanwar Vijay Singh    | 18706 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Lekh Ram          |       | 19   |   |   |
| Balbir Singh          | 18707 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sher Singh        |       | 27   |   |   |
| Lachhman Singh        | 18708 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pohlo Ram         |       | 36   |   |   |
| Rajesh Kumar          | 18709 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Rup Lal           |       | 50   |   |   |
| Tara Avasthi          | 18710 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Purna Nand        |       | 51   |   |   |
| Suman Sharma          | 18711 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Jagdish Sharma    |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rajni Sharma          | 18712 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Des Raj           |       | 27   |   |   |
| Kuldeep Kumar         | 18713 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Ganga Ram         |       | 28   |   |   |
| Desh Raj              | 18714 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Phuman Ram        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Parshotam Lal         | 18715 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Gurdas Mal        |       | 39   |   |   |
| Tek Parshad           | 18718 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram        |       | 35   |   |   |
| Shiv Prabhakar        | 18719 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. G. D. Shastri     |       | 32   |   |   |
| Sukh Dev              | 18720 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Bhup Chand        |       | 50   |   |   |
| Prabhat Kishore       | 18721 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Krishan Dass      |       | 54   |   |   |
| Gurdass Singh         | 18722 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dipu Ram          |       | 36   |   |   |
| Raj Kumar             | 18723 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Shankar Singh     |       | 60   |   |   |
| Ishwar Dass           | 18726 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhgat Ram         |       | 31   |   |   |
| Padam Dev Kaundal     | 18727 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Sauju Ram         |       | 25   |   |   |
| Amar Nath Choudhary   | 18728 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Dharam Singh      |       | 37   |   |   |
| Parminder Thakur      | 18729 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. J. R. Thakur      |       | 32   |   |   |
| Pyare Lal             | 18732 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Kanshi Ram        |       | 17   |   |   |
| Nalini Thakur         | 18734 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. S. S. Parmar      |       | 65   |   |   |
| Namrta Katoch         | 18735 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. R. C. Katoch      |       | 54   |   |   |
| Suresh Chand Joshi    | 18736 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. Mast Ram Joshi    |       | 53   |   |   |
| Ranjana Sharma        | 18739 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Doman Prakash     |       | 33   |   |   |
| Dharam Paul Saroch    | 18740 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Manohar Lal       |       | 24   |   |   |
| Ashutosh Kumar        | 18741 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Bhagi Rath        |       | 15   |   |   |
| Vikram Singh          | 18742 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Amar Singh        |       | 25   |   |   |
| Prem Singh Jamwal     | 18743 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Pohlo Ram         |       | 23   |   |   |

| 1                                     | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|---|---|
| Jagdish Chand Bali<br>Sh. Badri Dass  | 18745 | Fail<br>24 | — | — |
| Rajesh Kumar<br>Sh. Prem Pal          | 18746 | Fail<br>29 | — | — |
| R.S. Chauhan<br>Sh. A.S. Chauhan      | 18747 | Fail<br>26 | — | — |
| Rakesh Kapoor<br>Sh. Tek Chand Kapoor | 18748 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Rajinder Dev<br>Sh. K. N. Sharma      | 18749 | Fail<br>41 | — | — |
| Sanjeev Sharma<br>Sh. S.N. Sharma     | 18750 | Fail<br>44 | — | — |
| Asha Sood<br>Sh. Gian Chand           | 18751 | Pass<br>53 | — | — |
| Puran Chand<br>Sh. Nathu Ram          | 18754 | Fail<br>24 | — | — |
| Pratibha Sood<br>Sh. Gopal Dass       | 18755 | Fail<br>18 | — | — |
| Gopal Krishan<br>Sh. V. N. Chauhan    | 18758 | Fail<br>9  | — | — |
| Diwan Chandel<br>Sh. Ganga Ram        | 18759 | Pass<br>66 | — | — |
| Balwinder Kumar<br>Sh. Amar Nath      | 18760 | Pass<br>56 | — | — |
| Sher Singh<br>Sh. Shiv Ram            | 18761 | Fail<br>15 | — | — |
| Thakaru Ram<br>Sh. Bhagat Ram         | 18762 | Fail<br>39 | — | — |
| Kartar Singh<br>Sh. Rattan Singh      | 18763 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Jagdish Kumar<br>Sh. Bhup Chand       | 18764 | Fail<br>41 | — | — |
| Raj Kumar<br>Sh. Mansa Ram            | 18765 | Fail<br>43 | — | — |
| Amita Gupta<br>Sh. Om Prakash         | 18767 | Fail<br>36 | — | — |
| Onkar Singh Verma<br>Sh. Karam Singh  | 18776 | Fail<br>44 | — | — |
| Parkash Chand<br>Sh. Munshi Ram       | 18777 | Fail<br>30 | — | — |
| Om Prakash Heer<br>Sh. Dhani Ram      | 18778 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
| Yash Pal Singh<br>Sh. Balwant Singh   | 18779 | Fail<br>39 | — | — |
| Rajender Kumar<br>Sh. Mela Ram        | 18781 | Pass<br>56 | — | — |
| Ranjee, Kumari<br>Sh. Bhag Singh      | 18784 | Fail<br>39 | — | — |
| Vinod Kumar<br>Sh. Prithvi Singh      | 18785 | Fail<br>42 | — | — |
| Sanjeev Prasher<br>Sh. Amar Nath      | 18787 | Fail<br>30 | — | — |
| Romesh Chand<br>Sh. Mouji Ram         | 18789 | Fail<br>39 | — | — |
| Radha Krishan<br>Sh. Devi Ram         | 18796 | Fail<br>21 | — | — |
| Savita Jaggi<br>Sh. Mohinder Nath     | 18798 | Fail<br>33 | — | — |
| Shakel Ahmad<br>Sh. Abdul Azeez       | 18801 | Fail<br>30 | — | — |
| Prem Singh Dhiman<br>Sh. Mast Ram     | 18806 | Fail<br>20 | — | — |
| Sukh Dev<br>Sh. Narjeet               | 18807 | Fail<br>33 | — | — |
| Mohan Lal Aggarwal<br>Sh. Babu Ram    | 18808 | Fail<br>39 | — | — |
| Binta Sharma<br>Sh. Jagat Ram         | 18809 | Fail<br>32 | — | — |

| 1                                       | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5 |
|---|-------|------------|---|---|
| Lila Dhar Vatsyavan<br>Sh. Chander Mani | 18812 | Fail<br>12 | — | — |
| Sonam Dolma<br>Sh. Dola Ram             | 18816 | Fail<br>7  | — | — |
| Sita Ram<br>Lt. Sh. Moti Ram            | 18817 | Fail<br>32 | — | — |

**DEPARTMENT : FISHERIES**

|                                |       |            |   |   |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------|---|---|
| R. P. Dogra<br>Sh. K. R. Dogra | 17050 | Pass<br>51 | — | — |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------|---|---|

**DEPARTMENT : FORENSIC**

|  |       |            |   |            |
|--|-------|------------|---|------------|
| Meenakshi Mahajan<br>Sh. M. L. Mahajan | 17049 | ABS<br>ABS | — | Pass<br>54 |
|--|-------|------------|---|------------|

**DEPARTMENT : FOREST**

|   |       |            |   |            |
|---|-------|------------|---|------------|
| Lalit Chander Katoch<br>Sh. S. C. Katoch        | 17100 | —          | — | Pass<br>50 |
| Jai Ram Thakur<br>Lt. Sh. R. L. Thakur          | 17105 | Fail<br>17 | — | Fail<br>31 |
| Narinder Singh<br>Sh. Ram Singh                 | 17107 | Pass<br>56 | — | —          |
| Bhupesh Mohan Marol<br>Sh. D. R. Marol          | 17108 | Fail<br>36 | — | Pass<br>57 |
| Som Parkash Sharma<br>Sh. R. L. Sharma          | 17109 | Fail<br>31 | — | —          |
| Inder Kumar Gahlot<br>Lt. Sh. Ramesh Chand      | 17110 | Fail<br>22 | — | Fail<br>32 |
| Jaswant Kumar Dogra<br>Lt. Sh. Roshan Lal Dogra | 17111 | Fail<br>33 | — | Pass<br>53 |
| Anil Kumar Misra<br>Sh. Mast Ram                | 17114 | —          | — | Pass<br>52 |
| Surjeet Mehra<br>Lt. Sh. M. L. Mehra            | 17115 | ABS<br>ABS | — | Pass<br>57 |
| Sh. Hoshiar Singh Pal<br>Sh. Tehal Singh        | 17116 | Fail<br>37 | — | Pass<br>58 |
| Yash Pal Garneria<br>Sh. R. Garneria            | 17117 | Pass<br>51 | — | Pass<br>51 |
| Devi Dayal Aggarwal<br>Sh. Bir Bhan Aggarwal    | 17118 | —          | — | Pass<br>51 |
| Kirti Singh Thakur<br>Sh. Moti Ram Thakur       | 17119 | —          | — | ABS<br>ABS |
| Surinder Paul Singh<br>Sh. Rattan Singh         | 17122 | Fail<br>38 | — | ABS<br>ABS |

**DEPARTMENT: HEALTH**

|  |       |            |   |   |
|--|-------|------------|---|---|
| Tapan Kumar Roy<br>Sh. Kartick Chandra | 17015 | Pass<br>60 | — | — |
| Suman Sharma<br>Lt. Sh. Trilok Nath    | 17016 | Fail<br>34 | — | — |
| Harjitpal Singh<br>Sh. Yashpal Singh   | 17017 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |
| Rajiva Ranjan<br>Sh. R. K. Bahadur     | 17019 | Fail<br>26 | — | — |
| B. M. Gupta<br>Lt. Sh. Kapur Chand     | 17022 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |

**DEPARTMENT : HORTICULTURE**

|   |       |            |   |            |
|---|-------|------------|---|------------|
| Rajinder Parshad<br>Lt. Sh. Sita Ram      | 17158 | Fail<br>20 | — | Fail<br>46 |
| Virender K. Sharma<br>Sh. Babu Ram Sharma | 17159 | Fail<br>27 | — | —          |
| Madan S. Thakur<br>Sh. Dila Ram Thakur    | 17161 | Fail<br>17 | — | —          |
| Arjun Dev Sharma<br>Lt. Sh. Salig Ram     | 17162 | Fail<br>12 | — | Fail<br>41 |
| Brij Mohan Sood<br>Lt. Sh. Purshotam Dass | 17163 | Pass<br>50 | — | Pass<br>50 |

| 1  | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5          |
|--|-------|------------|---|------------|
| Ishwar Dass Kanwal<br>Sh. Diwana Ram         | 17164 | Fail<br>33 | — | —          |
| Prem Chand Dogra<br>Sh. Rigim                | 17165 | Fail<br>34 | — | —          |
| Deep Ram Thakur<br>Sh. Narotam Dass          | 17166 | Fail<br>35 | — | Pass<br>53 |
| Jitender Vij<br>Lt. Sh. Des Raj Vij          | 17167 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |
| Hem Chand Sharma<br>Sh. Vijay Ram Sharma     | 17168 | Fail<br>24 | — | —          |
| Pritam Singh Thakur<br>Sh. Sohan Singh       | 17169 | —          | — | Fail<br>44 |
| Tek Chand Thakur<br>Sh. Dagu Ram             | 17171 | Fail<br>23 | — | Fail<br>45 |
| Bhagi Chand Rana<br>Sh. Tek Chand Rana       | 17122 | Fail<br>39 | — | —          |
| Gian Singh Verma<br>Lt. Sh. Narain Singh     | 17173 | Fail<br>36 | — | Pass<br>69 |
| Rajinder K. Sharma<br>Sh. Jagat Ram Sharma   | 17174 | Pass<br>52 | — | Pass<br>50 |
| Mehar Singh Rana<br>Lt. Sh. Z. R. Rana       | 17175 | Fail       | — | —          |
| Guler Chand Dhiman<br>Sh. A.P. Dhiman        | 17176 | Fail<br>32 | — | Fail<br>44 |
| Prem Singh Verma<br>Lt. Sh. M.R. Verma       | 17177 | Fail<br>12 | — | Pass<br>50 |
| Kushmayudh Kumar<br>Sh. Inder Dev            | 17178 | Fail<br>21 | — | Fail<br>42 |
| Mahender Pal Gupta<br>Sh. S. R. Gupta        | 17179 | Fail<br>38 | — | Fail<br>32 |
| Gopal Sharma<br>Sh. Raghunath Sharma         | 17180 | ABS<br>ABS | — | Fail<br>45 |
| Sarjit K. Vashist<br>Lt. Sh. Ishwar Dass     | 17182 | Fail<br>34 | — | —          |
| Pat Ram Raghuvanshi<br>Sh. Mathu Ram         | 17183 | Fail<br>37 | — | —          |
| Kuldeep Chand Sharda<br>Sh. Rama Nand Sharda | 17185 | Fail<br>39 | — | Pass<br>50 |
| Ram Prakash Sharma<br>Sh. D. R. Sharma       | 17186 | Fail<br>27 | — | —          |
| Baldev<br>Sh. Rup Chand                      | 17189 | Fail<br>32 | — | Pass<br>61 |
| Narinder Pal Singh<br>Sh. Parshotam Singh    | 17191 | Pass<br>59 | — | —          |
| Santosh K. S. Bakshi<br>Sh. S.K. Bakshi      | 17192 | Fail<br>24 | — | Fail<br>34 |
| K. K. Joshi<br>Sh. P. D. Joshi               | 17193 | Fail<br>31 | — | —          |
| Kirti Kumar<br>Sh. D. S. Sinha               | 17195 | Fail<br>15 | — | Pass<br>59 |
| Rabinder Nath<br>Sh. Saran Dass              | 17196 | Fail<br>16 | — | Fail<br>31 |
| Sukarm Thakur<br>Sh. N.R. Thakur             | 97197 | Fail<br>32 | — | Pass<br>58 |

## DEPARTMENT : L/C

|                                 |       |            |   |   |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|---|---|
| Tulsi Raman<br>Sh. M. R. Sharma | 17001 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Asha Sood<br>Sh. H.R. Geel      | 17002 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |

## DEPARTMENT : PWD

|   |       |            |   |            |
|---|-------|------------|---|------------|
| Jitender Kumar<br>Sh. Chaman Lal        | 17198 | Pass<br>52 | — | Pass<br>67 |
| Pasang Negi<br>Sh. Ringzin Negi         | 17199 | Fail<br>37 | — | Pass<br>60 |
| Sita Ram Thakur<br>Sh. Tulsi Ram Thakur | 17201 | Fail<br>8  | — | —          |

| 1   | 2     | 3          | 4          | 5          |
|---|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| Krishan Kumar<br>Sh. S. D. Kaushal          | 17202 | Fail<br>32 | —          | Pass<br>80 |
| Raj Kumar Thakur<br>Lt. Sh. Mohan Lal       | 17203 | ABS<br>ABS | —          | Pass<br>70 |
| Bir Chand Negi<br>Sh. G.S. Negi             | 17204 | Fail<br>12 | —          | Fail<br>40 |
| Ghanshyam Raman<br>Sh. C. R. Sharma         | 17206 | Fail<br>34 | —          | —          |
| Prem Chand Ranot<br>Sh. Kanshi Ram          | 17207 | ABS<br>ABS | —          | Pass<br>70 |
| Atam Singh Thakur<br>Lt. Sh. C. R. Thakur   | 17208 | Fail<br>36 | —          | Fail<br>41 |
| Paras Ram Chechi<br>Sh. Gandhi Ram          | 17209 | Fail<br>26 | —          | Pass<br>70 |
| Himanshu Bisht<br>Sh. G.L. Bisht            | 17210 | Pass<br>50 | —          | Pass<br>60 |
| Surinder Paul<br>Sh. N. R. Jagota           | 17211 | Fail<br>24 | —          | Pass<br>55 |
| Harnam S. Kaundal<br>Sh. Munshi Ram         | 17212 | Fail<br>38 | —          | —          |
| Mahesh Rana<br>Sh. T. R. Rana               | 17213 | Fail<br>38 | —          | —          |
| Bhag Singh Thakur<br>Sh. Mahantu Ram        | 17214 | Pass<br>50 | —          | —          |
| Arvind Kumar<br>Sh. Shankar Dass            | 17215 | Pass<br>61 | —          | —          |
| Dinesh K. Dhiman<br>Sh. Hira Lal            | 17216 | Fail<br>22 | —          | —          |
| Anil Kumar Dhiman<br>Sh. S.K. Dhiman        | 17217 | Fail<br>41 | —          | Pass<br>66 |
| Vishwa Nath Prasher<br>Sh. Lekh Raj Prasher | 17218 | Pass<br>52 | —          | —          |
| Ramesh S. Sandhu<br>Sh. N.S. Sandhu         | 17219 | Fail<br>42 | —          | —          |
| Rameshwar Dass<br>Sh. M. Ram                | 17221 | Pass<br>50 | —          | Pass<br>64 |
| Naresh K. Gupta<br>Lt. Sh. K.K. Gupta       | 17222 | Pass<br>50 | —          | Pass<br>62 |
| Rajinder Prashad<br>Sh. Hakam Chand         | 17224 | Fail<br>26 | —          | Pass<br>52 |
| Vijay Kumar Attri<br>Sh. Bishan Dass        | 17225 | Fail<br>8  | —          | Fail<br>46 |
| Mehar Chand Pawar<br>Lt. Sh. R.M. Pawar     | 17226 | Fail<br>26 | —          | —          |
| Rajinder Singh<br>Sh. Sarwan Singh          | 17227 | Fail<br>39 | —          | Pass<br>75 |
| B. R. Suman<br>Sh. Gambu Ram                | 17228 | Fail<br>36 | —          | Pass<br>77 |
| Pradeep Vaidya<br>Sh. T. C. Vaidya          | 17229 | Fail<br>34 | —          | —          |
| Gurcharan Singh<br>Sh. Mehar Chand          | 17230 | Fail<br>24 | —          | Pass<br>71 |
| Rajesh Kumar<br>Sh. Shanker Dass            | 17231 | Fail<br>35 | —          | —          |
| Rohit Thakur<br>Sh. P. S. Thakur            | 17232 | Fail<br>39 | —          | —          |
| Rattan Kumar Sharma<br>Sh. Narain Dutt      | 17233 | Pass<br>51 | —          | —          |
| Kamal Naresh Mahajan<br>Lt. Sh. Mohan Lal   | 17234 | Pass<br>54 | —          | Pass<br>57 |
| Arun Kumar<br>Sh. Som Raj                   | 17235 | Pass<br>61 | Pass<br>65 | —          |
| Rakesh Kumar<br>Sh. Raghu Nath              | 17236 | Fail<br>37 | —          | Pass<br>70 |
| Rattan Chand Kapoor<br>Lt. Sh. Haria Ram    | 17237 | Fail<br>37 | —          | Pass<br>70 |
| Bhupesh Chand Sharma<br>Sh. P. C. Sharma    | 17238 | Fail<br>35 | —          | Pass<br>71 |
| Umesh Sharma<br>Sh. Subhash Chand           | 17239 | Fail<br>38 | —          | Pass<br>71 |

| 1                     | 2     | 3    | 4 | 5    |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|------|
| Hardev Singh          | 17240 | Fail | — | —    |
| Lt. Sh. Sita Ram      |       | 42   |   |      |
| Rakesh Kumar          | 17241 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sh. J. R. Dhiman      |       | 30   |   |      |
| Vijay Kumar Gupta     | 17242 | Fail | — | Pass |
| Sh. M. L. Gupta       |       | 33   |   | 66   |
| Pyush Dogra           | 17244 | —    |   | ABS  |
| Sh. Ramesh Dogra      |       |      |   | ABS  |
| Partap Singh Rana     | 17245 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sh. Dile Ram          |       | 34   |   |      |
| Surender Paul Sen     | 17246 | Pass | — | Pass |
| Lt. Sh. Rattan Singh  |       | 50   |   | 68   |
| Rajiv Sharma          | 17247 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sh. Bihari Lal Sharma |       | 30   |   |      |
| Veena Kumari Verma    | 17248 | Pass | — | —    |
| Sh. H. C. Pathania    |       | 50   |   |      |
| Kahan Singh Chauhan   | 17249 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sh. B. R. Chauhan     |       | 38   |   |      |
| Lude Mani Mastana     | 17251 | Fail | — | —    |
| Sh. Sant Ram          |       | 30   |   |      |
| Bhag Mal Thakur       | 18818 | Fail | — | Pass |
| Sh. Amar Singh        |       | 36   |   | 67   |

## DEPARTMENT : PROSECUTION

|                    |       |      |   |   |
|--------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Chander Singh      | 17009 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. S. N. Chauhan  |       | 30   |   |   |
| Sunil D. Vasudeva  | 17011 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. S. D. Vasudeva |       | 37   |   |   |

## DEPARTMENT : T &amp; A

|                    |       |      |   |      |
|--------------------|-------|------|---|------|
| Rajinder Kumar     | 17092 | Pass | — | ABS  |
| Sh. Ram Path       |       | 52   |   | ABS  |
| Tilak Ram Sharma   | 17093 | Pass | — | Fail |
| Sh. B. R. Sharma   |       | 53   |   | 36   |
| Shanti Bodi        | 17096 | Fail | — | Fail |
| Sh. N. T. Bodi     |       | 27   |   | 16   |
| Ajay Kumar         | 17097 | Fail | — | Fail |
| Sh. Gian Chand     |       | 37   |   | 33   |
| Rakesh Dharmani    | 17098 | Pass | — | —    |
| Sh. N. L. Dharmani |       | 53   |   |      |

## DEPARTMENT : TCP

|                      |       |      |   |   |
|----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Asha Mehta           | 17025 | Fail | — | — |
| Lt. Sh. N. L. Chittu |       | 25   |   |   |
| Satish Sharma        | 17027 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. R. C. Sharma     |       | 39   |   |   |

## DEPARTMENT : TECH. EDU.

|                       |       |      |   |   |
|-----------------------|-------|------|---|---|
| Sameer Bharti         | 17030 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. S. P. Gupta       |       | 50   |   |   |
| Rajinder S. Barwal    | 17031 | Pass | — | — |
| Lt. Sh. Bhagmal       |       | 58   |   |   |
| Umesh Chand Rathore   | 17032 | Pass | — | — |
| Lt. Sh. K. C. Rathore |       | 54   |   |   |
| Naina Lakhanpal       | 17033 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. P. C. Verma       |       | 37   |   |   |
| Puneet Sood           | 17034 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Surinder Sood     |       | 39   |   |   |
| Sher Singh            | 17035 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. Tashi Norbu       |       | 25   |   |   |
| Tanmay Kapoor         | 17036 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. A. L. Kapoor      |       | 50   |   |   |
| Anita Joshi           | 17037 | Fail | — | — |
| Sh. P. L. Sharma      |       | 38   |   |   |
| Deepak Kumar          | 17039 | Pass | — | — |
| Sh. R. K. Bansal      |       | 72   |   |   |
| Satish Kumar          | 17040 | Fail | — | — |
| Lt. Sh. Gulaba Ram    |       | 21   |   |   |

| 1   | 2     | 3          | 4 | 5 |
|---|-------|------------|---|---|
| Naresh K. Sapehia<br>Lt. Sh. Bhagwan Dass | 17041 | Fail<br>31 | — | — |
| Desh Raj Sharma<br>Sh. M. R. Sharma       | 17042 | Pass<br>60 | — | — |
| Rajesh Sharma<br>Sh. Ram Swaroop          | 17043 | Pass<br>50 | — | — |
| Anil Kumar<br>Sh. S. B. Rewal             | 17044 | Fail<br>37 | — | — |
| Chander Shekhar<br>Sh. Diwana Ram         | 17046 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |
| Kishore C. Katoch<br>Sh. D. C. Katoch     | 17048 | Fail<br>38 | — | — |

Note.—1. Every possible care has been taken in compiling information given in respect of Papers. It is however possible that some error therein may have occurred inadvertently. All concerned are therefore requested to check the aforesaid information and to bring to the notice of the board, if any mistake is found therein.

2. Re-evaluation is allowed where score is between 40 to 49 marks. Candidates may apply in simple application form within 21 days of issue of result card alongwith Bank Draft of Rs. 100.00 for each paper in favour of Secretary, Board of Departmental Examinations, Fairlawns, Shimla-171012.

Sd/-  
Secretary,  
H. P. Board of Departmental Examinations,  
Fairlawns, Shimla-171012.

# LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

## NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 21st September, 2002

No. Shram (A) 7-1/2002.—In exercise of the powers vested in him under section 17(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the publication of awards in the Rajpatra announced by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, H. P. of the following cases:—

| S. No. | Ref.   | Particulars | Section     | Remarks |
|--------|--|-------------|-------------|---------|
| 1      | 2  | 3           | 4           |         |
| 1.     | Ref. No. 25/1999—Smt. Chander Kanta Vs. General Secy. Him Shakti Privahan Nigam Karamchhari Sangh, Sanjauli, Shimla.                             | 10          | Publication |         |
| 2.     | Ref. No. 253/1998—Shyam Lal C/o The General Secy. AITUC Vs. The General Manager M/s Hitkari Potteries Ltd. Plastic Division Plot No. 1 Parwanoo. | 10          | -do-        |         |
| 3.     | Ref. No. 73/1999—Kumari Kanchan Sen Vs. M/s Gabriel (I) Ltd. Parwanoo, District Solan (H. P.).   | 10          | -do-        |         |
| 4.     | Ref. No. 70/1999—Shri Bhim Singh Vs. Secy. Horticulture Department H. Q. and anothers.   | 10          | -do-        |         |
| 5.     | Ref. No. 16/2000—Smt. Reena Devi Vs. Shri Sudhir Mahindroo, Prop. M/s Suraj Hosiery Chamba-ghat, Solan.  | 10          | -do-        |         |
| 6.     | Ref. No. 55/1998—Shri Mohan Lal Vs. Secy. H. P. S. E. B. Government of H. P. & anothers.   | 10          | -do-        |         |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4         |
|-----|--|----|-----------|
| 7.  | Ref. No. 249/2000—Shri Hira Singh and others Vs. Secy. (PWD) Government of H. P. & others.   | 10 | Publicity |
| 8.  | Ref. No. 116/2000—Shri Jagat Singh & others Vs. Secy. (PWD) Government of H. P. & others.  | 10 | -do-      |
| 9.  | Ref. No. 79/1999—Shri Tulsi Ram & 3 others Vs. The DFO, Renuka Forest Division Renuka, Sirmaur.  | 10 | -do-      |
| 10. | Ref. No. 35/2001—Shri Raghubir Singh Vs. MD, HRTC, Nahan, District Sirmaur (H.P.).   | 10 | -do-      |
| 11. | Ref. No. 116/1999—Shri Surat Ram & 15 others Vs. H. P. State Forest Corporation through M. D. SML and others.                                  | 10 | -do-      |
| 12. | Ref. No. 115/1999—Shri Laiq Ram & 5 others Vs. M. D., H. P. State Forest Corporation Shimla & Ors.   | 10 | -do-      |
| 13. | Ref. No. 114/1999—Shri Sunil Dutt Vs. H. P. State Forest Corporation Shimla & others.  | 10 | -do-      |
| 14. | Ref. No. 217/1998—Shri Bala Ram & 7 others Vs. Secy. (PWD) Government of H. P., Shimla & Ors.  | 10 | -do-      |
| 15. | Ref. No. 157/1999—Gen. Secy./President Himalyan Mazdoor Union P/Sahib Vs. M/s Himalyan Forest and Agro Products (P) Ltd. P/Sahib Sirmaur H. P. | 10 | -do-      |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4           |
|-----|---|----|-------------|
| 16. | Ref. No. 160/1998—Shri Yash Pal Vs. Rakesh Khurana.   | 10 | Publication |
| 17. | Ref. No. 37/1998—Ramzan Mohamad (Mason) Vs. Ex. Engg. H.P.P.W.D. Division No. 1 Bilaspur (H.P.).              | 10 | -do-        |
| 18. | Ref. No. 156/2002—Shri Jagat Ram Vs. Manager Hotel, Le-Royala Jakhoo Road near Ridge, Shimla-I.               | 10 | -do-        |
| 19. | Ref. No. 51/200—Shri Roop Singh Vs. General Manager, Rosin & Turpentine (R&T) Factory Nahan, Sirmaur (H. P.). | 10 | -do-        |

By order,  
HARINDER HIRA,  
Principal Secretary.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
Labour Court, Shimla.

Ref. No. 25/99

Smt. Chander Kanta

*Versus*

General Secretary, Him Shakti Parivahan Nigam  
Karamchari Sangh, Sanjauli, Shimla-6.

23-7-2002—*Present*.—None for petitioner.  
Shri Shashi Shirshu, Advocate LD. CL.  
for Respondent.

The case called twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It is 11.30 A.M., It be kept for waiting so as to be called again in the after noon.

Seal. V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal.  
cum-Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 25/99

Smt. Chander Kanta .. Petitioner.

*Versus*

General Secretary Him Shakti Parivahan Nigam  
Karamchari Sangh, Sanjauli, Shimla .. Respondent.

23-7-2002: *Present*.—As above

(After noon)

Case called twice again. However, none has put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It is 3 40 p. m. According the petition is dismissed for default in appearance on behalf of petitioner and the reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this order be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official Gazette.

Announced 23-7-2002.

Seal. Sd/-  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 253 of 1998

Instituted on : 23-11-1998

Decided on : 29-7-2002

Shri Shayam Lal s/o Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, General  
Secretary, H.P. AITUC, Head Office, Saproon, Solan.

*Versus*

The General Manager M/s Hitkari Potteries Ltd.,  
(Plastic Division) Plot No. 4, Sector-1, Parwanoo.

*Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.*

For petitioner: Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, AR.

For respondent: Shri A. K. Sharma, AR.

AWARD

The present petition arises out of the following reference made by the appropriate Government:—

1. "Whether Shri Shayam Lal is workman ?"

2. "If yes, whether the termination of services of Shri Shayam Lal workman by the management of M/s Hitkari, Potteries Ltd. (Plastic Division), Parwanoo, District Solan, w. e. f. 25-2-1998, without any notice, charge sheet, enquiry & further without compliance of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified, if not to what relief of service benefits and amount of compensation, Shri Shayam Lal is entitled to ?"

3. In brief, the facts pleaded by the parties can be stated thus. The petitioner joined the respondent company as Mechanical Supervisor or somewhere in September, 1995 later on, he was promoted as Assistant Engineer on 12-5-1995 with monthly salary of Rs. 2150/-. It is averred that on 25-2-1998, when the petitioner reported for duty, he was not allowed to enter the factory by use of force. According to the petitioner, it amounted to removal from service. His dues were also not cleared in violation of the mandatory provisions of the payment of wages act. The petitioner has alleged that his services were terminated in violation of provisions of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The act). In so much as neither statutory notice was issued nor retrenchment compensation was paid despite that he had completed the requisite continuous service for not less than one year. The termination is stated to be based on the principal of hire and fire and thus arbitrary and violation of Articles 21 & 24 of the Constitution of India. Petitioner seeks re-instatement along with all the consequential benefits such as seniority and back wages etc.

4. In the reply filed on behalf of the respondent, a preliminary objection has been raised that the petitioner was working in the Supervisory capacity as Assistant Engineer and is not a workman within the definition of Section 2 (s) of the act. On merits, it is admitted that the petitioner was initially employed as Mechanical Supervisor and was later on promoted as Assistant Engineer and was lastly drawing "wages" of Rs. 3500/- per month. It is denied that services of the petitioner were terminated on the basis of a false charge and instead it is alleged that he himself remained absent from duty without intimation and did not turn up to join duty despite issuance of registered letters. Thus, according to the respondent, the petitioner himself abandoned the employment. It is lastly averred that the company had to suffer heavy losses due to the negligence on the part of the petitioner. When his explanation was called, he abandoned the employment.



5. The petitioner has filed rejoinder, wherein he has refuted the stand on behalf of the respondent and instead has reiterated his own case.

6. On the above pleadings, the following issues were settled by my learned predecessor in office on 22-6-2000;—

1. Whether the services of the petitioner has been terminated in view of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? OPP.
2. Whether the petitioner is not a workman ? If so, its effect ? OPR.
3. Relief.

7. The parties have led evidence. I have heard their representatives and gone through the record.

8. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issue are as under :—

### FINDINGS

9. *Issue No. 2.*—Since issue No. 2 relates to the status of the petitioner as workman and goes to the very root of the case, it shall be appropriate to deal with the same first and I proceed to do so.

10. It is admitted case of the parties that the petitioner was initially recruited as Mechanical Supervisor somewhere in September, 1995 and was later on promoted as Assistant Engineer on 12-5-1997, and continued in service upto 25-2-98. However, whereas the petitioner has averred that he was lastly drawing wages @ Rs. 2150/- per month, as per respondent, these were Rs. 3500/- in his desposition as PW-1, the petitioner has stated on oath that he used to rectify the faults in the machines manually. In cross-examination, he has deposed that he used to look after the work of the entire shift. However, he was not assigning duties to the workers. According to him, he used to attend the emergencies in his shift. It is denied that he used to assign work to the workers working during his shift.

11. To the contrary, RW-1, Shri Harmeet Singh, Manager Administration of the respondent company has stated on oath that the petitioner had joined as Mechanical Supervisor in May, 1995. He was performing the duties of Shift Incharge and was wholly responsible for all the work and used to report to the Production Manager. Ex. RW-1/A-1 to 7 are copies of the production reports. He also used to sign the job cards as shift Incharge. The copy of the job card is Ex. RW-1/B. However, the petitioner was not confronted either with the aforesaid production reports or the job cards. Leave is sanctioned by the Shift Incharge. He also issues the gate pass. The material is sent out of the Factory premises by the Shift Incharge. In 1997, the petitioner was promoted as Assistant Engineer (Production) vide letter Ex. RW-1/C. In cross-examination RW-1 Shri Harmeet Singh has admitted that there is no record to show that the petitioner used to sanction leave to the workers. It is admitted that he was not their appointing authority. However, it is stated that he was competent to issue show cause notice to the defaulting workers. However, the witness has admitted that he has no such record, as according to him, no such notice might have been issued during his tenure. It is further stated by the witness that duties are defined in the Standing Orders. It is denied that Shift Incharge or Assistant Engineer (Production) is only required to set right the machines. According to him, rather it is one of his jobs besides being overall Supervisor of the Shift, production, planner etc.

12. In order to ascertain the status of the petitioner as a workman or otherwise, apart from above evidence led on behalf of the parties, it shall be appropriate to refer to the definition of workman under Section 2(s) of the Act, which is reproduced below for ready reference:—

[Section 2(s) "workmen" means any person (including an apprentice) in any industry

to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of employment be express or implied, and for the purpose of any proceeding under this Act in relation to an industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with, or as a consequence of, that dispute, or whose dismissal, discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but does not include any such person:—

- (i) who is subject to the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), or the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957); or
- (ii) who is employed in the police service or as an officer or other employee of a prison; or
- (iii) who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity; or
- (iv) who, being employed in a supervisory capacity, draws wages exceeding one thousand six hundred rupees per mensem or exercise, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature].

13. Though the onus to prove this issue has been placed on the respondent, yet it is settled that the burden of proof is on the workman who has to establish the employer-employee relationship. In other words, where a person asserts the relationship and it is denied by the employer it is for him and not for employer to prove the fact. Reliance placed on SWAPAN DASS GUPTA V/s FIRST LABOUR COURT OF WEST BENGAL, 1976 Lab. I, C-202 (Cal).

14. In view of the above definition of workman, the petitioner is required to prove that his services fall within either of following categories : (i) Manual work, (ii) Un-skilled work, (iii) Skilled work, (iv) Technical work, (v) Operational work, (vi) Clerical work, (vii) Supervisory work.

15. In order to be a workman, the employee should also not fall within the exclusionary clauses (i)–(iv) of Section 2 (s) of the Act. In order to ascertain whether an employee is workman or not, his designation is not of much importance and what is important is the nature of duties being performed by him as has been held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S. K. MAINI V/s CARONA SAHU CO. LTD. 1994 LLR 321.

16. The petitioner has placed reliance on the following authorities:—

2. 1999 LLR 729 (Bombay).
3. 1990 L & I C 645 (Allahabad).
4. 1991 LLR 422 (Bombay).
5. 1992 LLR 911 (Bombay).
6. 2000 LLR 413 (SC).
7. 2000 LLR 312 (SC).
8. 2001 LLR 1116 (SC).

17. There is no dispute that the petitioner does not fall within the exclusionary clauses (i) & (ii) of the Section 2(s) of the Act. The evidence on record as noticed above also does not leave any doubt that he also does not fall within exclusionary clause (iii) of the said section as he was not employed 'mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity'. Though the petitioner was earlier designated as Mechanical Supervisor and was later on promoted as Assistant Engineer and was lastly drawing monthly

wages more than Rs. 1600/- yet, the evidence on record would go to show that he was not either performing duties in 'supervisory capacity' or was exercising 'functions mainly of a managerial nature'. It is admitted that apart from supervising the work during a shift he also used to put in technical work in the shape of maintenance and repair of machinery. In somewhat similar situation an Assistant Engineer working in a sugar factory was held to be a workman by the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court in *M/s U.P. State Sugar Corporation Limited, Meerut V. Deputy Labour Commissioner, U. P. Meerut and others*; 1990 Lab. I. C. 645 (*Supra*).

18. In view of the above, it is held that the petitioner fell within the definition of workman under Section 2(s) of the act. The issue is decided accordingly in favour of the workman.

19. *Issue No. 1.*—It is averred by the petitioner in Para-4 of the petition that a false accusation of negligence was levelled against him by the management. In Para 3 of the reply, it is alleged by the respondent company that it had to suffer heavy losses due to negligence on the part of the petitioner. However, in Para 2 of the reply, it is denied that services of the petitioner were terminated on the basis of a stigma and instead it is alleged that he had abandoned the employment on getting better job. A combined and harmonious reading of the pleadings on behalf of the parties to this extent would go to show that it, *prima-facie*, appears that services of the petitioner were dispensed with by the respondent as a punitive measure, but without taking resort to the mandatory, legal prerequisite such as holding a domestic enquiry. This inference would lead to the natural corollary that while terminating the service the petitioner, the respondent also did not observe the mandatory requirements regarding issuance of notices under clause (a) and (c) and payment of retrenchment compensation under clause (b) of Section 25-F of the Act.

20. The communications exchanged between the parties would also go to show that the services of the petitioner were terminated by the respondent as a punitive measure but without holding any domestic enquiry and observing the mandatory provisions of the Act. These are application Ex. PA and explanations Ex. PB, PD (also exhibited as RW-1/F), PF and Ex. RW-1/E, submitted by the petitioner to the authorities of the respondent and Memos Ex. PC, PE, PG & Ex. 1/C issued by the respondent to the petitioner.

The above discussion leads me to hold that the termination of the services of the petitioner, a workman, by the respondent company unilaterally is in violation of the provisions of Section 25-F of the Act. The issue is accordingly decided in affirmative.

#### RELIEF

21. In the result, the petitioner is entitled for reinstatement in service on and *w.e.f.* 25-2-1998. Ordered accordingly. He shall be entitled to continuity in service and seniority. The petitioner has set up claim for back wages in the petition as also in his statement as PW-1. The claim has not been refuted by the respondent either in the reply or in the evidence. Thus in the facts and circumstances of the case, it shall not be unsafe to hold that the petitioner is entitled to back wages. Ordered accordingly.

22. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court, today this the 29th day of July, 2002.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour  
Court, Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 73/99

Kumari Kanchan Sen

*Versus*

M/s Gabriel India Ltd., Parwanoo, District Solan  
(H. P.)

29-7-2002 : *Present* : None for petitioner.

Shri V. K. Gupta, AR for the respondent.

Notice for appearance was issued to the petitioner through registered AD post on 20-6-2002. However, the same has not been received back after service or otherwise in such circumstances, presumption is available that the notice must have been served upon the petitioner in the ordinary course of business of P&T Department and more when 30 days have elapsed since then. Today neither the petitioner is present in person nor is represented by duly authorised agent. Accordingly, the reference is liable to be answered in negative owing to default in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and there being no material on record in support of the terms of the reference. Ordered accordingly. Let a copy of this order be sent to appropriate Government for its publication in the official gazette.

Announced 29-7-2002.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 70 of 1999

Instituted on: 8-4-1999.

Decided on: 30-7-2002.

Shri Bhim Singh son of Shri Chanu Ram, resident of  
Village Ajag Chanalda, P. O, Deegarminar, Tehsil  
Pachhad, District Sirmaur, H. P. .. *Petitioner.*

*Versus*

1. The Secretary, Horticulture Department, with  
HQs. at Shimla.

2. The Director of Horticulture with HQs. at Shimla.

3. The Horticulture Development Officer, Rajgrah,  
District Sirmaur (H. P.) .. *Respondents.*

*Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.*

*For petitioner:* Shri A. K. Gupta, Advocate.

*For respondent:* *Ex-parte.*

#### AWARD

The present petition arise out of the following reference made by the appropriate Government:—

"1. Whether the termination of the service of Shri Bhim Singh, Ex-Beldar by the Horticulture Development Officer, Rajgrah, District Sirmaur (H. P.) *w.e.f.* 5-7-91 without any notice, chargesheet; enquiry and without compliance of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, on completion of more than 240 days continuous service, is legal and justified. If not, to what relief of

service benefits and amount of compensation, Shri Bhim Singh is entitled ?”

“2. Whether Shri Bhim Singh has abandoned the service on his own, as alleged. If so, its effect ?”

2. In brief, the case of the petitioner is that he worked as daily beldar with the respondent for the last 10 years immediately preceding 5-7-1991, when his services were terminated in violation of the provisions of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The Act). According to the petitioner, he had completed service of more than 240 days on the date of his disengagement. The termination is also said to be in violation of the principles of “last come first go” as persons junior to him are still continuing on the rolls of the respondents and even fresh recruitment has been made thereafter. It is lastly alleged by the petitioner that he approached the concerned authorities to re-engage him, but without any result.

3. On being served with notice of the petition, the respondents put in appearance on 8-6-1999 and 1-9-1999 through their authorised representatives, Shri Gulab Singh and Shri Balku Mal, respectively. However, since none appeared on behalf of the respondents on 3-12-1999, they are proceeded against *ex parte*.

4. In the *ex-parte* evidence led on behalf of the petitioner, he has appeared as his own witness as PW-1. He has stated on oath that he was employed as beldar in Horticulture Office, at Bagthan in 1981. According to him, he left the job in 1991. However, in the very next breath, he has hastened to add that he did not leave the job himself and instead his services were terminated without notice and without payment of any compensation. The petitioner goes on to state that he had completed 240 days service in each year from 1981 onwards. It is lastly stated by him that the persons working with him and those engaged thereafter have been regularised. He has prayed for re-instatement.

5. I have heard the *ex-parte* arguments and gone through the record.

6. The averments made by the petitioner in the petition and duly reiterated by him on oath in his testimony as PW-1 remain absolutely un-rebutted. On being served with notice of the petition, the respondents initially put in appearance in this Court, but later on chose not to appear and contest the petition for the reasons best known to them. In such circumstances, it shall not be unsafe to draw the inference that they do not have any defence to make out.

7. The petitioner has stated on oath that he had completed 10 years of service when the same was terminated on 5-7-1991 without notice and without payment of compensation. It is further stated by him that he had completed more than 240 days of service during each year from 1981 onwards. Thus, according to him, the termination is violative of the provisions of Section 25-F of the act.

8. There is merit in the contention which deserves to be upheld for the reason that neither notice under sub-clauses (a) & (c) of Section 25 of the Act were served upon the petitioner and the appropriate Government, respectively nor compensation under clause (b) of the said section was paid to him despite the petitioner being in continuous service for the statutory period as envisaged under Section 25-B of the Act.

9. In view of the above, the petitioner is entitled for re-instatement in service on and w. e. f. the date of reference i. e. 6-4-1999. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, he shall be entitled to back wages. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court this the 30th day of July, 2002.

Seal

V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 16/2000.

Smt. Recna Devi.

Versus

Shri Sudhir Mahindroo, Prop. M/S Saroj Hosier  
Chambaghat, District Solan, H. P.

31-7-2002—Present:—None for petitioner.

Ms. Pama Devi, Advocate vice counsel for Respondent.

Case called twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It is 11.40 A. M. The case kept for waiting so as to be called again in the afternoon.

31-7-2002—Present:—As above.  
(Afternoon).

Case called twice again. However, none has put appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It is already 3.30 P. M. Accordingly the petition is dismissed for default in appearance on behalf the petitioner and the reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of this order be sent to appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette.

Announced 31-7-2002.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 55 of 1998.

Instituted on 12-6-98.

Decide on 31-7-2002.

1. Mohan Lal son of Shri Devi Ram.

2. Shri Bhim Dutt son of Shri Paras Ram.

.. Petitioners.

Versus

1. The H. P. S. E. B. through its Secretary, with headquarters at Shimla.

2. The Executive Engineer, HPESB, Division, Rajgarh, District Sirmour (H.P.). .. Respondents.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For petitioners: Shri A. K. Gupta, Advocate and Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, AR.

For respondent: Shri Baldev Raj Thakur, AR.

AWARD

The present petition arises out of the following reference made by the appropriate Government:—

“क्या श्री मोहन लाल तथा श्री भीम दत्त को अधिशासी अभियन्ता हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य बिजुत बोर्ड, राजगढ़ मण्डल, राजगढ़ द्वारा उक्त कामगरो को सेवाएं क्रमशः 21-3-1985 व 21-9-1994 से बिना

किसी नोटिस एवं सेवा लाभों के समाप्त करना उचित एवं न्याय संगत है? यदि नहीं तो उक्त कामगार किन-किन सेवा लाभों व अधिकारों के पात्र है।"

2. It is averred that the two petitioners, S/Shri Mohan Lal and Bhim Dutt were engaged as daily wage beldar in HPSEB Division, Rajgarh in 1982 and 1990, respectively. Their services were dispensed with on 21-3-1985 and 21-9-1994, respectively. It is alleged that the termination was violative of the Certified Standing Orders framed by respondent No. 2 and Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). While disengaging the petitioners, persons junior to them were allowed to continue and even fresh recruitment was made thereafter. According to the petitioners, their grievances were not redressed by the respondent despite repeated visits and representations.

3. The respondents have contested the petition by raising legal objections regarding cause of action, maintainability and delay and laches.

4. On merits, it is stated that petitioner No. 1 Mohan Lal worked as daily wage beldar from 1-1-1980 to 20-3-1985 as per mandays chart Annexure R-1. Petitioner No. 2 Bhim Dutt was engaged as daily wage beldar on 16-12-89 and worked as such upto July, 1994, but with lot of interruptions as per man days chart Annexure R-2. Both the petitioner did not complete 240 days service in a calendar year. Their services were not terminated and instead they themselves abandoned the job. It being so, there was no violation either of the provisions of Certified Standing Orders or the Act. No representation, oral or in writing, was ever made by the petitioners to the respondents.

5. On the above pleadings, the following issues were settled by my learned predecessor on 10-12-1998 :-

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner is illegal in view of section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947? If so, its effect? ... OPP.
2. Relief.

6. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under :-

Issue No. 1. Yes.

Issue No. 2. Reference answered accordingly vide operative part of the award.

#### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

7. Issue No. 1. —It is evident from Annexure-I to the reply filed by respondents that petitioner No. 1 Mohan Lal had put in the requisite service of 240 days during the period 21-3-84 to 20-3-85, that is, twelve calendar months preceding the date of disengagement on 21-3-85. According to him his services were terminated. There is no credible evidence to show that he had abandoned the job. Thus, he is entitled for protection of Section 25-F of the Act.

8. Though the case of petitioner No. 2 Bhim Dutt is not covered under Section 25-F of the Act for want of requisite service of 240 days during the material time, yet he is also entitled for relief as in his case, there is violation of sub-clause (2) of clause 14 of the Certified Standing Orders framed by the respondent Board in as much as neither 10 days notice was issued to him nor he was paid 'pay and allowances' in lieu of such notice. Further more, he has also asserted that his services were terminated and the respondents have not been able to show that he had abandoned the job. Mandays chart Ex. P-2 goes to show that petitioner No. 2 Bhim Dutt had been working with the respondents even prior to 16-12-1989, that is, the date stated in Annexure-II to the reply.

9. In view of the above discussion, it is held that the termination of services of the petitioners is violative of Section 25 of the Act. The issue is decided accordingly.

#### RELIEF

10. In the result, the petitioners are entitled for reinstatement in service with seniority and continuity in service on and with effect from the date of reference, that is, 9-6-98. However, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, they shall not be entitled to back wages. Ordered accordingly.

11. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court today this the 31st day of July, 2002.

Seal

V. K. SHARMA.

Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court/ Shimla

Ref. No 249 of 1998.

Instituted on. 28-10-1998.

Decided on 31-7-2002.

Shri Hira Singh s/o Shri Kalu r/o, Village Bari, P. O. Nainidhar, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmaur H. P.

Guman Singh son of Shri Meru, r/o Village Charwa, P. O. Jukhandon Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmaur, H. P.

Ran Singh son of Shri Sibya Ram, r/o Village Dharwa, P. O. Jukhandon, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmaur, H. P.

Prem Singh son of Shri Kumbia Ram, r/o Bouch, P. O. Koti Bouch, Tehsil Shillai, District Sirmaur, H. P.  
.. Petitioners.

Versus

The State of H. P. through the Secretary (FWD) with headquarters' at Shimla.

The Superintending Engineer, HPPWD Circle, Nahan, District Sirmaur, H. P.

The Executive Engineer, PWD (B&R) Division, Shillai, District Sirmaur, H. P.  
.. Respondent.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For petitioner: Shri A. K. Gupta, Advocate.

For respondent: Shri S. P. S. Jaswal, AR.

#### AWARD

The present petition arise out of the following reference made by the appropriate Government :-

"कि रक्षा श्री हीरा सिंह पुत्र श्री कालू तथा तीन अन्य श्रमिक (संलग्न सूचि अनुसार) को अधिशासी अभियन्ता, हि 0 प्र 0 लोक निर्माण विभाग मण्डल, शिलाई, जिला सिरमौर द्वारा बिना किसी नोटिस व मुआसजा दिए बिना नौकरी से निष्काशना उचित एवं न्यायायुक्त है? यदि नहीं, तो उक्त श्रमिक किन सेवा लाभों व अधिकारों के पात्र है।"

In brief, the facts pleaded by the parties can be stated thus. It is averred that the petitioners namely S/Shri Hira Singh, Guman Singh, Ran Singh and Prem Singh were engaged by the respondents as daily wage Beldars in January, 88, November, 88, May, 88 and July, 88,

respectively. They continued as such up to June, 1990. They had completed more than 240 days of statutory service as envisaged under Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as 'The Act'). It is alleged by the petitioners that their services were dispensed with by the respondents in violation of Section 25-F of the Act. The principle of 'last come first go' was also not adhered to. In so much as persons junior to them are still continuing on the rolls of the respondents and even fresh recruitment has taken place since their disengagement from service. In nut-shell the case of the petitioners is that their services were terminated by the respondents in an arbitrary and unjustified manner, and they are entitled for re-instatement with all the consequential benefits including seniority and back wages.

The respondent have contested the petition. They have raised legal objections regarding maintainability and limitation. On merits, it is admitted that the petitioners were engaged as daily wage beldars during January, 88, November, 88 May, 88 and July, 88 respectively. However, it is averred that they were engaged against a particular targeted work for which funds were available at the given time. On completion of the work, their services were no longer required. It is alleged that whereas petitioners No. 2 and 4 namely S/Shri Guman Singh and Prem Singh had voluntarily abandoned the job, petitioners No. 1 and 3 namely S/Shri Hira Singh and RanSingh did not fulfil there requirement of Section 25-B of the Act. It being so, it was not incumbent upon the respondents to either serve any notice upon the petitioners or to pay any compensation to him. It is lastly that on completion of the work, retrenchment was necessary and the petitioners are not entitled for re-engagement.

On the above pleading s, the following issues were settled by my learned predecessor on 13-0-2002:

Whether the petitioners have been illegally Terminated from service in violation of law ? OPP.

Whether the petition is bad in view of preliminary Objections of Respondents. OPR.

Relief

The parties have led evidence. I have heard their learned counsel/representative and gone through record.

For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under :—

#### FINDINGS

|             |   |
|-------------|---|
| Issue No. 1 | Partly Yes.   |
| Issue No. 2 | No.   |
| Issue No. 3 | Reference dispensed of Accordingly vide operative Part of the record. |

#### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

**Issue No. 1.**—Out of petitioners, whereas petitioner No. 1 Shri Hira Singh has entered the witness box as PW-1, the remaining petitioners namely S/Shri Guman Singh, Ran Singh and Prem Singh have tendered in evidence their affidavits which are P-1, P-2 and P-3. Petitioner No. 1 Shri Hira Singh while appearing as PW-1 has stated on oath that he joined PWD in 1988 and his services were terminated in February, 90 by issuance of a notice. Such notices were served upon others. According to him, all of them had completed more than 240 days in the calendar year. Though notices were served, no compensation was paid alongwith the same. It is asserted that neither he nor the co-petitioners left the job on their own. Their co-workers S/Shri Nata Ram, Prem and Relu Ram are still continuing on

the rolls of the respondents and even fresh recruitment was made in 1990 itself.

In cross-examination, PW-1 Shri Hira Singh has deposed that neither he represented to the Department for re-engagement nor he was called by the Department for re-employment. Compensation was claimed by him orally and not in writing. It is denied that petitioners Guman Singh and Prem Singh left the job on their own and as such no notice was served upon them. It is also denied that they had not worked for 240 days in the calendar year.

By filing affidavits, the remaining petitioners namely S/Shri Guman Singh, Ran Singh, and Prem Singh have affirmed the pleading set up by them in the petition.

On behalf of the respondents, Respondent No. 3 Shri Rakesh Gupta has appeared as RW-1. It is stated by him that the petitioners were employed by the Department. They worked as per mandays chart Ex. R-1 prepared on the basis of official record. Services of the petitioners S/Shri Hira Singh and Ran Singh were retrenched w. e. f. 1-6-1990 as per notice s Ex. R-2 and Ex. R-3. The remaining two petitioners abandoned the job themselves in February, 1990. In cross-examination, RW-1 Shri Rakesh Gupta has admitted that petitioners Shri Hira Singh and Shri Ran Singh were not paid retrenchment compensation. There are no remarks in the muster roll except that petitioners Guman Singh and Prem Singh have been marked absent, to show that they had abandoned the job themselves. It is further deposed that they have been coming and going in between. It is admitted that they were not served with notices calling upon them to join duty, failing which their services would be terminated. The witness who joined as Executive Engineer, HPPWD (B&R), Division, Shillai in August, 2001 has lastly stated that no fresh recruitment is being made against the vacancies arising on account of retirement.

Mandays chart Ex. R-1 has been produced and proved by the Respondent s. It goes to show that petitioner No. 1 Shri Hira Singh had put in the requisite service of more than 240 days during the calendar year preceding termination of his service w. e. f. 30-6-1990. Though statutory notice Ex. R-2 was served upon him, the fact remains that retrenchment compensation was not paid to him under Sub-Clause (b) of Section 25-F of the Act. It being so, the termination *qua* him is bad in the eyes of law.

In so far as petitioner No. 4 Shri Prem Singh is concerned, he had also completed the requisite continuous service as is apparent from the entry at Sl. 4 of the mandays chart Ex. R-1. However, he was neither served with any notice nor was paid retrenchment compensation. Thus the termination *qua* his is also bad under Section 25-F of the Act.

The case of petitioner No. 2 Guman Singh does not fall within the purview of the Act. He had not completed the requisite continuous service entitling him for protection under Section 25-F of the Act.

Though petitioner No. 3 Shri Ran Singh was served with notice Ex. R-3, the fact remains that he had also not put in the requisite continuous service of more than 240 days and was thus not entitled for protection under Section 25-F of the Act.

The above discussion leads me to hold that whereas petitioner No. 1 Shri Hira Singh and petitioner No. 4 Shri Prem Singh are entitled for relief, petitioner No. 2 Guman Singh and petitioner No. 3 Shri Ran Singh do not fulfil the requirement of requisite service under Section 25-F of the Act so as to entitle them for grant of relief. The issue is decided accordingly.

**Issue No. 2.**—The respondent did not press this issue during arguments. Even otherwise, it is not made out as to how the petition is not maintainable. It is settled that law of limitation does not apply to the present

proceedings. Accordingly the issue is held in the negative.

### RELIEF

In the result, termination of services of petitioner No. 1 Shri Hira Singh and petitioner No. 4 Prem Singh is held to be illegal and unjustified. Consequently, they are entitled for re-instatement. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, they shall not be entitled for back wages and the benefits of continuity of service shall be available to them only from the date of reference i. e. 1-8-1998. In so far as petitioner No. 2 Shri Guman Singh and petitioner No. 3 Shri Ran Singh are concerned, they are not entitled for any relief. The reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court this the 31st day of July, 2002.

Seal

V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-  
Labour Court, Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 116 of 2000

Instituted on : 9-8-2000

Decided on : 31-7-2002

1. Shri Jagat Singh son of Shri Sahib Ram.
2. Shri Bahadur Singh son of Shri Kalia Ram.
3. Shri Rai Singh son of Shri Kanshi Ram.
4. Shri Gulab Singh son of Shri Lal Singh.
5. Shri Kanwar Singh son of Shri Nanta Ram.
6. Shri Zaiti Ram son of Shri Ran Singh.
7. Shri Sunder Singh son of Shri Rai Man.
8. Shri Bahadur Singh son of Shri Gulab Singh.
9. Shri Chnia Ram son of Shri Budhia Ram.
10. Shri Sant Ram son of Shri Kambia Ram.
11. Shri Chamel Singh son of Shri Dhian Singh

.. Petitioners.

Versus

1. State of H. P. through Secretary (PWD), with  
HQs at Shimla.

2. The Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division,  
Shillai .. Respondents.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes  
Act, 1947.

### AWARD

The present petition arises out of the following reference made by the appropriate Government :—

1. "Whether termination of services of Shri Jagat Singh and 10 others workers (As per list enclosed) by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD, Division, Shillai, District Sirmaur, H. P., without any notice, Chargesheet, enquiry and without compliance of Section 25 (F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 on completion of 240 days' continuous service is legal and justified. If not, to what relief of service benefits and amount of compensation, the aggrieved workmen are entitled?"
2. "Whether the workers have abandoned the job on their own, as alleged. If not, to what effect?"

2. The petitioners have filed a joint statement of claim. According to them, they were serving as workmen in the HPPWD Division, Shillai on daily wages as

per the following details regarding their engagement and disengagement :—

| Sl. No. | Name               | Date of engagement | Date of disengagement. |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 1       | 2                  | 3                  | 4                      |
| 1.      | Shri Jagat Singh   | 1983               | 1991                   |
| 2.      | Shri Bahadur Singh |                    | 8/1989                 |
| 3.      | Shri Rai Singh     | 1988               | 9/1989                 |
| 4.      | Shri Gulab Singh   | 1988               | 3/1990                 |
| 5.      | Shri Kanwar Singh  | 1989               | 2/1990                 |
| 6.      | Shri Jati Ram      | 1985               | 3/1989                 |
| 7.      | Shri Sunder Singh  | 1986               | 3/1990                 |
| 8.      | Shri Bahadur Singh | 1988               | 4/1989                 |
| 9.      | Shri Chnia Ram     | 1988               | 6/1990                 |
| 10.     | Shri Sant Ram      | 1988               | 6/1990                 |
| 11.     | Shri Chamel Singh  | 1988               | 6/1990                 |

3. It is averred by the petitioners that each of them had completed 240 days of service for the purpose of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. (Hereinafter referred to as 'The Act'). Whereas, petitioners No. 1 to 8 were disengaged without serving any notice and without payment of compensation, one month's notice was issued to the remaining petitioners (9 to 11), but without payment of any compensation. It is further averred that the petitioners were disengaged despite availability of work. Even the principle of 'last come first go' was not adhered to. In as much as, persons junior to the petitioners are still continuing on the rolls of the respondents who have also resorted to fresh recruitment. It is alleged that termination of the services of the petitioners is unjustified, arbitrary and violative of the mandatory provisions of the Act. They are entitled to be re-instated in service with all the consequential service benefits such as seniority and full back wages.

4. The respondents have contested the claim. They have raised legal objections regarding maintainability and limitation.

5. On merits, it is stated that the petitioners were engaged as daily wage beldar during January, 1980, March, 1983, November, 1985, January, 1988, March, 1988, July, 1988 and August, 1988 for completion of a particular targeted work for which funds were available at the given time. On completion of the said work, services of the petitioners were no longer required and as such, services of the petitioners 9 to 11 namely S/Shri Chnia Ram, Sant Ram and Chamel Singh were dispensed with in accordance with the provisions of Section 25-F of the Act during June, 1990. Though petitioners No. 9 Shri Chnia Ram and petitioner No. 11 Shri Chamel Singh did not complete the legal requirements of Sections 25-B and 25-N of the Act, as such, there was no need either to serve any notice upon them or to pay any compensation to them. It is further stated that petitioner No. 11 to 8 voluntarily abandoned the job. It is averred that petitioners No. 2, 3, 8, 9 and 11 also did not fulfill the requirement of Sections 25-B and 25-N of the Act. It is lastly averred that consequent upon completion of the work, retrenchment of the workmen was necessary.

6. On the pleading of the parties, the following issues were settled by my learned predecessor on 13-6-2001 :—

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner in violation of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act ? OPP.
2. Whether the petitioner have abandoned the job as alleged? OPR.
3. Relief.

The parties have led evidence. I have heard the learned counsel and gone through the record.



For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter my findings on the above issues are as under :—

### FINDINGS

- |                |  |
|----------------|--|
| 1. Issue No. 1 | Yes.   |
| 2. Issue No. 2 | No.  |
| 3. Relief      | Reference disposed of accordingly <i>vide</i> operative part of the award. |

### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

**Issue No. 1 & 2.**—Both these issues being interconnected shall be taken up for discussion and decision together. Out of the petitioners, petitioner No. 10 Shri Sant Ram has appeared as PW-1. He has stated on oath that he joined the respondent No. 2 as beldar in January, 1988. His services were terminated in June, 1990. According to the witness, the petitioners numbering 11 were working together on daily wages. They did not leave the job on their own and instead retrenchment notices were served upon him and co-petitioners Chnia Ram, Sant Ram and Chamel Singh, but without payment of any compensation. Services of the remaining petitioners have also been terminated likewise. Copy of the notice served him is Ex. PA. He has also produced Mandays chart PB, *qua* all the petitioners. It is further stated by him that Narain Singh, Mohan Singh and Dharmu who were working alongwith the petitioners are still continuing on the rolls of the respondents, who also resorted to fresh recruitment during 1992-94. In cross-examination, the witness has denied that as per Mandays chart Ex. PB, the petitioners had not put in the requisite service of more than 240 days. It is also denied that 8 of the petitioner had left the job themselves and the remaining were served with notices. Instead the witness has asserted that compensation was not paid.

When statement of petitioner No. 10 Shri Sant Ram was recorded in this Court on 1-9-2001 as PW-1, it was directed that affidavits of the remaining petitioners be filed on the next date with advance copies to the respondents and matter was adjourned to 5-12-2001. However, on that day, the Presiding Officer manning this Court was on tour and accordingly the matter was posted for proper orders on 16-1-2002, when none appeared on behalf of the respondents and accordingly notice was ordered to be issued to them for appearance on 23-4-2002. On that day, though counter affidavits were filed, it appears that affidavits on behalf of the remaining petitioners were not filed and the case was adjourned to 17-6-2002 when respondents' evidence was recorded and the matter was fixed for arguments on 31-7-2002.

8. It is stated by RW-1 Shri Rakesh Gupta that whereas petitioners No. 1 to 8 had abandoned the job themselves, the remaining petitioners (9 to 11) were served with notices in June, 1990. It is further stated by him that mandays chart *qua* the petitioners is Ex. PB. They did not represent to the department. In cross-examination, it is admitted by the witness that though notices were served petitioners S/Shri Chain Ram, Sant Ram and Chamel Singh, compensation was neither offered nor paid to them. There is no other material except entries showing absence in the muster roll to prove that petitioners 1 to 8 abandoned the job. The witness who had joined as Executive Engineer, HPPWD (B&R) Division, Shimla recently was deposing on the basis of the record and was not having any personal knowledge.

9. Without going into the rival contentions in detail, sufficient to say that though notice under sub-clause (a) of Section 25-F of the Act were served upon petitioners No. 9 to 11 namely S/Shri Chnia Ram, Sant Ram and Chamel Singh, yet the fact remains that they were not paid retrenchment compensation as provided under sub-clause (b) of the said section and accordingly, there was non compliance of the mandatory provisions of Section 25-F of the Act. But this aspect shall have no bearing on the case of petitioner No. 3 Chaina Ram

as he had put in requisite service of more than 240 days as is apparent from the entries at Sl. No. 9 of Mandays chart Ex. RB.

10. The case of petitioner No. 5 Shri Kanwar Singh is also not hit under Section 25-F of the Act as he had also not put in the requisite service of more than 240 days during the preceding calendar year as is reflected from the entries at Sl. No. 5 of the Mandays chart Ex. RB.

11. In so far as the remaining petitioners *i. e.* petitioners No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11 namely S/Shri Jagat Singh, Bahadur Singh son of Shri Kalia Ram, Rai Singh, Gulab Singh, Jati Ram, Sunder Singh, Bahadur Singh, Sant Ram, and Chamel Singh are concerned, all of them had put in more than 240 days of requisite service during the relevant period and as such they are entitled for relief as there is no credible evidence to show that they themselves had abandoned the job and instead the evidence of record indicates that their services were retrenched by the respondents without fulfilling mandatory requirements of Section 25-F of the Act. Accordingly, they are also entitled for relief. Issue is decided accordingly.

### RELIEF

12. In the result, the petition succeeds *qua* Petitioners No. 1 to 4, 6 to 8, 10 and 11 namely S/Shri Jagat Singh, Bahadur Singh son of Shri Kali Ram, Rai Singh, Gulab Singh, Jati Ram, Sunder Singh, Bahadur Singh, Sant Ram and Chamel Singh consequently, they are entitled for re-instatement. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, benefit of continuity of service shall accrue to them only from the date of reference *i. e.* 2-8-2000 and they shall not be entitled for back wages. In so far as Petitioners No. 5 and 9 are concerned, they are not entitled for any relief. The reference is answered accordingly. Let copy of the award be sent to appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette.

Announced in the open Court today this the 31st day of July, 2002

Sd/-  
(V. K. SHARMA),

Presiding Judge,

H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of S'ri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. 79/99

Shri Tulsi Ram & Others

*Versus*

The D. F.O. Renuka, Forest Division Renuka, District  
Sirmaur, H.P.

8-8-2002.—*Present*.—None for petitioner and also for Respondent.

Case called twice. It is 12.05 P.M. It be kept after some time.

8-8-2002.—*Present*: As above.

Case called twice.—It is 3.05 P. M. still none appeared for the petitioner. Therefore, the reference is liable to be answered in negative owing to default in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and there being no material on record in support of the terms of the reference. Ordered accordingly. Let a copy of the order be sent to appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced 8-8-2002.

Sd/-  
(V. K. SHARMA),  
Seal. Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla.

Ref. No. 35/2001

Raghubir Singh

*Versus*

M. D., H. R. T. C., Nahan

8-8-2002:—*Present*.—Shri Raghubir Singh petitioner in person.

Miss Rita Thakur vice counsel for the respondent.

The petitioner has moved an application seeking permission to withdraw the petition. He has been made to understand the ensuing consequences. However, he insists that he does not want to prosecute the petition, which may be dismissed as withdrawn and the reference may be answered accordingly. To this effect, statement of the petitioner recorded separately.

In view of the above, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the petition and the same shall stand dismissed as such. With dismissal of the petition as withdrawn, the reference has become infructuous and is answered accordingly. Let a copy of the order be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced 8-8-2002.

Seal.

Sd/-  
(V. K. SHARMA),  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 116 of 1999

Instituted on : 28-6-1999

Decided on : 12-8-2002

Surat Ram and 15 others. .. Petitioners.

*Versus*

1. H. F. State Forest Corporation through its Managing Director, Shimla.

2. Divisional Manager, H. P. State Forest Corporation, Nerwa Division, Tehsil Chopal, District Shimla.

.. Respondent.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For Petitioner: Shri Sarwan Dogra, Advocate.

For Respondent: Shri Adarsh Sharma, Advocate.

#### AWARD

The following reference has been received for adjudication from the appropriate Government:

"Whether the retrenchment of Shri Surat Ram and 14 other workers (as per list enclosed) by the (1) Divisional Manager, forest Corporation, Forest Division, Chopal district Shimla, H.P. and (2) The Managing Director, H.P. State Forest Corporation, Shimla, H.P. vide demand notices dated 3-12-92, 25-11-93 and 26-11-93 after compliance of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 but without compliance of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 without

having regard to the number of workers being more than 100 with the employer, is legal and justified. If not, to what relief of service benefits including back wages, reinstatement, seniority and amount of compensation, the above aggrieved workman are entitled?"

2. The petitioners have filed joint statement of claim. It is averred that they joined the services of the respondent corporation in its Nerwa Division which was carved out of Chopal division in the year, 1989. The respective dates of their engagement and retrenchment have been given in Annexure A-1 to the reference (Ex. PA), which are as follows:—

| Sl. No | Name              | Date of engagement | Date of Retrenchment |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 1.     | Shri Surat Ram    | August, 1989       | 3-12-1992            |
| 2.     | Shri Sukh Ram     | December, 87       | 26-11-93             |
| 3.     | Shri Badri Ram    | January, 89        | -do-                 |
| 4.     | Shri Balak Ram    | August, 87         | -do-                 |
| 5.     | Shri Nand Ram     | May, 87            | -do-                 |
| 6.     | Shri Sabla Ram    | January, 88        | 3-12-1992            |
| 7.     | Shri Kewal Ram    | 1-11-87            | 15-2-93              |
| 8.     | Shri Bhagat Ram   | 18-7-86            | 3-12-92              |
| 9.     | Shri Hari Singh   | 10/85              | 25-11-93             |
| 10.    | Shri Dai Ram      | 1-4-87             | -do-                 |
| 11.    | Shri Sita Ram     | 1989               | 25-11-93             |
| 12.    | Shri Kirpa Ram    | 1987               | -do-                 |
| 13.    | Shri Padam Singh  | November,          | 8715-2-93            |
| 14.    | Shri Attar Singh  | August, 1985       | 26-11-93             |
| 15.    | Shri Kundan Singh | March, 85          | December, 93         |

3. According to the petitioners, they had been working in different jungles/lots/depots of the corporation to guard timber and other properties belonging to the corporation during day and night. On an average, more than 100 workers were engaged in Nerwa Division of the corporation per day continuously for many years. The said division of the corporation is involved in conversion of standing trees into timber and then into standard sizes of logs which are then transported to the road side depots either through manual labour or through floating in rivers/khuds and ultimately to the sale depots of the corporations at Parwanoo and Baddi where it is sold to the general public and the sale proceeds are collected/sent to the Head Office of the corporation at Shimla. This work goes on continuously throughout the year. Thus the work being performed by the corporation is of regular nature.

4. It is further pleaded that services of the petitioners were illegally terminated by the Divisional Manager, Nerwa division by taking recourse to Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). Whereas in the facts and circumstances of the case, Section 25-N of the Act was applicable. It is stated that for the purpose of seniority the respondent corporation constitutes one unit. Even the principle of 'last come first go' was also given a go by. The petitioners on account of sheer poverty could not pursue the matter before the appropriate authorities/court under the Act. They also believed that since majority of the other workmen who were simultaneously disengaged were prosecuting their case before the Labour Court, the outcome of the same would also be applicable to them. The resultant delay is bonafide and unintentional. According to the petitioners, being persons similarly situate, benefits of award dated 6-7-1998 passed by this Court in Reference Numbers 23/95, 44/95, 43/96, 46/96, 4/97, and 30 of 1996 may also be extended to them.

5. The respondents have contested the claim. Preliminary objections regarding maintainability, misjoinder causes of action and delay and laches have been raised.



6. On merits, it is admitted that prior to creation of Nerwa Division, the petitioners were initially engaged by the Divisional Manager, Forest Working Division, Chopal. They were engaged for watch and work of timber in shifts. It is denied that they were performing duties day and night. Continuous engagement of more than 100 workers in Nerwa Division of the corporation per day for many years is admitted. It is also admitted that the corporation is engaged in carrying out the aforesaid activities. However, it is averred that the work is of seasonal nature. There is complete ban on marking of green trees and only salvage marking is being handed over by the Forest Department to the corporation. Regular work is not available for the petitioners as sufficient number of chowkidars senior to them are already working in Forest Working Division, Nerwa.

7. It is denied that the services of the petitioners were terminated illegally and instead it is averred that the same were dispensed within accordance with law on payment of statutory benefits under Section 25-F of the Act. It is further stated that the seniority of daily wagers is being maintained at divisional level as per judgments delivered by Hon'ble High Court of H. P. in CWP No. 190 of 1984, titled Shri Laliq Ram V/s HPSEB and CWP No. 735 of 1985, titled Shri Chuni Lal Vs. HPSFC. Though passing of award dated 6-7-98 by this Court in the aforesaid references is admitted, yet it is alleged that the same does not apply to the facts of the present case. It is denied that the petitioners were illegally retrenched. It is also denied that provisions of Section 25-N of the Act apply to the facts and circumstances of the case and not those of section 25-F of the Act.

8. On the above pleading, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 21-6-2000;—

1. Whether there is any violation of Section 25 (N) in the termination of the petitioner. If so, its effect? OPP.
2. Whether the petition is barred by limitation? OPR.
3. Relief.

9. The parties have led evidence. It have heard their learned counsel, and gone through the record.

10. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under :—

- Issue No. 1    yes.  
 Issue No. 2    No.  
 Issue No. 3    Reference answered accordingly  
                      vide operative part of the award.

#### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

11. Issue No. 1.—Admittedly the petitioners were retrenched by the respondent corporation under Section 25-F of the Act. However, in view of the evidence on record, the case is covered under Section 25-N of the Act. The reasons to arrive at this conclusion at the very outset are enumerated hereinafter.

12. The petitioner have categorically averred that in view of the nature of operations being carried out by the respondent corporation, the provisions of Chapter-VB of the Act including those of Section 25-N would apply to it being an "industrial establishment" in which not less than 100 workmen were employed on an average per working day in the preceding twelve calendar months. These averments have been categorically admitted on behalf of the respondents vide paras 3 and 4 of the reply. The same have also been reiterated on oath by Sy petitioner No. 4 Shri Kamal Singh in his deposition as PW-1. Further more, RW-1 Shri Mast Ram has also admitted these aspects of the case in the opening lines of his statement in cross-examination.

13. On the face of categoric admissions both in pleadings and evidence the stand on behalf of the res-

pondent corporation that it is an 'establishment' of a seasonal character or in which work is performed only intermittently or the provisions of section 25-N do not apply to the facts of the case in hand, is totally believed.

14. In view of the above, the retrenchment of the petitioners is held to be violative of section 25-N of the Act due to its total non-compliance. The issue is decided accordingly.

15. Issue No. 2.—The respondents did not press this issue during the course of arguments. Even otherwise it is settled that law of limitation does not apply to these proceedings. The issue is accordingly decided as not pressed.

#### RELIEF

16. In the result, the reference is answered in affirmative. Consequently the retrenchment of the petitioners is held to be violative of section 25-N of the Act entitling them to re-instatement with continuity in service and seniority on and with effect from the respective dates of their retrenchment. However, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case they shall be entitled for back wages only from the date of reference, that is 8-6-1999. The amount of compensation, if any paid to the petitioners under section 25-F of the Act shall be liable to be adjusted against the amount of back wages.

17. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the open Court today this 12th day of August, 2002.

Sd/-  
 (V. K. SHARMA,)  
 Presiding Judge,  
 H.P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
 Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
 H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 115 of 1999.

Instituted on : 28-6-1999.

Decided on : 12-8-2002.

Shri Liaq Ram and 5 others.                      .. Petitioners.

Versus

1. H. P. State Forest Corporation, through its Managing Director, Shimla.

2. Divisional Manager, H. P. State Forest Corporation, Nerwa Division, Tehsil Chopal, District Shimla.  
 .. Respondent.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For petitioners: Shri Sarwan Dogra, Advocate.

For respondent: Shri Adarsh Sharma, Advocate.

#### AWARD

The following reference has been received for adjudication from the appropriate Government:

"Whether the retrenchment of S/Shri Liaq Ram, Gian Singh, Hari Chand, Bhag Mal, Bhamal and Kamal Singh, daily wages workers by (1) Divisional Manager, H. P. State forest Corporation Forest Division, Chopal, District Shimla, H. P. and (2) The Managing Director, H. P. State Forest Corporation, Shimla after compliance of section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 vide notices.

dated 3-12-1992 and 8-4-1997 but without compliance of Section 25-N of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 without having regard to the number of workers being more than 100 with the employer, is legal and justified? If not, what relief of service benefit, including re-instatement, back wages, seniority and amount of compensation, the above aggrieved workmen are entitled to?"

2. The petitioners have filed joint statement of claim. It is averred that they joined the services of the respondent corporation in its Nerwa Division which was carved out of Chopal division in the year, 1989. The respective dates of their engagement and retrenchment have been given in Annexure A-1 to the statement of claim (Ex. PB), which are as follows:—

| Sl. No. | Name                          | Date of engagement | Date of retrenchment |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 1.      | Laiq Ram                      | June, 1987         | Feb. 1993/8-4-97     |
| 2.      | Hari Chand                    | 18-5-1987          | -do-                 |
| 3.      | Gian Singh                    | 8-10-1987          | -do-                 |
| 4.      | Kamal Singh                   | August, 84         | -do-                 |
| 5.      | Bhag Mal s/o Shri Anant Rani. | May, 87            | -do-                 |
| 6.      | Bhag Mal s/o Shri Sukh Ram    | 9-7-79.            | December, 92         |

3. According to the petitioners, they had been working in different jungles/lots/deposits of the corporation to guard timber and other properties belonging to the corporation during day night.

4. It is stated that there are two sets of petitioners in the present reference. The first set comprises of five petitioners namely Laiq Ram, Hari Chand, Gian Singh, Kamal Singh and Bhag Mal son of Shri Anant Ram. They had approached the Hon'ble High Court of H. P. by filing CWP No. 303/1993 and were re-engaged as per order dated 7-4-93 at the same place and in the same capacity. However, they were again disengaged by the respondent corporation on 8-7-1997 when the aforesaid writ petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court on the point of jurisdiction. Consequently, they filed Original Application No. 799/97 in the H. P. Administrative Tribunal on 25-4-1997 which was also later on dismissed as withdrawn on 14-7-98 with liberty reserved to approach the Labour Court. The second set comprises of petitioner Bhag Mal son of Shri Sukh Ram who was also disengaged alongwith the remaining petitioners under Section 25-F of the Act, in December, 1992, but did not approach either the Hon'ble High Court or the Administrative Tribunal.

5. On an average, more than 100 workers were engaged in Nerwa Division of the corporation per day continuously for many years. The said division of the corporation is involved in conversion of standing trees into timber and then into standard sizes of logs which are then transported to the road side depots either through manual labour or through floating in rivers/khuds and ultimately to the sale depots of the corporation at Parwanoo and Baddi, where it is sold to the general public and the sale proceeds are collected/sent to the Head office of the corporation at Shimla. This work goes on continuously throughout the year. Thus, the work being performed by the corporation is of regular nature.

6. It is further pleaded that services of the petitioners were illegally terminated by the Divisional Manager, Nerwa Division by taking recourse to Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). Whereas in the facts and circumstances of the case, Section 25-N of the Act was applicable. It is stated that for the purpose of seniority, the respondent corporation constitutes one unit. Even the principle of 'last come first go' was given a go by. The petitioner on

account of sheer poverty could not pursue the matter before the appropriate authorities/court under the Act. They also believed that since majority of other workmen who were simultaneously disengaged were prosecuting their case before the Labour Court, the outcome of the same would also be applicable to them. The resultant delay is bonafide and unintentional. According to the petitioners, being person similarly situated, benefit of award dated 6-7-1998 passed by this Court in Reference Number 23/95, 44/95, 43/96, 46/96, 4/97 and 30 of 1996 may also be extended to them.

7. The respondent have contested the claim. Preliminary objections regarding maintainability, misjoinder of causes of section and delay and a laches have been raised.

8. On merits, it is admitted that prior to creation of Nerwa Division, the petitioners were initially engaged by the Divisional Manager, Forest Working Division, Chopal. They were engaged for watch and ward of timber in shifts. It is denied that they were performing duties day and night. It is admitted that there are two sets of petitioners. Continuous engagement of more than 100 workers in Nerwa Division of the corporation per day for many years is admitted. It is also admitted that the corporation is engaged in carrying out the aforesaid activities. However it is averred that the work is of seasonal nature. There is complete ban on marking of green trees and only salvage marking is being handed over by the Forest Department to the corporation. Regular work is not available for the petitioners as sufficient number of chowkidars senior to them are already working in Forest Division Nerwa.

9. It is denied that the services of the petitioners were terminated illegally and instead it is averred that the same were dispensed within accordance with law on payment of statutory benefits under Section 25-F of the Act. It is further stated that the seniority of daily wage is being maintained at divisional level as per judgements delivered by Hon'ble High Court of H. P. in CWP No. 190 of 1984 titled Shri Laiq Ram v/s HPSEB and CWP No. 735 of 1985, titled Shri Chuni Lal v/s HPSEB. Though passing of award dated 6-7-98 by this Court in the aforesaid reference is admitted yet it is alleged that the same does not apply to the facts of the present case. It is denied that the petitioners were illegally retrenched. It is also denied that provisions of Section 25-N of the Act apply to the facts and circumstances of the case and not those of Section 25-F of the Act.

10. On the above pleading, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 21-6-2000:—

1. Whether there is any violation of Section 25 (N) in the termination of the petitioner. If so, its effect? OPP.
2. Whether the petition is barred by limitation? OPR.
3. Relief.

11. The parties have led evidence. I have heard their learned counsel and gone through the record.

12. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter my findings on the above issues are as under:—

- |              |  |
|--------------|--|
| Issue No. 1. | Yes.   |
| Issue No. 2. | No.  |
| Issue No. 3. | Reference answered accordingly vide operative part of the award. |

#### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

13. Issue No. 1. Admittedly, the petitioners were retrenched by the respondent corporation under Section 25-F of the Act. However in view of the evidence on record, the case is covered under Section 25-N of the Act. The reasons to arrive at this conclusion at the very outset are enumerated hereinafter.

14. The petitioners have categorically averred that in view of the nature of operations being carried out by the respondent corporation, the provisions of Chapter-VB of the Act including those of Section 25-N would apply to it being an "Industrial Establishment" in which not less than 100 workmen were employed on an average per working day in the preceding twelve calendar months. These averments have been categorically admitted on behalf of the respondents vide paras 3 and 4 of the reply. The same have also been reiterated on oath by petitioner No. 4 Shri Kamal Singh in his deposition as PW-1. Further more RW-1 Shri Mast Ram has also admitted these aspects of the case in the opening lines of his statement in cross-examination.

15. On the face of categoric admissions, both in pleadings and evidence, the stand on behalf of respondent corporation that it is an 'establishment' of a seasonal character or in which work is performed only intermittently or the provisions of section 25-N do not apply to the facts of the case in hand, is totally believed.

16. In view of the above, the retrenchment of the petitioners is held to be violative of Section 25-N of the Act due to its total non-compliance. The issue is decided accordingly.

17. *Issue No. 2.*—The respondents did not press this issue during the course of arguments. Even otherwise, it is settled that law of limitation does not apply to these proceedings. The issue is accordingly decided as not pressed.

#### RELIEF

18. In the result, the reference is answered in affirmative. Consequently the retrenchment of the petitioners is held to be violative of Section 25-N of the Act. Since all the petitioners except petitioner No. 6 Shri Bhag Mal son of Shri Sukh Ram had been espousing their cause immediately after their retrenchment, first in the Hon'ble High Court of H. P., then in H. P. Administrative Tribunal and ultimately in this Court, they shall be entitled for re-instatement with continuity in service, seniority and back wages on and with effect from the respective dates of their retrenchment. However, back wages shall not be payable to them for the intervening period during which they were re-instated in service as per orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

19. Petitioner No. 6 Shri Bhag Mal son of Shri Sukh Ram shall be entitled to re-instatement with continuity in service and seniority on and with effect from the date of his retrenchment. However, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case *qua* him, he shall be entitled for back wages only from the date of reference, that is 8-6-99.

20. The amount of compensation, if any, paid to the petitioners under section 25-F of the Act shall be liable to be adjusted against the amount of back wages.

21. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court today this 12th day of August, 2002.

Seal. (V. K. SHARMA),  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Sh. V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 114 of 1999.  
Instituted No : 28-6-1999.  
Decided on : 12-8-2002.

Shri Sunil Dutt  
Versus

1. H.P. State Forest Corporation through its  
Managing Director, Shimla.

2. Divisional Manager, H. P. State Corporation,  
Chopal Division, Tehsil Chopal, District Shimla.  
Respondent.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial  
Disputes Act, 1947.

For petitioner : Shri Sarwan Dogra, Advocate.

For respondents : Shri Adarsh Sharma, Advocate.

#### AWARD

The following reference has been received for adjudication from the appropriate government:—

"Whether the retrenchment of Sh. Sunil Dutt Sharma, Worker (daily wages) by (1) Divisional Manager, H. P. State Forest Corporation, Forest Division, Chopal, Distt. Shimla, H. P. and (2) The Managing Director, H. P. State Forest Corporation, Shimla w.e.f. 22-7-92 after compliance of Section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 but without compliance of Section 25(N) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 without having regard to the number of workers being more than 100 with the employer, is legal and justified. If not, to what relief of service benefits including back wages, reinstatement, seniority and amount of compensation, Sh. Sunil Dutt Sharma is entitled?"

2. The petitioner has filed the statement of claim it is averred that he joined the respondent corporation in its Forest Division at Chopal on daily wages in July, 1991 and was illegally retrenched on 22-7-92. He had put in 240 days in twelve calendar months preceding the date of retrenchment. Though he worked in the said corporation upto 31-10-92, but was not paid wages after July, 1992. According to the petitioner, he had been working in different jungles/lots depots of the corporation to guard timber and other properties belonging to the corporation during day and night. On an average, more than 100 workers were engaged in Chopal Division of the Corporation per day continuously for many years. The said division of the corporation is involved in conversion of standing trees into timber and then into standard sizes of logs which are then transported to the road side depots either through manual labour or through floating in rivers/khuds and ultimately to the sale depots of the corporation at Parwanoo and Baddi, where it is sold to the general public and the sale proceeds are collected/ sent to the Head office of the corporation at Shimla. This work goes on continuously through out the year. It involves both government and private forests. Thus, the work being performed by the corporation is of regular nature.

3. It is further pleaded that services of the petitioner were illegally terminated by the Divisional Manager, Chopal Division by taking recourse to Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). Whereas in the facts and circumstances of the case, Section 25-N of the Act was applicable. It is stated that for the purpose of seniority, the respondent corporation constitutes one unit. Even the principle of 'last come first go' was also given a go by. The petitioner on account of sheer poverty could not pursue the matter before the appropriate authorities/court under the Act. He also believed that since majority of other workmen who were simultaneously disengaged were prosecuting their case before the Labour Court, the outcome of the same would also be applicable to him. The resultant delay is bonafide and unintentional. According to the petitioner, being a person similarly situate, benefit of award dated 6-7-1998 passed by this Court in Reference Numbers 23/95, 44/95, 43/96, 46/96, 4/97 and 30 of 1996 may also be extended to him.

4. The respondents have contested the claim. Preliminary objections regarding maintainability, delay and laches and estoppel have been raised.

5. On merits, it is admitted that the petitioner worked with the corporation on daily wages from July.

1991 to 22-7-92 and had completed 240 days in twelve calendar months preceding the date of retrenchment. However, it is denied that his services were terminated illegally and instead it is averred that the same were dispensed with in accordance with law. The petitioner was duly served with notice under Section 25-F of the Act and was also paid retrenchment compensation. The services had to be dispensed with owing to cessation of work. The seniority of daily wage workers is maintained at the divisional level and the principle of 'last come first go' was followed. It is further stated that though there was no work for the petitioner after he was retrenched, yet he kept on reporting for duty upto 31-10-92 and the officials on the spot were not aware about his retrenchment. Thus he is not entitled for wages for this period. The nature of duties being performed by the petitioner is admitted. Continuous engagement of more than 100 workers in Chopal Division of the corporation per day continuously for many years is denied and instead it is stated that number of workers depends upon availability of work. It is admitted that the Corporation is engaged in carrying out the aforesaid activities. However, it is averred that the work is of seasonal nature. It is not a continuing process. Some times, private contractors are also engaged who employ their own labour. Though passing of award dated 6-7-98 by this Court in the aforesaid references is admitted, yet it is alleged that the same does not apply to the facts of the present case. It is denied that the petitioner was illegally retrenched. It is also denied that provisions of Section 25-N of the Act apply to the facts and circumstances of the present case and not those of Section 25-F of the Act.

6. On the above pleadings, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 21-6-2000:—

1. Whether there is any violation of Section 25(N) in the termination of the petitioner, if so, its effect ? OPP.

2. Whether the petition is barred by limitation ? OPR

3. Relief.

7. The parties have led evidence. I have heard their learned counsel and gone through the record.

8. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under:—

Issue No. 1 Yes.

Issue No. 2 No.

Issue No. 3. Reference answered accordingly vide operative part of the award.

#### REASONS FOR FINDINGS

9. Issue No. 1.—Admittedly the petitioner was retrenched by the respondent corporation under Section 25-F of the Act. However, in view of the evidence on record, the case is covered under Section 25-N of the Act. The reasons to arrive at this conclusion at the very outset are enumerated hereinafter.

10. The petitioner has categorically averred that in view of the nature of operations being carried out by the respondent corporation, the provisions of Chapter-VB of the Act including those of Section 25-N would apply to it being an 'Industrial establishment' in which not less than 100 workmen were employed on an average per working day in the preceding twelve calendar months. Though the respondents have admitted the nature of operations in which the corporation is engaged, yet it is denied that either more than 100 workers were engaged at any given time as alleged of the work is of continuous nature. Instead it is pleaded that the work is of seasonal nature and number of workers depends upon availability of work. However RW-I Shri Som Dutt Sharma has admitted in no unambiguous terms

that more than 100 workers were employed in 1992 and the operations being carried out by the corporation continue throughout the year. This part of his statement belies the stand on behalf of the respondent corporation that it is an 'establishment' of a seasonal character or in which work is performed only intermittently or the provisions of Section 25-N of the Act do not apply to the facts of the case in hand.

11. In view of the above, the termination of the petitioner is held to be violative of Section 25-N of the Act due to its total non-compliance. The issue is decided accordingly.

12. Issue No. 2.—The respondents did not press this issues during the course of arguments. Even otherwise, it is settled that law of limitation does not apply to these proceedings. The issue is accordingly decided as not pressed.

#### RELIEF

13. In the result the reference is answered in the affirmative. Consequently retrenchment of the petitioner is held to be violative of Section 25-N of the Act entitling him to re-instatement with continuity in service and seniority on and with effect from the date of retrenchment, that is 22-7-92. However in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, he shall be entitled for back wages only from the date of reference that is 8-6-99. The amount of compensation, if any, paid to the petitioner under Section 25-F of the Act shall be Liable to be adjusted against the amount of back wages.

14. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court today this 12th day of August, 2002.

sd/-  
(V. K. SHARMA),  
Presiding Judge.  
H.P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 217/98.1  
Instituted on : 14-10-98.  
Decided on : 12-8-2002.

1. Shri Bala Ram s/o Shri Hirda Ram, 2. Shri Mohd. Yunus s/o Sh. Hirda Ram, 3. Shri Bir Singh s/o Sh. Purnu Ram, 4. Shri Jagdish Chand s/o Sh. Ram Saroop, 5. Shri Ram Kisan s/o Shri Mata Ram, 6. Shri Jai Singh s/o Shri Amar Singh, 7. Shri Prithvi Singh s/o Shri Abu Ram, 8. Shri Amar Singh s/o Sh. Bal Krishan .. Petitioners.

#### Versus

1. State of H.P. through Secy. (PWD) with headquarters at Shimla, 2. The Superintending Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Circle (12 Circle) Nahan. 3. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmaur, H.P. .. Respondents.

Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

For petitioners : Shri A. K. Gupta, Advocate.

For respondents : Shri Mohinder Singh, AR.

#### AWARD

The following reference has been received for adjudication from the appropriate Government.

“कि क्या बाला राम व अन्य श्रमिकों को नियोजकों अधिष्ठात्री श्रमियन्ता, हि० प्र० लोक निर्माण विभाग, पावटा साहिब द्वारा

लगातार 240 दिनों में अधिक की सेवाएं करने उपरान्त तथा औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 25-एफ के प्रावधानों का अनुपालन किए बिना (संलग्न सूचि अनुसार) तिथि से समाप्त करना उचित एवं न्यायसंगत है? यदि नहीं, तो उक्त श्रमिक किन सेवा लाभों व क्षतिपूर्ति के हकदार हैं?"

2. The petitioners have filed joint statement of claim. It is averred that they were serving the respondent department on daily wages. It is stated that the respective dates of their engagement and disengagement have been given in Annexure P-1 to the statement of claim, but no such annexure has been appended therewith. However, as per list of workmen annexed with the reference, the respective dates of their engagement and disengagement are as follows :—

| Sl. No. | Name              | Date of engagement | Date of disengagement |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1.      | Sh. Bala Ram      | 11/80              | 4/87                  |
| 2.      | Sh. Mohd. Yunus   | 1/85               | 5/86                  |
| 3.      | Sh. Bir Singh     | 2/80               | 1989                  |
| 4.      | Sh. Jagdish Chand | 1/80               | 2/84                  |
| 5.      | Sh. Ram Krishan   | 11/87              | 8/88                  |
| 6.      | Sh. Jai Singh     | 11/83              | 11/84                 |
| 7.      | Sh. Prithvi Singh | 12/80              | 5/84                  |
| 8.      | Sh. Amar Singh    | 6/87               | 4/93                  |

3. According to the petitioners, they had completed more than 240 days of service as envisaged in the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act'). Even despite that their services were dispensed with in violation of Section 25-F of the Act. While dispensing with the services of the petitioners, persons junior to them were allowed to continue and the principle of 'last come first go' was not followed. Even fresh recruitment has taken place thereafter.

4. The respondents have contested the claim. Preliminary objections regarding maintainability, limitation and estoppel have been raised.

5. On merits, engagement of the petitioners on daily wages is admitted. It is stated that as per mandays chart Ex. RW/A, no other petitioner except petitioners No. : 2, 5 and 6, completed 240 days of continuous service as envisaged under Section 25 B(2) (a) (ii) of the Act. It is further stated that petitioner No. 8, namely Amar Singh is still on the rolls of the respondent department. It is alleged that this is not a case of retrenchment and instead the petitioners had voluntarily abandoned the job without intimation and any cogent reason and they never reported for work thereafter. It being so, the provisions of Section 25-F of the Act do not apply to the facts and circumstances of the case.

6. On the above pleadings, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 19-5-2000:—

- Whether the services of the petitioners have been terminated illegally, as alleged ? OPP.
- Whether the petition suffers from delay and laches ? If so, its effect ? OPR.
- Relief.

7. The parties have led evidence. I have heard their learned counsel/authorised representative and gone through the record.

For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under :—

Issue No. 1. Partly yes.

Issue No. 2. No.

Issue No. 3 Reference answered accordingly and operative part of the award.

## REASONS FOR FINDINGS

8. Issue No. 1.—It is apparent from mandays chart Ex. RW/A that petitioners No. 2, 5 & 6 namely Mohd. Yunus, Ram Krishan and Jai Singh had put in the requisite service of 240 days during twelve calendar months preceding respective dates of their disengagement. It being so, they are *prima facie*, entitled for relief. According to them, their services were dispensed with by the respondents in violation of Section 25-F of the act. A bare perusal of the statement of RW-1 Shri Navcen Joshi would go to show that the respondents have failed to establish that this is not a case of retrenchment and instead the petitioners had themselves abandoned the job. Accordingly, it is held that retrenchment of petitioners No. 2, 5 & 6, namely Mohd. Yunus, Ram Krishan and Jai Singh is violative of section 25-F of the Act.

9. In so far as petitioners No. 1, 3, 4 & 7, namely Bala Ram, Bir Singh, Jagdish Chand and Prithvi Singh are concerned, without going into the controversy whether their services were dispensed with by the respondents or they themselves had abandoned the job, suffice it to say that their case is not covered under Section 25-F of the Act for want of requisite service of 240 days during the relevant period as is apparent from mandays chart Ex. RW/A. Accordingly, they are not entitled for any relief.

10. There is no dispute that Petitioner No. 8 Amar Singh is still continuing in the employment of the respondent and his name appears to have been included in the reference inadvertently. Thus, the reference *qua* him has been rendered infructuous.

11. The issue is decided accordingly.

12. Issue No. 2.—The respondents did not press this issue during the course of arguments. Even otherwise, it is settled that law of limitation does not apply to these proceedings. The issue is accordingly disposed of as not pressed.

## RELIEF

13. In the result, the reference is answered partly in affirmative, in, the above terms. Consequently, petitioners No. 2, 5 and 6, namely Mohd. Yunus, Ram Krishan and Jai Singh are held entitled for reinstatement with continuity in service and seniority on and with effect from the date of reference, that is, 14-10-98. However, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, they are not entitled for back wages.

14. The remaining petitioners except petitioner No. 8 Shri Amar Singh who is continuing in the employment of the respondents, are not entitled for any relief.

15. The reference *qua* petitioner No. 8 Amar Singh has been rendered infructuous.

16. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the Open Court today the 12th day of August, 2002.

Sd/-  
(V. K. SHARMA),

Presiding Judge,

H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No.: 157/99.

General Secy./President. Himalyan Mazdoor Union,  
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, H. P.

Versus

M/s Himalayan Forest & Agro Products (P) Ltd.,  
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, H. P.

13-8-2002 : *Present*—None.

Case called twice. It is 11.15 A. M. still none has put in appearance on behalf of the parties despite service through registered A. D. post. The petition be kept in waiting so as to be taken up after noon hours.

13-8-2002 : *Present*—None.  
(After noon)

Again case called twice. It is 3.30 A. M. None has put in appearance on behalf of either of the parties despite service through Registered A. D. post. Accordingly the reference is answered in negative in default of the appearance of the petitioner. Let a copy of this order be sent to appropriate Government for its publication in the official gazette.

Announced on 13-8-2002.

Seal, V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. 160/98.

Yash Pal *Versus* Rakesh Khurana

14-8-2002—*Present*—Shri Yash Pal petitioner with  
Shri A. K. Sharma, AR.

Respondent with Shri Rahul  
Mahajan, Advocate, Id. cl.

Pursuant to setting aside of the *ex parte* award dated 8-11-99 passed by my learned predecessor in the present reference, *vide* a separate order of the day passed in MA No. 29/2001, titled as Rakesh Khurana Vs. Yash Pal, the present reference stands restored for disposal on merits. It be registered at its original number.

Conciliation between the parties tried. Efforts succeeded. The respondent is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 35,000/- to the petitioner towards full and final settlement of his claim as involved in the present reference and the proposal is acceptable to the petitioner. To this effect are their statements recorded separately.

In view of amicable settlement arrived at between the parties, it is directed that the respondent shall pay the aforesaid sum of Rs. 35,000/- to the petitioner in this Court on 19-8-2002, failing which the amount shall also carry interest @ 9% P.A. from today till realization and the petitioner shall be entitled to get the amount recovered through process of law.

The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to appropriate Government for its publication in the H. P. Rajptra in accordance with law.

Announced on 14-8-2002.

Seal, V. K. SHARMA,  
Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref No. : 37 of 1998  
Instituted on : 2-4-1998  
Decided on : 16-8-2002.

Ramzan Mohamad (Mason). .. Petitioner.

*Versus*

The Executive Engineer, HPPWD, Division No. 1  
Bilaspur, H. P. .. Respondent.

*Reference under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.*

*For petitioner* : Shri Sunder Singh, AR.

*For respondent* : Shri Neel Kamal Chadda.

## AWARD

The following reference has been received for adjudication from the appropriate Government:—

“कि क्या श्री रमजान मोहम्मद पुत्र श्री रूकमदीन, दैनिक भोगी मैकन को ग्रविशाली ग्रविमन्ता, डि० प्र० लोक निर्माण विभाग मन्थन नं० 1 बिलासपुर द्वारा 1-4-1997 से नोकरी से निकाला जाना उचित एवं न्याय संगत है ? यदि नहीं, तो कामगार किस लाभ व राहत का पात्र है ?”

2. The petitioner has filed statement of claim. According to him, he joined the respondent as daily wage Mison on 14-3-1996 and worked as such continuously till 31-3-1997. However, he was terminated/ dismissed on 1-4-1997 by an oral order despite completion of 300 days of service. Neither any notice was served upon him nor he was paid retrenchment compensation. His juniors namely Devi Ram, Mansa Ram and Nikka Ram were retained. Even fresh recruitment has taken place thereafter, but he was not re-employed. In nut-shell the grievance raised by the petitioner is that he was retrenched by the respondent in violation of Sections 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act').

3. The respondent has contested the claim. It is pleaded that the petitioner was engaged on 15-3-1996. He worked for 181 days during the calendar year 1996, but without continuity and 81 1/2 days in the calendar year, 1997 as per detail of working days given in Annexure R-1 to the reply. It is further averred that the petitioner was engaged to carry out special emergent repairs in the open Air Jail complex at Raghunathpura, Bilaspur. On completion of the work, he was disengaged. Thus, it is neither a case of termination nor retrenchment. He had not completed 300 days of service as alleged. Whereas, Mansa Ram and Devi Ram who were engaged in January, 1993 and May, 1995 were retained being senior to the petitioner, Nikka Ram who was engaged alongwith the petitioner for the specified work as aforesaid was also similarly disengaged on completion of the work. Thus, the petitioner has not been discriminated against. It is further pleaded that the petitioner had not completed 240 days of continuous service. It is denied that any fresh recruitment was made after disengagement of the petitioner.

4. In the rejoinder, the petitioner has refuted the stand on behalf of the respondent and instead reiterated his own case.

5. On the above pleadings, the following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 13-10-98:—

1. Whether the termination of the petitioner is illegal in view of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947? If so, its effect ? OPP.

2. Relief.

6. The parties have led evidence. I have heard their authorized representative's and gone through the record.

7. For the reasons to be recorded hereinafter, my findings on the above issues are as under:

*Issue No. 1.* Yes.

*Issue No. 2.* Reference answered accordingly *vide* operative part of the award.

## REASONS FOR FINDINGS

8. *Issue No. 1.*—Admittedly, this is a case of disengagement amounting to termination as admitted by RW-1



Shri Balak Ram in the opening lines of his statement. It is evident from Annexure-1 to the reply filed on behalf of the respondent that the petitioner had completed more than 240 days service during the period of twelve calendar months preceding the date of retrenchment, that is, between 1-4-1996 to 31-3-1997. Thus, he was entitled to the protection of Section 25-F of the 'Act'. However, since neither he was served with the statutory one month's notice nor was paid retrenchment compensation, there is complete violation of the said section of the 'Act', entitling the petitioner to relief.

10. The issue is decided accordingly.

### RELIEF

11. In the result, the reference is answered in affirmative. Consequently, the petitioner is held entitled for re-instatement with continuity in service and seniority from the date of retrenchment 1-4-1997 with back wages from the date of reference, that is, 27-4-1998.

13. The reference stands answered in the above terms. Let a copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for publication in the official gazette in accordance with law.

Announced in the open Court today the 16th day of August, 2002.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA,

Presiding Judge,

H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. No. : 156/2002.

Shri Jagat Ram

Versus

Manager, Hotel Le-Royale, Jakhoo Road Near Ridge,  
Shimla-171001.

Shri Hem Raj AR for petitioner Union.

16-8-2002—Present—Shri Gopal Khanna, Manager,  
AR. for respondent.

Service is complete. It is stated that the parties have entered into an out of Court settlement vide which out of 17 workmen, whereas 16 stand re-instated, the 17th namely Shri Sushil Kumar has been paid a sum of

Rs. 18,000/- as full and final settlement of his claim. To this effect are the statements of Shri Hem Raj, AR. for petitioner Union and Shri Gopal Khanna, Manager of the respondent Hotel recorded separately.

In view of the amicable settlement arrived at between the parties, the dispute between them has come to an end. The reference is answered accordingly. Let a copy of the order be sent to appropriate Government of publication.

Announced on 16-8-2002.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA,

Presiding Judge,

H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Sharma, Presiding Judge,  
H. P. Labour Court, Shimla

Ref. : 51/2000.

Shri Roop Singh

Versus

General Manager, Rasini & Turpentine (R&T) Factory  
Nahan, District Sirmaur (H. P.)

23-8-2002:—None for petitioner.

Respondent is already *ex parte*.

Case called twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It is 14.45 A. M. It be kept in waiting so as to be called in the afternoon.

23-8-2002.—Present—As above.

(After noon)

Case called twice again in the light of the light earlier order of the day. However, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, who has also not filed statement of claim as yet. In such circumstances, the reference is liable to be answered in negative for lack of claim and evidence in support thereof ordered accordingly. Let a copy of the award be sent to appropriate Government for its publication in the H. P. official gazette.

Announced in the open Court.

Seal.

V. K. SHARMA,

Presiding Judge,

H. P. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,  
Shimla.

## भाग-2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़कर विभिन्न विभागों के अधिकारियों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

Office of the District Industries Centre, Una, District  
Una (H. P.)

### NOTICE UNDER SECTION '24'

Una, the 17th March, 1994

No. L/DIO/MM/1861 to 1866.—WHEREAS a notice was served on M/s. A. V. Vasdev Industries, 28Indl. Area, Mehatpur on 17-11-92 under Section 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon said M/s. A. V. Vasdev Industries Mehatpur to pay to me the sum of Rs. 20000/- Principal and Rs. 10% P. A. Intt. before 30-11-92 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I, hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 20000/- Principal and 19,650/- Intt. with penal interest 10% upto the date of actual deposit, is due from the said M/s. A. V. Vasdev Industries, Mehatpur and that the property

described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

### SCHEDULE

Moveable and immoveable properties of the followings:—

1. Sh. S. P. Vasdev s/o Sh. M. L. Vasdev, Prop. A. V. Vasdev Industries, 28-Indl. Area Mehatpur.

2. Sh. Inderjit Singh s/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Main Bazar, Una Town, H. P.

3. Sh. Jagdish Chander Sharma s/o Sh. P. N. Sharma Vill. and P. O. Una, H. P.

Sd/-

General Manager,  
District Industries Centre,  
Una, H. P.

**भाग-3.—अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनैशियल कमिशनर तथा कमीशनर आफ इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि।**

श्रम विभाग

अधिसूचनाएं

शिमला-1, 16 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-6/85 (लैब) आई० डी० भाग/2002/Shimla.—अधो-हस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Shri Rajender Singh s/o late Shri Anant Ram, Village Kayar, P. O. Kuthar, Tehsil Theog, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh v/s the Executive Engineer, H. P. State Electricity Board, Division Theog Distt. Shimla. H. P. के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12(4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनियम के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किये गये विषय पर अधिनियम देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination of the services of Shri Rajender Singh s/o Late Sh. Anant Ram w.e.f. 25-5-2000 by the Executive Engineer, H. P. S. E. B. Division Theog, Distt. Shimla, H. P. on completion of emergent work and without complying with the provision of the section 25-F of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is proper and justified. If not, what relief of service benefits including compensation the above workman is entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 18 सितम्बर, 2002

सं० 11-1/86 (लैब०) आई० डी० भाग/Shimla. अधो-हस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Sh. Sunder Singh s/o Late Sh. Bhajan Singh Versus The Executive Engineer, H. P. S. E. B., Division Rajgarh, District Sirmaur, H. P. के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12(4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनियम के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम

न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनियम देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination of the services of Shri Sunder Singh s/o late Shri Bhajan Singh w.e.f. June, 1990 by the Executive Engineer H. P. S. E. B. Division Rajgarh District Sirmaur, H. P. without complying the provisions of section 25-F 25-G and 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is proper and justified? If not to what relief of service benefits including seniority and back wages, the above workman is entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 19 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-1/2K (लैब०) आई० डी० भाग-/Shimla-1. अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि H. P. Corporate Sector Workers Co-ordination Committee and The Managing Director, The Himachal Pradesh State Small Industries & Export Corporation Ltd., Kishore Bhawan, The Mall, Shimla-1 के मध्य नीचे दिये गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12(4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा 5 के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनियम के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनियम देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the demands raised by way of demand notice, dated 18-11-2000 by the General Secretary H.P. Corporate Sector Workers Co-ordination Committee, Kishore Bhawan the Mall Shimla-1. before the managing Director, The Himachal Pradesh State Small Industries and Export Corporation Limited, Kishore Bhawan, The Mall, Shimla, H. P. are justified or un-justified? If justified, what relief and financial benefit, the workers of the Himachal Pradesh State Small Industries and Export Corporation are entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

सं० 11-2/93 (लैब०) आई० डी० भाग-2002/Parwanoo.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Smt. Veena Rana c/o Shri Ramesh Rana, Fozil Dhaba Eicher gate, Sector-2, Parwanoo, District Solan (H. P.) and the Manager, M/s. Prabhu Electronics, Plot No. 11-A, Sector-2, Parwanoo, District Solan (H. P.) के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12(4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनियम के लिए भेजने योग्य है।



अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination from services of Smt. Veena Rana C/o Shri Ramesh Rana, Fozi Dhaba Eicher Gate, Sector-2, Parwanoo District Solan, H. P. w.e.f. 3-12-2001 without notice and compensation under section 25-F of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, by the Manager, M/s Prabhu Electronics, Plot No. 11-A, Sector-2, Parwanoo, Distt. Solan, H. P. is legal and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits including compensation and backwages Smt. Veena Rana is entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-1/85 (लैब) आई० डी० भाग-2002/Kangra.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Shri Sunil Kumar s/o Shri Milap Chand, Village Lambher, P.O. Bhawarna, Tehsil Palampur, Distt. Kangra H.P. and the Manager, The Palampur Co-operative Tea Factory, Ltd. Kalu-di-Hatti, P. O. Maranda, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, (H.P.) के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के पश्चात् अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि यह मामला अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किये गये विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Sunil Kumar s/o Shri Milap Chand, Electrician w.e.f. 31-10-2001 by the Manager, the Palampur Co-operative Tea Factory, Kalu-di-Hatti, Palampur without compliance of section 25-F of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what relief including reinstatement with full backwages, the above workman is entitled to?”

शिमला-171 001, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-2/93 (लैब) आई० डी० भाग-2002/Solan.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Shri Devinder Singh s/o Shri Nand Lal, Village Dalyar, P.O. Oachghat, District Solan (H. P.) V/s. The Director, M/s Swastik Polysteel Pvt. Ltd., Jatoli, District Solan (H. P.) के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा-7 के अधीन गठित अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination of the services of Shri Devinder Singh, Ex. Machine Operator by the Director M/s Swastik Poly Steel Pvt. Ltd., Jatoli, Distt. Solan, H. P. w. e. f. 21-5-2001 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 is proper and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits and amount of compensation the aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-1/95 (लैब) आई० डी० भाग-रामपुर.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि श्री प्रेम चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सूरत राम, गांव गाहन, उप-तहसील ननखरी, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश तथा वन मण्डल अधिकारी, रामपुर बुशहर, जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०) के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“क्या श्री प्रेम चन्द सुपुत्र श्री सूरत राम, को वन मण्डल अधिकारी, रामपुर द्वारा दिनांक 7-6-1999 को मौखिक रूप से बिना नोटिस व छंटनी मुआवजा दिए काम पर से निकालना न्याय संगत है? यदि नहीं, तो कामगार किस क्षतिपूर्ति एवं सेवा लाभों का हकदार है?”

शिमला-1. 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-1/85 (लैब) आई० डी० भाग/2002/Kangra.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Sh. Jai Chand and 25 others as per list annexed V/s. The Manager, The Palampur Co-operative Tea Factory Ltd. Kalu-Di-Hatti, P. O. Maranda, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra (H. P.) के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्द्वारा इस मामले

को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/ औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिये भेजा जाता है :—

“Whether the termination of the services of the workmen S/Shri Jai Chand and 25 others (as per List annexed) with effect from 1-11-2001 by the Manager, The Palampur Co-operative Tea Factory Ltd. Kalu-Di-Ha ti, P.O. Maranda, Tehsil Palampur District Kangra, H.P. without complying the section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what relief and service benefits, the workmen are entitled to?”

शिमला-1, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-2/93 (लैब) आई0 डी0 भाग/2002/Solan.—अधो-हस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Shri Hardeva s/o Shri Ram Chander, Code No. 5, Village Juddi, P.O. Baddi, District Solan, H.P. Vs. M/s Birla Textile Mills, Sai Road, Baddi, District Solan, H.P. के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“1. Whether the termination of services of Sh. Hardeva s/o Sh. Ram Chander with effect from 24-2-2001 by M/s Birla Textile Mills, Sai Road Baddi, District Solan, H.P. on completion of more than 240 days of continuous service and without complying the provisions of section 25-N of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is proper and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits including seniority and backwages, the above workman is entitled to?”

“2. Whether the workman has abandoned the job at his own? If so, its effects?”

शिमला-171001, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-2/93 (लैब) आई0 डी0 भाग/2002/सोलन.—अधो-हस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि श्री सुरेश कुमार मुख्तार श्री कृष्ण लाल गांव जटोली, डाकबाना ओछघाट, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश तथा निदेशक, मै0 स्वास्तिक पोली स्टील प्रा0 लि0, जटोली, जिला सोलन, हि0 प्रा0 के मध्य नीचे दिये गये विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है।

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त

शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किए गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“क्या श्री सुरेश कुमार मुख्तार आरेटर को निदेशक स्वास्तिक पोली स्टील द्वारा दिनांक 29-5-2001 से नौकरी से निकाला जाना उचित एवं न्यायसंगत है? यदि नहीं, तो उक्त कामगार किस पूर्व वेतन एवं सेवा लाभों का हकदार है?”

शिमला-1, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-2/93 (लैब) आई0 डी0 भाग-मोनन.—अधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि श्रीमती हीरा देवी पत्नी श्री मुन्नी लाल, गांव मझगांव, डा0 शामटी सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश तथा निदेशक, मै0 स्वास्तिक पोली स्टील प्रा0 लि0 जटोली, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है ;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किये गए विषय पर अधिनिर्णय देने के लिए भेजा जाता है :—

“क्या श्रीमती हीरा देवी पत्नी श्री मुन्नी लाल को निदेशक, मै0 स्वास्तिक पोली स्टील प्रा0 लि0 जटोली द्वारा नौकरी से दिनांक 21-5-2001 से निकाला जाना उचित एवं न्यायसंगत है? यदि नहीं तो उक्त कामगार किस पूर्व वेतन एवं लाभों का हकदार है।”

शिमला-171001, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-2/93 (लैब) आई0 डी0 भाग/2002/Solan.—अधो-हस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o Sh. Harbans Lal through Shri J. C. Bhardwaj, President, H. P. AITUC, Head Quarter Saproon, Distt. Solan H. P. Vs. The Managing Director, M/s Ashoka Spanners Pvt. Ltd., 88-89 Industrial Area Baddi, Distt. Solan (H. P.) के मध्य नीचे दिये गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा 5 के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त अधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनिर्णय के लिए भेजने योग्य है।

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-श्रम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एतद्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक

अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किये गये विषय पर अधिनियम देने के लिए भेजा जाता है:—

1. "Whether the termination of the services of Sh. Ashok Kumar s/o Sh. Harbans Lal w.e.f 18-10-2001, on completion of more than 240 days of continuous service by M/s Ashoka Spanners Pvt. Ltd., 88-89, Industrial Area Baddi, District Solan H.P. and without complying the provision of section 25-N of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 is proper and justified? If not, what relief including seniority & back wages above workman is entitled to?"
2. "Whether the workman falls under the definition of Supervisor? If so, its effects?"

शिमला-171 001, 23 सितम्बर, 2002

संख्या 11-1/98 (लैव0) आई0 डी0 भाग-Hamirpur.—ग्रोधोहस्ताक्षरी को यह प्रतीत होता है कि Shri Parkash Chand s/o Shri Sita Ram, Quarter No. 260, Ward No. 8 Naya Nagar Hamirpur, Distt. Hamirpur, H. P. Vs. Sardar Balwant Singh Owner, P. K. Transport Corporation, Head Office, 7-New Kutub Road, Delhi-110006 के मध्य नीचे दिए गए विषय पर औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 12 (4) के अधीन समझौता अधिकारी द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई रिपोर्ट पर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 12 की उप-धारा (5) के अधीन विचार करने के उपरान्त ग्रोधोहस्ताक्षरी ने निर्णय लिया है कि मामला धर्म-न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण को अधिनियम के लिये भजन योग्य है।

प्रतः हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा जारी अधिसूचना संख्या 19-8/89-अम (लूज), दिनांक 7 सितम्बर, 1992 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए ग्रोधोहस्ताक्षरी औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा इस मामले को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित धर्म न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश को नीचे व्याख्या किये गये विषय पर अधिनियम देने के लिए भेजा जाता है:—

"Whether the termination of services of Sh. Parkash Chand s/o Sh. Sita Ram Ex-Branch Manager by Sardar Balwant Singh, Owner P. K. Transport Corporation Hamirpur, H.P. & Head office at 7-New Kutub Road, Delhi-110006 w.e.f. 11-1-2000 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 is proper and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits and amount of compensation the aggrieved workman is entitled to?"

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
श्रमायुक्त।

समाज, महिला एवम् अनुसूचित जाति कल्याण विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 1 अक्टूबर, 2002

संख्या कल्याण-बी(2)-4/79-III.—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या डब्ल्यू0 एल0 एक0बी0(2)-4/79, तारीख 1-10-1993 द्वारा अधिपत्रित हिमाचल प्रदेश समाज, महिला एवं अनु0 जाति कल्याण विभाग में "पर्यवेक्षक", वर्ग-III (अराजपत्रित) के

पद के भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति नियम, 1993 में और संगोष्ठन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात्:—

1. संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.—(1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम हिमाचल प्रदेश, समाज, महिला एवं अनु0 जाति कल्याण विभाग "पर्यवेक्षक", वर्ग-III (अराजपत्रित) भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति (प्रथम संगोष्ठन) नियम, 2002 है।

(2) ये नियम राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रकाशित किये जाने की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. उपावन्ध 'अ' का संगोष्ठन.—हिमाचल प्रदेश समाज, महिला एवं अनु0 जाति कल्याण विभाग "पर्यवेक्षक" (वर्ग-III, अराजपत्रित) भर्ती एवं प्रोन्नति नियम, 1993 के उपावन्ध "अ" में:—

(क) स्तम्भ संख्या 6 के सामने विद्यमान उपवन्धों में अंकों और शब्दों "18—40 वर्ष के स्थान पर 18—45" वर्ष अंक और शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे।

(ख) स्तम्भ संख्या 7 (क) के सामने विद्यमान उपवन्धों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे, अर्थात्:—

आंगनवाड़ी कार्यकर्ता जिन्होंने मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं की परीक्षा पास की हो तथा जिनका इस रूप में 5 वर्ष का सेवाकाल हो,

या

बाल सेवाका जिसने मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं की परीक्षा पास की हो और जिसने 5 वर्ष के सेवाकाल सहित बाल सेवाका के रूप में 11 मास का प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त किया हो, या बाल सेवाका जिन्होंने मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं पास की हो तथा जिसने मान्यता प्राप्त औद्योगिक प्रशिक्षण संस्थान से सिलाई एवं कटाई का प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त किया हो, और इसका प्रमाण-पत्र प्राप्त किया हो, तथा इस रूप में 5 वर्ष सेवाकाल हो,

या

मान्यता प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय से स्नातक की उपाधि प्राप्त की हो।

(ग) स्तम्भ संख्या 10 के सामने विद्यमान उपवन्धों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित किए जाएंगे, अर्थात्:—

(i) आंगनवाड़ी कार्यकर्ताओं के प्रवर्गों में से जिन्होंने मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं पास की हो और जिनका इस रूप में 5 वर्ष का सेवाकाल हो, बाल-बाड़ी अध्यापिका/शिल्प अध्यापिका जो दसवीं शिक्षा मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल बोर्ड/संस्थान से पास हो तथा इस रूप में 5 वर्ष का सेवाकाल हो, बालवाड़ी और जिल्ल अध्यापिका की मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं पास हो तथा सिलाई कटाई में मान्यता प्राप्त प्रशिक्षण संस्थान से प्रशिक्षण/प्रमाण-पत्र प्राप्त हो तथा इस रूप में 5 वर्ष का सेवाकाल हो, बाल सेवाका जो मान्यता प्राप्त स्कूल शिक्षा बोर्ड/संस्थान से दसवीं पास हो तथा 11 महीने का बाल सेवाका का प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त हो और इस रूप में 5 वर्ष का सेवाकाल/अनुभव हो, समिति प्रतियोगिता के माध्यम से, अर्थात् हिमाचल प्रदेश समाज महिला एवं अनु0 जाति कल्याण विभाग में कार्यरत अर्हताधियों को लिखित परीक्षा/साक्षात्कार संचालित करके 90 प्रतिशत सीधी भर्ती द्वारा।

(ii) 10 प्रतिशत उन अभ्यर्थियों को सीधी भर्ती द्वारा जो किसी मान्यता प्राप्त विश्वविद्यालय से स्नातक की अर्हता रखते हों।

आदेश द्वारा,

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
सचिव।

[Authoritative English text of this Department notification No. WLF-B(2)-4/79-III, dated 1st October, 2002 as required under clause (3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India].

## SOCIAL, WOMEN'S AND SC WELFARE DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla, the 1st October, 2002

No. WLF-B(2)-4/79-III.—In exercise of powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is pleased to make the following Rules further to amend the Himachal Pradesh, Social, Women & SC Welfare Department, Supervisor, Class-III, (Non-Gazetted) Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1993 notified vide this department notification No. WLF-B(2)-4/79 dated 1-10-1993, namely:—

1. *Short title and commencement.*—These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Social, Women & SC Welfare Department, Supervisor, Class-III (Non-Gazetted) (Non Ministerial Services) Recruitment and Promotion (Third Amendment) Rules, 2002.

(2) These rules shall come into force from the date of publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh.

2. *Amendment of Annexure "A".*—In Annexure "A" to the Himachal Pradesh, Social, Women's Welfare Department, Supervisor (Class-III, Non-Gazetted) Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 1993:—

(a) For the existing provisions against Column No. 6, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"Between 18 years & 45 years".

(b) For the existing provisions against Column No. 7, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

Anganwari Workers who have passed Matriculation examination from a recognised Board of School Education/Institution with 5 year service ;

OR

Balsewika who have passed Matriculation Examination from a recognised Board of School Education/Institution and also received 11 months training as Balsewika with 5 years service; OR Balsewika's who have passed Matriculation Examinations and have undergone Training/obtained certificate from recognised ITI in Cutting & Tailoring with 5 years service;

OR

Should be a Graduate from a recognised University.

(c) for the existing provisions against Col. No. 10, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

(i) 90% by direct recruitment from amongst the categories of Anganwari Workers, who have passed Matriculation Examination from a recognised Board of School Education/Institution with 5 years service, Balwari and Craft Teachers who have passed Matriculation education/Institution with 5 years service, Balwari & Craft Teachers. who have passed Matriculation Examinations and have received training/obtained certificate from recognised ITI in cutting & Tailoring with 5 years Service, Balsewikas who have passed Matriculation Examination from a recognised Board of School Education/Institution and also undergone 11 months training as Balsewika with 5 years service/experience through Limited competition i.e. by conducting examination in written/interview from amongst the candidates working in the Social, Women's and SC Welfare Department of Himachal Pradesh.

(ii) 10% by direct recruitment of candidates who possess Graduation qualification from a recognised University.

By order,

Sd/-  
Secretary.

भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन, म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाऊन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग

-शून्य-

### भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, भटियात स्थित चुवाड़ी  
जिला चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं० तारीख दायरा तारी पेसी  
14/3-IX-A/2002 5-1-2002 31-10-02

श्री चैन सिंह पुत्र बेंता, निवासी जसूर, परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात ।

बनाम

1. श्री नरैण सिंह, 2. चमन सिंह, 3. राम सिंह, 4. गगन सिंह, 5. मधर सिंह पुत्र, 6. सवित्री देवी, 7. सिमलो देवी, 8. शकुन्तला देवी, 9. उमला देवी, 10. विद्या देवी पुत्रियां व 11. जैनेई विधवा नानक पुत्र जन्त, निवासी भटका, परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा ।

दरख्वास्त तकसीम भूमि जेर धारा 123 हि० प्र० भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954, खाता खतोनी नं० 49/77, कित्ता वरका 5-1 बीघा मुहान समोट परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा ।

प्रार्थी ने भूमि खाता खतोनी नं० 49/77 मुहान समोट की तकसीम हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है । फरीकें दोयम को इस अदालत द्वारा कई बार ममन जारी किए गए परन्तु उस पर साधारण तरीके से तामील न हो पा रही है अतः फरीकें दोम नं० 3. राम सिंह, 5. मधर सिंह, 6. सवित्री देवी, 7. सिमलो देवी, 8. शकुन्तला देवी, 10. विद्या देवी को इस

ईशतहार हजा द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजर होकर मुकद्दमा की परबी करें अन्यथा कार्यवाही एक पक्षीय क्रमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-8-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-

सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
भटियात स्थित चुवाड़ी, जिला चम्बा (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, भटियात स्थित चुवाड़ी,  
जिला चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं० तारीख दायरा तारीख पेसी  
15/3-IX-A/02 5-1-02 31-10-02

श्री चैन सिंह, पुत्र बेंता, निवासी जसूर, परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात ।

बनाम

1. नरैण सिंह, 2. चमन सिंह, 3. राम सिंह, 4. गगन सिंह, 5. मधर सिंह पुत्र, 6. सवित्री देवी, 7. सिमलो देवी, 8. शकुन्तला देवी,

9. उर्मला देवी, 10. विद्या देवी पुनियां व 11. श्रीमति जैदेई विश्वा नानक पुत्र जन्त, निवासी भटका, परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा ।

दरखवास्त तकसीम भूमि जेर धारा 123 हि0 प्र0 भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 खाता खतोनी नं0 94/125 किता 2. रक्बा 1-12 विद्या व खाता खतोनी नं0 126/124 किता 1 रक्बा 0-5 बीघा, मुहाल जसूर, परगना टुण्डी, तहसील भटियात, जिला चम्बा ।

प्रार्थी चैन सिंह पुत्र वेन्ता, निवासी जसूर ने भूमि खाता खतोनी नं0 94/125 व 126/124 की तकसीम द्वारा आवेदन किया है। फरीक-दोयम नं0 3 राम सिंह, 5. मधर सिंह, 6. सवित्री, 7. सिमनो, 8. शकुन्तला, 10. विद्या देवी को इस अदालत द्वारा कई बार समन जारी किए गए परन्तु तामील नियमानुसार न हो पा रही है जिससे अदालत को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि उक्त फरीकन दोयम पर साधारण ढंग से तामील होना असम्भव है ।

अतः उपरोक्त फरीक दोयम को इस इशतहार हजा द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर मुकद्मा की पैरवी करें। अथा पैरवी की सूरत में एकपक्षीय कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जायेगी ।

आज दिनांक 29-8-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
भटियात (चुवाड़ी), जिला चम्बा (हि0 प्र0) ।

ब अदालत जनाव पी0 आर0 वर्मा, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी  
दण्डाधिकारी, सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

श्रीमती निशा डोगरा पत्नी श्री सतीश कुमार, वाई नं0 7, नगर  
पंचायत सुजानपुर, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

प्रार्थी पक्ष ।

बनाम

1. सचिव, नगर पंचायत, सुजानपुर, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला  
हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) ।

2. ग्राम जनता, वासी टीका, सुजानपुर, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला  
हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) प्रतिवादी पक्ष ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु तिथि  
पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता व सचिव नगर पंचायत, सुजानपुर आदि ।

श्रीमती निशा डोगरा पत्नी श्री सतीश डोगरा, वासी टीका, सुजानपुर, वाई नं0 7, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) ने इस अदालत में अपने शपथ-पत्र सहित प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसके लड़के हनी डोगरा व पुत्री आमनी डोगरा का जन्म दिनांक क्रमशः 15-8-1988 व 6-2-1992 को मुकाम सुजानपुर घर पर ही हुआ था लेकिन नगर पंचायत सुजानपुर के अभिलेख में उक्त हनी डोगरा व आमनी डोगरा की जन्म तिथियां पंजीकृत नहीं है ।

अतः सचिव नगर पंचायत सुजानपुर को इन्हें पंजीकृत किए जाने के आदेश दिए जायें इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त हनी डोगरा व आमनी डोगरा की जन्म तिथियां पंजीकरण पर कोई एतराज हो तो वह अदालत हजा में दिनांक 6-11-2002 को प्रातः 10 बजे अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथियां पंजीकृत किए जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिए जावेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 10-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

पी0 आर0 वर्मा,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत जनाव पी0 आर0 वर्मा, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी  
दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

श्री भीम सिंह पुत्र श्री रत्न चन्द, वासी टीका, जन्दराल, तहसील  
सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

प्रार्थी पक्ष ।

बनाम

1. सचिव, ग्राम पंचायत रंगड़, तहसील मुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर ।

2. ग्राम जनता प्रतिवादी पक्ष ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु तिथि पंजीकरण  
अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता व सचिव, ग्राम पंचायत रंगड़ ।

श्री भीम सैन पुत्र श्री रत्न चन्द, वासी टीका, जन्दराल, तहसील  
सुजानपुर ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसकी लड़की नेहा कुमारी का जन्म गांव जन्दराल में घर पर हुआ है, लेकिन ग्राम पंचायत रंगड़ के अभिलेख में उक्त नेहा कुमारी जो कि दिनांक 28-2-97 को पैदा हुई है का जन्म पंजीकृत न हुआ है ।

अतः सचिव ग्राम पंचायत रंगड़ को इसे पंजीकृत करने के आदेश पारित किये जावें। इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता व रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को उक्त नेहा कुमारी पुत्री भीम सिंह की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने में कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 6-11-2002 को प्रातः दस बजे कार्यालय हजा में अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जावेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 10-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

पी0 आर0 वर्मा,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत जनाव पी0 आर0 वर्मा, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी  
दण्डाधिकारी, सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

कुंजम डोगरा पत्नी कपिल डोगरा, वासी टीका, सुजानपुर, जिला  
हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0)

प्रार्थी पक्ष ।

बनाम

1. सचिव, नगर पंचायत सुजानपुर, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला  
हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) ।

2. ग्राम जनता, वासी टीका सुजानपुर, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला  
हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) प्रतिवादी पक्ष ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु तिथि  
पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता व सचिव नगर पंचायत, सुजानपुर आदि ।

श्रीमती कुंजम डोगरा पत्नी श्री कपिल डोगरा वासी टीका, सुजानपुर, वाई नं0 9, जिला हमीरपुर (हि0 प्र0) ने इस अदालत में अपने शपथ-पत्र सहित प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसके लड़के कुनाल डोगरा की जन्म तिथि 4-9-1997 गांव सुजानपुर में हुआ था तथा मुकाम सुजानपुर में घर पर ही हुआ था लेकिन नगर पंचायत सुजानपुर के अभिलेख में उक्त कुनाल डोगरा की जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत नहीं है ।

अतः सचिव नगर पंचायत सुजानपुर को इसे पंजीकृत किए जाने के आदेश दिये जावें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को

उपरोक्त कुनाल डोगरा पुत्र कपिल डोगरा वासी सुजानपुर की जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण पर कोई एतराज हो तो वह अदालत हज़ा में दिनांक 6-11-2002 को प्रातः दस बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर आकर एतराज पेश कर सकते हैं। अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किए जाने वाले आदेश पारित कर दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व कार्यालय मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया।

मोहर। पी० आर० वर्मा,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत जनाब पी० आर० वर्मा, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री सुभाष चन्द पुत्र छांगा राम, वासी जौल, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रार्थी पक्ष।

बनाम

1. सचिव ग्राम पंचायत वीड वगेहड़ा, 2. ग्राम जनता प्रतिवादी पक्ष।

प्रार्थना-पत्र अन्तर्गत जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता आदि।

श्री सुभाष चन्द पुत्र छांगा राम, वासी टीका जौल, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में अपने शपथ-पत्र सहित प्रार्थना-पत्र दायर किया है कि उसके पिता श्री छांगा राम पुत्र टीटू राम की मृत्यु दिनांक 6-2-1986 को गांव जौल, तहसील सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश में अपने घर पर हुई थी। लेकिन ग्राम पंचायत वीड वगेहड़ा के अभिलेख में उक्त मृतक की मृत्यु तिथि पंजीकृत नहीं है।

अतः सचिव ग्राम पंचायत वीड वगेहड़ा को इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जावें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त व्यक्ति की मृत्यु तिथि पंजीकरण पर कोई एतराज हो तो वह इस अदालत में दिनांक 5-11-2002 को असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर आकर एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र मृत्यु पंजीकृत किये जाने वाले आदेश पारित कर दिये जावेंगे।

आज दिनांक 4-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर। पी० आर० वर्मा,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी, दण्डाधिकारी,  
सुजानपुर, जिला हमीरपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत श्री लाल बीर राय, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील बड़ोह, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री ज्ञान चन्द सुपुत्र गोपी राम वासी मुहाल भाडी, मौजा लूहना, तहसील बड़ोह, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम  
ग्राम जनता

प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री ज्ञान चन्द पुत्र गोपी राम, वासी बाडो, मौजा लूहना, तहसील बड़ोह ने प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है कि उसकी पीढ़ी पूजा रानी का जन्म 5-11-1992 को हुआ है परन्तु किसी कारणवश पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज न हो सका है।

अतः ग्राम जनता को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण करने वाले किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को सुबह 10 बजे या इससे पहले असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर अदालत होकर पेश करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 24-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर। लाल बीर राय,  
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
बड़ोह, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत श्री लाल बीर राय, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील बड़ोह, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री जय देव पुत्र जोहली, वासी लाहड़, मौजा सरीजी, तहसील बड़ोह जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

श्री जयदेव पुत्र जोहली, वासी महाल लाहड़, मौजा सरीजी तहसील बड़ोह, ने प्रार्थना-पत्र दिया है कि कांगजात माल (राजस्व रिकार्ड) में मेरा नाम जयधर लिखा गया है परन्तु प्रचलित नाम जयदेव है। अब राजस्व रिकार्ड में जयधर उपनाम जय देव किया जावे।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति या रिश्तेदारों को राजस्व रिकार्ड में नाम जयधर उपनाम जयदेव करने वाले कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को प्रातः 10 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर अदालत आकर अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 24-9-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर। लाल बीर राय,  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील बड़ोह, जिला कांगड़ा (हि०प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री वेस राज, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

निकू राम बनाम ग्राम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री निकू राम पुत्र श्री रणिया राम, वासी भोजपुर, डाकखाना बहादुर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि०प्र०) ने इस अदालत हज़ा में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री कोमन कुमारी की जन्म तिथि 20 अक्टूबर, 1998 है परन्तु कानून की अज्ञानतावश ग्राम पंचायत बहादुर में उक्त जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत न हुई है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत करने के आदेश दिए जावें।

अतः इस नोटिस (राजपत्र-हिमाचल प्रदेश) द्वारा ग्राम जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त बन्धु की जन्म तिथि के पंजीकृत करने वाले कोई एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को वरवक्त 10.00 बजे प्रातः अयो-हस्ताक्षरी के समक्ष असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर होकर उजर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर। देस राज,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री देस राज, तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी  
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

निककू राम बनाम ग्राम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री निककू राम पुत्र श्री रणिया राम वासी भोजपुर, डाकखाना बहादपुर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ने इस अदालत हजा में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकदमा दायर किया है कि उसकी पुत्री दीक्षा कुमारी की जन्म तिथि 11 मार्च, 1997 है परन्तु कानूनी अज्ञानतावश ग्राम पंचायत बहादपुर में उक्त जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत न हुई है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत करने के आदेश दिए जावें।

इस नोटिस (राजपत्र-हिमाचल प्रदेश) द्वारा ग्राम जनता व सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त बच्चे के जन्म की तिथि को पंजीकृत करने वाले कोई एतराज हो तो वह वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को बरबस्त 10.00 बजे प्रातः अधोहस्ताक्षरी के समक्ष उपस्थित होकर पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा मृत्यु-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर। देस राज,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री मनोहर लाल, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता  
प्रथम श्रेणी, ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं० उतवान मुकदमा तारीख पेशी  
122/02 तकसीम 1-11-02

1. अजीत सिंह, 2. सुरेश कुमार, 3. रमेश कुमार पुत्रान  
अमी चन्द, निवासीयान पपाहन, मौजा व तहसील ज्वाली, जिला  
कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

1. पुष्पा देवी विधवा, 2. राजिन्द्र कुमार पुत्र प्रकाश चन्द,  
3. ईश्वर दास पुत्र पाली, 4. हरचन्स सिंह, 5. कैलाश चन्द,  
6. हरचरण सिंह, 7. हरभजन सिंह, 8. हरजीत सिंह, 9. जीता  
सिंह, 10. कुलदीप सिंह पुत्रान सुदामा, 11. उमिला देवी,  
12. दर्शना देवी, 13. सुरेन्द्रा देवी, 14. सुमना देवी पुत्रियां,  
15. सीता देवी विधवा सुदामा, 16. गीता देवी विधवा भूरु,  
17. सोम दत्त पुत्र बुग्गा, 18. कमलेश कुमारी, 19. सुदेश  
कुमारी, 20. सुमन कुमारी पुत्रियां, 21. पुष्पा देवी विधवा  
प्रकाश चन्द, 22. जसन्त सिंह, 23. बलदेव राज, 24. गिरधारी  
लाल, 25. सुभाष चन्द पुत्रान भगत राम, 26. हन्ता राज,  
27. राज कुमार, 28. देस राज पुत्रान तारा चन्द, 29. राकेश  
पाल पुत्र, 30. कुमारी उर्मिला पुत्री, 31. श्रीमती यशोधा देवी  
विधवा लेख राम, निवासीयान पपाहन, तहसील ज्वाली।

दरखास्त बराये तकसीम आराजी खाता नं० 225, खतीनी  
नं० 237, 238, खसरा नं० 106, 108, 113, 115,  
कित्ता 5, रक्बा तादावी 0-80-20 हैकटेयर बाक्या महाल पपाहन,  
मौजा चलगाड़ा, तहसील ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में फरीकदोयम को कई बार  
समन जारी किये गये परन्तु उनकी तामोल आमान तरीके से  
नहीं हो रही है और न ही उनके सही पत्र प्राप्त हो रहे हैं।  
अतः उपरोक्त फरीकदोयम को इस इशतहार राजपत्र द्वारा सूचित  
किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 1-11-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे  
असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आवें। गैर हाजरी की  
सूरत में एक पक्षीय कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जायेगी।

यह इशतहार आज दिनांक 21-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व  
मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर मनोहर लाल,  
तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री मनोहर लाल, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता,  
प्रथम श्रेणी, ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मिसल नं० उतवान मुकदमा तारीख पेशी  
123/02 तकसीम 1-11-02

1. अजीत सिंह, 2. सुरेश कुमार, 3. रमेश कुमार पुत्रान  
अमी चन्द, निवासीयान पपाहन, मौजा व तहसील ज्वाली प्राणी।

बनाम

1. पुष्पा देवी विधवा, 2. राजिन्द्र कुमार पुत्र प्रकाश चन्द,  
3. गीता देवी विधवा भूरु, 4. सोम दत्त पुत्र बुग्गा, 5. कमलेश  
कुमारी, 6. सुदेश कुमारी, 7. सुमन कुमारी, 8. विपता देवी  
पुत्रियां, 9. पुष्पा देवी विधवा प्रकाश चन्द, 10. जसन्त सिंह,  
11. बलदेव राज, 12. गिरधारी लाल, 13. सुभाष चन्द पुत्रान  
भगत राम, 14. हन्ता राज, 15. राज कुमार, 16. देस राज  
पुत्रान तारा चन्द, 17. राकेश पाल पुत्र, 18. कुमारी उर्मिला  
पुत्री, 19. यशोधा देवी विधवा लेख राज, निवासीयान पपाहन,  
डाकखाना झौंका रतियाल, तहसील ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल  
प्रदेश।

दरखास्त बराये तकसीम आराजी खाता नं० 228, खतीनी  
नं० 240, खसरा नं० 127, 128, 129, 135, 134, 139,  
140, 141, 142, 143, कित्ता 10, रक्बा तादावी 0-57-53,  
हैकटेयर बाक्या महाल पपाहन, मौजा चलगाड़ा, तहसील ज्वाली,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में फरीकदोयम को कई बार  
समन जारी किये गये परन्तु उनकी तामोल साधारण तरीके से  
नहीं हो रही है और न ही उनके सही पत्र प्राप्त हो रहे हैं।

अतः उपरोक्त फरीकदोयम को इस इशतहार राजपत्र द्वारा  
सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 1-11-2002 को प्रातः  
10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आवें। गैर-  
हाजरी की सूरत में एक पक्षीय कार्यवाही अमल में लाई  
जावेगी।

यह इशतहार आज दिनांक ..... 2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर  
व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर मनोहर लाल,  
तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री जगदीश राम, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम  
श्रेणी, खुण्डिया, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)

मुकदमा तकसीम नं० 20/2002 व 21/2002

तारीख पेशी 7-11-2002

उतवान मुकदमा तकसीम भूक खाता नं० 1 व 3 बाक्या महाल  
डिब्ब, मौजा मझोग, तहसील खुण्डिया, जिला कांगड़ा।



श्री रत्न चन्द पुत्र श्री परमा उपनाम परमानन्द, निवासी महाल डिब्ब, मोजा मझीण, तहसील खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा ... प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

श्री नानक चन्द पुत्र सूबा आदि वासी महाल डिब्ब, मोजा मझीण, तहसील खुण्डियां ... प्रत्यार्षीगण ।

विषय. — इश्तहार मुस्त्री मुनादी बराये तलवी, प्रत्यार्षीगण ।

विपन कुमार, राम प्रशाद, श्रीमती प्रमीला देवी पुत्री प्रेम चन्द, श्रीमती तुलसी देवी विधवा जैसी राम, श्रीमती पाली पुत्री रोड़ा, जगदीश चन्द पुत्र नन्दु, प्रकाश चन्द पुत्र लखू, जीत कुमार, चमन लाल पुत्र व श्रीमती बीना देवी पुत्री, जीवना कुमारी पुत्री खिनु, श्रीमती चिन्ता देवी, श्रीमती ब्यासा देवी, सुदामा, हनुम चन्द, श्रीमती जमना देवी, राजमल, अम प्रकाश. स्वामी राम, श्रीमती सिमरा देवी, श्रीमती प्यार देवी, प्रकाश, संसार चन्द, कुमारी स्वर्णा देवी, श्रीमती देवा, विधवा किरण, अम प्रकाश प्रकाश चन्द, रमेश चन्द, विशम्बर, सुभाष चन्द, कुमारी काशमीरा देवी, स्वर्णा देवी, मुन्गी, हरिया पुत्र चहुडू, निवासी महाल डिब्ब, मोजा मझीण, तहसील खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा तकसीम में उक्त प्रत्यार्थियों की तामील बार-बार करने पर नहीं हो पा रही है । अतः अदालत हजा को विश्वास हो चुका है कि इनकी तामील साधारण तरीके से नहीं हो सकती है । अतः उक्त प्रत्यार्थियों को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि आप स्वयं या किसी वकील द्वारा तारीख पेशी दिनांक 7-11-2002 को सुबह 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत होंगे । अन्यथा आप के विरुद्ध एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जा कर अगामी आदेश पारित कर दिया जाएगा ।

यह इश्तहार मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से आज दिनांक 17-9-2002 को जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

जगदीश राम,  
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,  
खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, नूरपुर, तहसील नूरपुर,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०)

किस्म मुकद्दमा : दस्तूरी

तारीख पेशी : 6-11-2002

उत्तम सिंह पुत्र श्री ज्ञान सिंह, निवासी महाल कण्डवाल, तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ... प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

जगदीश सिंह पुत्र धन्नु व अन्य निवासीगण महाल वरण्डा, मोजा वरण्डा कण्डवाल, तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ... प्रतिवादीगण ।

प्रार्थना-पत्र दस्तूरी खाना काशत बावत भूमि खाता नं० 280 मिन खतीना नं० 612 किता 3 रकबा तादादी 0-57-49 है 0 मां० वाक्या महाल कण्डवाल, मोजा वरण्डा कण्डवाल. तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ।

रोटिस बनाम :

श्री रजिन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री धन्नु, निवासी महाल वरण्डा, मोजा वरण्डा कण्डवाल, तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ।

उपरोक्त दावा दस्तूरी इम न्यायालय के विचाराधीन है जिसमें प्रतिवादी रजिन्द्र सिंह पुत्र धन्नु, निवासी महाल वरण्डा, तहसील नूरपुर की हाजरी जरूरी है । उपरोक्त प्रतिवादी को न्यायालय से समन जारी किए गए परन्तु नामील ममन नियमानुसार न हां गयी है प्रतिवादी उक्त का स्थायी पना आसानी में उपलब्ध न हो रहा है उसकी तामील साधारण ढंग से सम्भव न है । अतः उक्त प्रतिवादी को बजरिया इश्तहार

राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 6-11-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन अथवा वकालतन उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी कर सकता है । निश्चित तिथि पर उपस्थित न होने की सूरत में उसके खिलाफ एक तरफा कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 10-10-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,  
नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत उपमण्डलाधिकारी (ना०) निचार स्थित भावानगर  
जिला किन्नौर (हि० प्र०)

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री केसरू राम पुत्र श्री धन दास, ग्राम निचार, तहसील निचार,  
जिला किन्नौर (हि० प्र०) ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

बरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा अनुसार उनवान वाला में प्रार्थी श्री केसरू राम पुत्र धन दास ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसकी अपनी जन्म तिथि 7-3-1943 को हुई है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में गलत पंजीकृत हुई है ।

अतः ग्राम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर किसी को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के द्वारा किसी को भी उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 6-11-2002 की असालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रातः 10.00 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अथवा उपरोक्त आवेदक की जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में तबदील करने बारे आदेश जारी किया जाएगा ।

आज दिनांक 5-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ना०),  
निचार स्थित भावानगर, जिला किन्नौर, (हि० प्र०) ।

ब अदालत उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ना०), निचार स्थित भावानगर,  
जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती देवा मनी पत्नी श्री केसरू राम, ग्राम साकिन निचार, तहसील निचार, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

बरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा अनुसार उनवान वाला में प्रार्थीया श्रीमती देवामनी पत्नी श्री केसरू राम ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उसका अपना नाम देवामनी है लेकिन उसका नाम तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में गलत दर्ज हुआ है ।

अतः ग्राम जनता को बजरिया राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर किसी को उपरोक्त पंजीकरण के द्वारा किसी को भी कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 6-11-2002 को असालतन व वकालतन इस अदालत में प्रातः 10.00 बजे हाजिर आवें



तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा उपरोक्त आवेदक का नाम पंचायत अभिलेख में तबदील करने बारे आदेश जारी किया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 5-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (ना०),  
निचार, स्थित भावानगर, जिला किनौर (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत श्री राजीव सूद, उप न्यायाधीश प्रथम श्रेणी, मनाली, तहसील मनाली, जिला कुल्लू (हि० प्र०)

दावा नं०: 62/2002  
प्रकृति : दस्तौ नाम

श्री राजीव ठाकुर पुत्र मृतक श्री रघुवीर सिंह पुत्र श्री रोहलू राम, निवासी जैडी, फाटो बारी, कोठी बड़ागढ़, तहसील मनाली, जिला कुल्लू (हि० प्र०)।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उतवातवाला में प्रार्थी ने इस न्यायालय में वजहिया वकील अपने नाम की दस्तौ हेतु प्रकरण दायर किया है। प्रार्थी/दावा के अनुसार सही नाम राजीव ठाकुर है तथा पंचायत अभिलेख व स्कूल प्रमाण-पत्र में यह राजीव ठाकुर के नाम दर्ज है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख में भोप राम के नाम से दर्ज है। अतः प्रार्थी राजस्व अभिलेख में भी भोप राम के स्थान पर राजीव ठाकुर के नाम को प्रविष्टि करवाना चाहता है।

अतः इस इशतहार के माध्यम से समस्त जनता, सम्बन्धित क्षेत्र व हितबद्ध कोई भी व्यक्ति अथवा संस्था जिसे उपरोक्त नाम की दस्तौ बारे कोई आपत्ति अथवा अनुरोध हो तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे सुबह इस अदालत में व्यक्तिगत रूप से अथवा किसी अधिवक्ता के माध्यम से अपनी आपत्ति प्रस्तुत कर सकता है अन्यथा कोई भी आपत्ति प्रस्तुत न होने पर एक पक्षीय कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 28-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
राजीव सूद,  
उप-न्यायाधीश प्रथम श्रेणी,  
मनाली, तहसील मनाली, जिला कुल्लू (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत जनाब जी० आर० बन्सल, नायब तहसीलदार, उप-तहसील बाली चौकी, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)

दावा दस्तौ नाम।

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री तवेराम पुत्र श्री गुमत राम, निवासी गांव सुधराणी, ई० नारायणगढ़, उप-तहसील बाली चौकी, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

बनाम  
ग्राम जनता

प्रार्थना-पत्र बावत दस्तौ नाम कागजात माल तारा, चन्द, उप-नाम तवेराम के स्थान पर तवेराम दर्ज करने बारा।

श्री तवेराम उप-नाम तारा चन्द, निवासी गांव सुधराणी, ई० नारायणगढ़, उप-तहसील बाली चौकी, जिला मण्डी ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त पेश की है कि उसका नाम स्कूल रिकार्ड में तवेराम है तथा पंचायत/राजस्व रिकार्ड में तारा चन्द उपनाम तवेराम रिकार्ड है। इस इशतहार के माध्यम से प्रार्थी अपना नाम मात्र तवेराम ही रखना चाहता है। अतः ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि इस इशतहार के प्रकाशित होने के एक मास के भीतर अगर किसी को नाम दस्तौ बारा एतराज हो तो

वह एतराज दर्ज कर सकता है अन्यथा प्रार्थी का नाम दर्ज कर दिया जाएगा।

मोहर।

जी० आर० बन्सल,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
उप-तहसील बाली चौकी, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।  
ब अदालत श्री मोनू राम धीमान, महायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)

मिसल नं०: 27/02

तारीख पेशी : 6-11-2002.

मुकद्दमा तकसीम :

श्री नील कण्ठ पुत्र श्री कुन्दन, निवासी मुहान गजेहा, ई० कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) वादीगण।

बनाम

1. श्री हिरा सिंह पुत्र, 2. रोजनी देवी, 3. श्यामी देवी पुत्रियां मानधर,
4. श्रीमती जिवणी देवी विधवा मानधर, निवासी धनीभारा, ई० कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 5. श्रीमती वरागत् विधवा बालक राम, निवासी नोशा, ई० कन्जौण, 6. श्री कौल राम, 7. श्री यन दास पुत्राण दमोदर, निवासी थनाली ई० कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी।
8. श्री देवी सिंह पुत्र लुदर मणी, निवासी काण्डा, ईलाका लोयर करसोग, तहसील करसोग, 9. गुमति पुर्वा लुदर मणी, निवासी लोल, ईलाका कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 10. कौशल्या विधवा भीम सिंह, 11. गुड्डी देवी पत्नी जगरनाथ, 12. लाभ सिंह, 13. ऊमर सिंह पुत्राण जगरनाथ, 14. भागीरथी पुत्री पवन कुमार, 15. यशवन्त कुमार पुत्र पवन कुमार, 16. श्रीमती परम दासी विधवा पवन कुमार, निवासी काण्डा, ई० लोअर करसोग, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 17. श्री कर्ग चन्द पुत्र बालक, निवासी नोगा, ई० कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 18. कुमारी राधू पुत्री पम्पलू, नि० चौरा, ई० माह, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 19. देवकी, 20. कौली पुत्र श्याम, निवासी ठांगर, ई० रिउमी, तहसील करसोग, 21. श्री लुहार पुत्र परस, निवासी नोगा, ई० कन्जौण, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, 22. श्रीमती पुनो विधवा श्याम, निवासी नोगा, ई० कन्जौण तहसील करसोग प्रतिवादीगण।

प्रार्थना-पत्र तकसीम जेर धारा 123 बू-राजस्व अधिनियम।

प्रार्थना-पत्र बराये तकसीम भूमि मुहान गजेहा, खाता खनौनी नं० 78/113 ता 118, कित्ता 21 साबका 14/7, रकबा तादादी 27-17-1 बीघा में 13/24 भाग रकबा बरुदर 15-1-14 बीघा मुहान गजेहा/436, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा में प्रतिवादीगण नं० 9 ता 21 को इस अदालत द्वारा बार-बार समन जारी करने के बाद तामील न हो पा रही है। अतः अदालत को विश्वास हो गया है कि उपरोक्त प्रतिवादीगण को समन की तामील साधारण ढंग से नहीं हो सकती है।

अतः उपरोक्त प्रतिवादीगण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह मिति 6-11-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में वकालतन या असालतन हाजिर आवें। गैर हाजिर की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी तथा मुकद्दमा में आगामी कार्यवाही निश्चयानुसार कर दी जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 18-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मीनू राम धीमान,  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

In the Court of Shri P. S. Samyal, Sub Judge 1st Class,  
Theog, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

In re:—

Shri Lagnu Ram s/o of Shri Dheerju, r/o Village  
Dodra, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh  
.. Petitioner.

Versus

General Public

.. Respondent.

Petition u/s 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate.

Whereas in the above noted case, the petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, in respect of the assets of Shrimati Dawarku Devi d/o Shri Dheerju, r/o Village Dodra, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh who died on 8-5-2001.

Hence, this proclamation is hereby issued to the General Public of the Illaqua, kith and kins of the deceased to file objections if any, on or before 1-11-2002 at 10.00 A.M. in this court personally or through an authorised agent/counsel, failing which Succession petition will be heard and disposed of *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court this 17th day of September, 2002.

Seal.

P. S. SAMYAL,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Theog District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

ब अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री सरवण सिंह पुत्र श्री मोहन सिंह, निवासी मुहाल रानीताल, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्री सरवण सिंह पुत्र श्री मोहन सिंह, निवासी मुहाल रानीताल, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 24-8-1980 नगर परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है, जिसका वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है ।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर कार्यकारी अधिकारी, नगर परिषद् नाहन से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी की पुत्री का नाम कथित ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता ग्राम मुहाल रानीताल, नाहन व प्रार्थी श्री सरवण सिंह पुत्र श्री मोहन सिंह, निवासी रानीताल, नाहन के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी नुमायी अमनदीप कौर पुत्री श्री सरवण सिंह की जन्म तिथि 24-8-1980 को नगर परिषद् नाहन में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हुआ स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री सरवण सिंह पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी पुत्री श्री राम स्वरूप पत्नी स्वर्गीय श्री हेमन्त, निवासी ग्राम रजोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता

श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी पुत्री श्री राम स्वरूप पत्नी स्वर्गीय श्री हेमन्त, निवासी ग्राम रजोली, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 9-5-1957 ग्राम पंचायत नेहली धोड़ा में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है ।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर ग्राम पंचायत एवं विकास अधिकारी, ग्राम पंचायत नेहली धोड़ा से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी का नाम कथित ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता ग्राम रजोली व प्रार्थी श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी पुत्री श्री रामस्वरूप पत्नी श्री हेमन्त के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी की जन्म तिथि को ग्राम पंचायत नेहली धोड़ा में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हुआ स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री बचन सिंह पुत्र श्री केसर सिंह, निवासी पी० डब्ल्यू० डी०, कलानी नाहन, जिला सिरमौर हि० प्र० ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्री बचन सिंह पुत्र श्री केसर सिंह, निवासी पी० डब्ल्यू० डी० कलानी नाहन ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 10-2-1986 नगर परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है ।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर कार्यकारी अधिकारी नगर परिषद् नाहन से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी के पुत्र की जन्म तिथि नगर परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता, नाहन व प्रार्थी श्री बचन सिंह पुत्र केसर सिंह, निवासी नाहन के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्री श्री राज नारायण सिंह पुत्र श्री बचन सिंह की जन्म तिथि 10-2-1986 नगर परिषद् नाहन में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हुआ स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री बचन सिंह पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)

व मुकद्दमा :

श्रीमती मोना कुमारी पुत्री श्री उद्यम सिंह, निवासी मोहल्ला गोविन्द-गढ़, नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्रीमती मोना कुमारी पुत्री श्री उद्यम सिंह, निवासी मोहल्ला गोविन्दगढ़, नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 7-5-1972 है जो नगर पालिका नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है, जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहती है ।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर कार्यकारी अधिकारी नगरपालिका परिषद् नाहन से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसमें प्रार्थी की जन्म तिथि नगरपालिका परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता मोहल्ला गोविन्दगढ़, नाहन व प्रार्थी श्रीमती मोना कुमारी उपरोक्त के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्रीमती मोना कुमारी की जन्म तिथि के नगर पालिका नाहन में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हजा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूत्र में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्रीमती मोना कुमारी पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,

उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार पुत्र श्री प्रीतम चन्द, निवासी गुन्नुघाट, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार पुत्र श्री प्रीतम चन्द, निवासी गुन्नुघाट, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हि० प्र० ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 1-9-2000 नगर परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है, जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है ।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर कार्यकारी अधिकारी नगर परिषद् से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी के पुत्र की जन्म तिथि नगर परिषद् नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता नाहन व प्रार्थी श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार पुत्र श्री प्रीतम चन्द, निवासी नाहन के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि किसी को भी श्री वातगल अग्रवाल पुत्र श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार की जन्म तिथि 1-9-2000 नगर परिषद् नाहन में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हजा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर होकर

दर्ज करवा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूत्र में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री देवेन्द्र कुमार पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

यह इशतहार आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,

उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा (हि० प्र० से०), उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री गोविन्द लाल पुत्र श्री बरोपत, निवासी ग्राम व डा० सम्भूवाला, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्री गोविन्द लाल पुत्र श्री बरोपत, निवासी ग्राम व डा० सम्भूवाला, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी माता का नाम भागी देवी है व पिता का नाम बरोपत है परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत बनकला के रिकार्ड में उनकी माता का नाम शिना देवी तथा पिता का नाम धोवी राम गलत दर्ज किया गया है जिसकी वह दख्ती करवाना चाहता है ।

प्रार्थी श्री गोविन्द लाल के आवेदन-पत्र पर ग्राम पंचायत एवं विकास अधिकारी बनकला से रिपोर्ट ली गई है जिन्होंने भी श्री गोविन्द लाल की माता का नाम शिना देवी तथा पिता का नाम श्री धोवी राम होने की पुष्टि की है ।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता, ग्राम सम्भूवाला व प्रार्थी श्री गोविन्द लाल के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्री गोविन्द लाल के माता-पिता के नामों की दख्ती बारे कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे तक अदालत हजा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या बकालतन हाजिर आ कर दर्ज करा सकता है । निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूत्र में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री गोविन्द लाल पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी ।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

राकेश शर्मा,

उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा (हि० प्र० से०), उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री सुन्दर सिंह चोहान पुत्र श्री मेहर सिंह, निवासी सुन्दर बाग कलौरी नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता ।

श्री सुन्दर सिंह चोहान पुत्र श्री मेहर सिंह, निवासी सुन्दर बाग कलौरी, नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस

अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पौत्र को जन्म तिथि 23-4-2001 नगरपालिका नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है तथा जिसका नाम दिविज चौहान है।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर कार्यकारी अधिकारी नगरपालिका, नाहन से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी के पौत्र को जन्म तिथि नगरपालिका नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता सुन्दरबाग कलोनी, नाहन व प्रार्थी श्री सुन्दर सिंह चौहान के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्री दिविज चौहान की जन्म तिथि के नगरपालिका नाहन में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हज़ा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूत्र में प्रार्थना पत्र श्री सुन्दर सिंह चौहान पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर। राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा (हि० प्र० से०), उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री कली राम पुत्र श्री मोती राम, निवासी ग्राम चौरात, उप-तहसील नौहरा, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री कली राम पुत्र श्री मोती राम, निवासी ग्राम चौरात, उप-तहसील नौहरा धार, जिला सिरमौर ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी गायत्री की जन्म तिथि 20-4-1989 है परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत देवना के रिकार्ड में 20-4-1986 गलत दर्ज है जिसको वह दस्त करवाना चाहता है।

इस बारे प्रार्थी श्री कली राम ने अपना एक ध्यानहल्फी भी इस कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत किया है

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता ग्राम चौरात व प्रार्थी श्री कली राम पुत्र श्री मोती राम के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्री कली राम की पुत्री कुमारी गायत्री देवी की जन्म तिथि दस्त की बारे कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 प्रातः 10.00 बजे तक अदालत हज़ा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर आकर दर्ज करा सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की स्थिति में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री कली राम पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर। राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री चेतन स्वरूप पुत्र श्री हिमा नन्द, निवासी ग्राम क्यारटू, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री चेतन स्वरूप पुत्र श्री हिमा नन्द, निवासी ग्राम क्यारटू, डाकघर जमटा, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी दादी श्रीमती प्रेम देवी का देहांत दिनांक 8-3-1991 को हुआ था जोकि ग्राम पंचायत नेहली घोड़ा में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर ग्राम पंचायत एवं विकास अधिकारी, ग्राम पंचायत नेहली घोड़ा से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसमें प्रार्थी की दादी की मृत्यु तिथि कथित ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता ग्राम क्यारटू व प्रार्थी श्री चेतन स्वरूप पुत्र श्री हिमा नन्द, निवासी क्यारटू के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी श्रीमती प्रेम देवी जो प्रार्थी की दादी है के ग्राम पंचायत नेहली घोड़ा में मृत्यु तिथि के पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हज़ा स्थित नाहन में असालतन या वकालतन हाज़िर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूत्र में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री चेतन स्वरूप पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर। राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री राकेश कुमार पुत्र श्री माम चन्द, निवासी ग्राम ददाहू, उप-तहसील ददाहू, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री राकेश कुमार पुत्र श्री माम चन्द, निवासी ददाहू, उप-तहसील ददाहू, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र श्री निशान्त गोयल की जन्म तिथि 31-1-1994 ग्राम पंचायत ददाहू में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर ग्राम पंचायत एवं विकास अधिकारी, ग्राम पंचायत ददाहू से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी के पुत्र की जन्म तिथि व नाम कथित ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त ग्राम जनता ग्राम ददाहू व प्रार्थी श्री राकेश कुमार पुत्र श्री माम चन्द, निवासी ददाहू के समस्त

रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी निशान्त गोयल के नाम को ग्राम पंचायत ददाह में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हुआ स्थित नाहन में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री राकेश कुमार पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत श्री राकेश शर्मा, हि० प्र० से०, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी नाहन, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब मुकद्दमा :

श्री मामराज पुत्र राम सिंह, निवासी ग्राम माईना, तहसील संगडाह, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री मामराज पुत्र श्री राम सिंह, निवासी ग्राम माईना, तहसील संगडाह, जिला सिरमौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 22-3-1997 ग्राम पंचायत माईना घडैल में दर्ज नहीं है जिसको वह दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

इस बारे प्रार्थी के आवेदन-पत्र पर ग्राम पंचायत एवं विकास अधिकारी, ग्राम पंचायत माईना घडैल से रिपोर्ट ली गई जिसने प्रार्थी की पुत्री की जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत में दर्ज नहीं है की पुष्टि की है।

अतः इस नोटिस द्वारा समस्त जनता ग्राम माईना व श्री मामराज पुत्र श्री राम सिंह, निवासी माईना के समस्त रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी कु० नोशा शर्मा पुत्री श्री मामराज की जन्म तिथि 22-3-1997 को ग्राम पंचायत माईना घडैल में पंजीकरण बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 3-11-2002 तक अदालत हुआ स्थित नाहन में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर होकर दर्ज करवा सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री मामराज पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 4-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय मोहर द्वारा अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

राकेश शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत श्री टी० जी० नेगी, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती रिता देवी पत्नी श्री होशियार सिंह, निवासी गांव मान, डाकघर मान, तहसील अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

प्रतिवादी।

प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

प्रार्थी उपरोक्त ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र दिया है कि उसकी पुत्री ज्योती पाल पुत्री होशियार सिंह का जन्म दिनांक 7-10-2001 को हुआ

है लेकिन ग्राम पंचायत के अभिलेख में उसका नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज न है, अब दर्ज किए जाने के आदेश जारी किए जाने हैं।

अतः इस इशतहार राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि इस बारे किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अमालतन या वकालतन उपस्थित आकर प्रस्तुत कर सकता है, बाद गुजरनेमियाद कोई भी उजर या एतराज समायत न होगा तथा उसरोक्त की जन्म तिथि पंचायत अभिलेख में दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 5-10-2002 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व माहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

टी० जी० नेगी,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट  
अर्की, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

न्यायालय श्री के० एस० चौधरी, सहायक मसाहर्ता प्रथम वर्ग एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, बंगाणा, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्रीमती रोशनी देवी पत्नी श्री प्यारू पुत्र शिवू, गांव मजेड़, तप्पा टिहरा, तहसील बंगाणा, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

विषय.—नाम दहस्ती बारे प्रार्थना-पत्र।

श्रीमती रोशनी देवी पत्नी प्यारू पुत्र शिवू, जात हरिजन, गांव मजेड़ ने इस न्यायालय में प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसकी पत्नी का नाम समस्त अभिलेख में रोशनी देवी है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख में उसका नाम रतनी देवी दर्ज है। अतः आवेदन-पत्र में अब रतनी देवी के बजाये रोशनी देवी दर्ज करने हेतु निवेदन किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण व ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उपरोक्त नाम रतनी देवी के बजाए रोशनी देवी दर्ज राजस्व अभिलेख करने पर किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 7-11-2002 को असालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में उपस्थित होकर पेश करें अन्यथा अनुपस्थिति की सूरत में कार्यवाही एक तरफा अमल में लाई जाएगी और रतनी देवी की बजाए रोशनी देवी दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिये जाएंगे।

मोहर।

के० एस० चौधरी,  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
बंगाणा, जिला ऊना,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

ब अदालत श्री अवनीश शर्मा, नायक तहसीलदार एवं सहायक मसाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग, भरवाई, उप-तहसील भरवाई, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)

श्री रमेश चन्द सुपुत्र श्री नत्थु, निवासी मलौन, उप-तहसील भरवाई, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

प्रतिवादी।

विषय.—दरखास्त बराये दहस्ती नाम।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा में श्री रमेश चन्द पुत्र नत्थु राम ने इस कार्यालय में एक प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसका नाम

रैवेन्यू रिकार्ड में मेहर चन्द दर्ज चला आ रहा है, जिसकी दहस्ती की जावे। उक्त नाम दहस्ती बारे प्रार्थी ने इस न्यायालय में शपथ-पत्र भी प्रस्तुत किया है। प्रार्थी द्वारा प्रस्तुत अन्य दस्तावेज में उसका नाम रमेश चन्द दर्ज है।

अतः इस इशतहार के माध्यम से ग्राम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि अगर किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह इस इशतहार के प्रकाशन के उपरान्त एक माह के भीतर अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है। बाद नुजरने अवधि कोई उजर न नुना जाएगा तथा प्रार्थी का नाम राजस्व रिकार्ड में दहस्ती हेतु आदेश जारी कर दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं कार्यालय/न्यायालय मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

अवनीश शर्मा,  
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता  
द्वितीय वर्ग, भरवाई, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)।

In the Court of Shri Rajeev Bhardwaj, Sub-Judge 1st Class, Court No. 1, Una, Tehsil and District Una (H. P.)

Civil Suit No. 319/95 RBT No. : 275/98

Next date of hearing: 31-10-2000.

Shakuntla Devi & others Vs. Ramesh Chand and others  
Suit for Declaration.

Notice to :-

5. Satya Devi wd/o Karam Chand, 6. Surinder Paul, 7. Mahinder Paul, 9. Hari Krishan sons of Karam Chand, r/o Village Malahat, Tehsil and District Una, Himachal Pradesh, 11. Shaminder Rani, 12. Sheela Devi, 13. Raj Rani, 14. Rama Rani daughters of Karam Chand son of Sudhama, all r/o Village Malahat, Tehsil and District Una (H. P.) ... Defendants.

(i) Smt. Santosh Kumari wd/o Pawan Kumar, (ii) Dimple, (iii) Seema daughters of Pawan Kumar, r/o village Gondpur Jai Chand, Tehsil & District Una (H. P.) ... LRs of Defendant No. 8.

Whereas in the above noted case it has been proved to the satisfaction of the court that above named defendants and LRs of defendant No. 8 are avoiding service of summons and cannot be served in the ordinary way. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against them to appear in this court on or before 31-10-2002 at 10.00 A.M. to defend the case personally or through an authorised agent or pleader failing which *ex parte* proceedings will be taken against them.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 20th day of September, 2002.

Seal.

RAJEEV BHARDWAJ,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Court No. 1, Una, District Una (H. P.).

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र।

विजेन्द्र सिंह

बनाम

ग्राम जनता, बसाल

आवेदन-पत्र अर्धीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु रजिस्ट्रेशन अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री पूर्ण लाल, निवासी गांव बसाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री नेहा (Neha) का जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज किया जाए। उसकी उपरोक्त पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 9-9-1997 है तथा जन्म स्थान गांव बसाल है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त ग्राम जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर अदालत या वकालत इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है, अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर वांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के आदेश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवम् कार्यालय/न्यायालय मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र।

रीटा देवी

बनाम

ग्राम जनता सलोह।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम ग्राम जनता।

श्रीमती रीटा देवी पत्नी श्री सतीश कुमार, निवासी गांव सलोह, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र राघव जसवाल का नाम व जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए। उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 13-3-1998 है तथा जन्म स्थान सलोह है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त ग्राम जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर अदालत या वकालत इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर वांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 12-9-2002 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

व मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र।

अशोक कुमार

बनाम

ग्राम जनता पनोह।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री अशोक कुमार पुत्र श्री अर्पण चन्द, निवासी गांव पनोह, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री रजनी देवी का नाम व जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाये । उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 31-3-1997 है तथा जन्म स्थान पनोह है ।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त आम जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर बांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 11-9-2002 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हि 0 प्र 0) ।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र ।

रोटा देवी बनाम आम जनता सलोह ।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्रीमती रीटा देवी पत्नी श्री सतीश कुमार, निवासी गांव सनोह, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र सुनील जसवाल का नाम व जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए । उसके पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 1-7-1996 है तथा जन्म स्थान सनोह है ।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त आम जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी का उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर बांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 12-9-2002 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र ।

यशपाल बनाम आम जनता पन्जावर ।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री यशपाल पुत्र श्री बहावर सिंह, निवासी गांव पन्जावर, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी

पुत्री भिय स्वीटी का नाम व जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए । उसके उपरोक्त पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 18-2-1998 है तथा जन्म स्थान पन्जावर है ।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर बांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र ।

विजेन्द्र सिंह बनाम आम जनता बसाल ।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री पूर्ण लाल, निवासी गांव बसाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र नितन का नाम जन्म पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज ना करवाया जा सका है, अब दर्ज करवाया जाए । उसके उपरोक्त पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 11-2-99 है तथा जन्म स्थान बसाल है ।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से आम जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जा कर बांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे ।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर । हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र ।

होशयार सिंह बनाम नारी (बाबा डेरा रुद्रक) ।

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता ।

श्री होशयार सिंह पुत्र श्री गदावर सिंह, निवासी गांव कूठार कलां, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसके पुत्र रवि कुमार का नाम पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए । उस के उपरोक्त पुत्र की जन्म तिथि 17-7-1998 है तथा जन्म स्थान नारी डेरा बाबा रुद्रक है ।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या बकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जा कर बांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे ।



आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवम् न्यायालय मोहर से जारी हुआ।  
मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना  
जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण पत्र।

विजेन्द्र सिंह बनाम आम जनता वसाल  
दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण  
अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र पूर्ण लाल, निसासी गांव वसाल, तहसील व जिला ऊना से इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री हिमानी का नाम पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए। उसकी उपरोक्त पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 27-11-1995 है तथा जन्म स्थान वसाल है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर वांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, तहसील व जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र।

कमल कृष्ण बनाम आम जनता नगनोली।  
दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण  
अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री कमल कृष्ण पुत्र श्री हरि ओम, निवासी गांव नगनोली, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री रीतू शर्मा का नाम व जन्म तिथि पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए। उसकी उपरोक्त पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 14-3-1992 है तथा जन्म स्थान नगनोली है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर वांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को हस्ताक्षर मेरे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

व अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, ऊना, जिला ऊना  
हिमाचल प्रदेश

मुकद्दमा : जन्म तिथि प्रमाण-पत्र।

ममता बनाम आम जनता मुहल्ला लोहार घुमार, ऊना

दरखास्त जेर धारा 13 (3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण  
अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती ममता पत्नी काकू राम, निवासी गांव छेतरा, सब-तहसील हरोली, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस न्यायालय में दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री कुमारी विशाखा का नाम व जन्म तिथि पंचायत रजिस्टर में गलती से दर्ज न करवाया जा सका है और अब दर्ज करवाया जाए। उसकी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 25-9-1997 है तथा जन्म स्थान मुहल्ला लोहार घुमार ऊना है।

अतः इस नोटिस के माध्यम से समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित रिश्तेदारों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज होने में कोई उजर/एतराज है तो वह इस नोटिस के प्रकाशन होने के पश्चात् एक माह के अन्दर-अन्दर असालतन या वकालतन इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर वांछित प्रमाण-पत्र जारी करने के निर्देश सम्बन्धित कार्यालय को दे दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 3-10-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि0 प्र0)।

व अदालत श्री परम देव शर्मा, नायब तहसीलदार, करसोग व अख्यार  
सब-रजिस्ट्रार, करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

मुकद्दमा नं0 1/2002

तारीख पेशी 30-9-2002

1. जय राम पुत्र बलीभद्र, निवासी भलियार, 2. भगत राम पुत्र पदमा राम, निवासी बखरौट, 3. धर्मेन्द्र पुत्र पदमा राम, निवासी बखरौट, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

प्रार्थीगण।

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोम।

उपरोक्त विषय पर हर आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार हि0 प्र0 राजपत्र के सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री परस राम पुत्र रूप चन्द, निवासी जोहड़, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0 ने एक बसीयतनामा अपनी चल व अचल सम्पत्ति का सर्वश्री 1. जय राम पुत्र बलीभद्र, निवासी भलियार, तहसील करसोग, 2. भगत राम, 3. धर्मेन्द्र पुत्र पदमा राम, निवासी बखरौट, तहसील करसोग, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0 के नाम तहरीर करवाया है। बसीयतकर्ता की अब मौत हो चुकी है। अतः यह बसीयतनामा उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगण ने इस अदालत में बराये पंजीकरण पेश किया है।

अगर इस बसीयतनामा के पंजीकृत होने में किसी व्यक्ति का कोई उजर या एतराज होता है तो वह दिनांक 31-10-2002 को सुबह 10.00 बजे अदालतन या वकालतन अधोहस्ताक्षरी के न्यायालय में हाजिर होकर उजर पेश करे, अन्यथा आम जनता के विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 30-9-2002 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
परम देव शर्मा,  
उप-पंजीकाध्यक्ष, करसोग, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

भाग 6—भारतीय राजपत्र द्वारा मे से पुनः प्रकाशन

-शून्य-

भाग 7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं

-शून्य-

अनुपरक

-शून्य-

नियन्त्रक मुद्रण तथा लखन सामग्री, हिमाचल प्रदेश, शिमला-5 द्वारा मुद्रित तथा प्रकाशित